# INTERNATIONAL INSTITUTE OF AGRICULTURE

# BUREAU OF ECONOMIC AND SALES IN THE HIGENCE

# MONTHLY BULLETIN F ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL NTELLIGENCE • • • • •

35rd VOLUME . . . .

IVth YEAR - NUMBER 9

• • • SEPTEMBER 1913



ROME: PRINTING OFFICE OF THE INSTITUTE, 1913

# CONTENTS.

# PART 1: CO-OPERATION AND ASSOCIATION.

#### UNITED STATES.

ATIVE MARKETING ASSOCIATIONS	. Page
1. The Selling Problem, page 1 § 2. Existing Systems of Co-operative	Market-
ing, page 4 § 3. The Extent to which Co-operative Action is Develope	ed, page
11 § 4. The Recommendations of the Official Report, page 13.	

#### ITALY.

COLLECTIVE FARMS, ESPECIALLY LABOURERS' COLLECTIVE FARMS, by Prof.	
A. SERPIERI and Dr. G. MAMI	15
hapter I. The Various Types of Collective Farms in Italian Agriculture.	
1. Collective Parms on the Colony System (Sistema Colonico), page 16. — § 2.	
Collective Farming on Large Landed Estates, page 19 § 3. Labourers' Col-	
lective Farms, page 24.	

#### RUSSIA.

LANEOUS NEW	73				Page 34
I. The Mosco	w People's B	ank, page 34.	- 2. Warsaw	Co-operative Bank,	page
35 - 3. The	Material Sur	port afforded	by Governme	nt to the Popular	Credit
Institutions,	page 38.				

## PART II: INSURANCE.

•
GERMANY.
THE REPORT OF THE IMPERIAL INSURANCE BURRAU FOR 1912
NORWAY.
FOREST INSURANCE IN NORWAY  § 1. Introduction, page 47.—§ 2. The Extent and Value of the Norwegian Forest Preventive Measures for their Protection, page 49.—§ 3. Preventive Insurance page 49.—§ 4. Insurance of Compensation, page 50.—§ 5. Organisation of B Norwegian Mutual Forest Fire Insurance Society, page 51.—§ 6. Results of B Work of the Norwegian Society, page 53.
PART III : CREDIT:
ITALY.
1. Proposed Reporm of the Laws of Agricultural Credit in Southern and Insular Italy
Japan.
The Centralisation of the Banking System and the Banks for Special Credit. Fat. § 1. The Concentration of the Banking System, page 70. — § 2. Special Credit Banks, page 74.
Uruguay.
Tak Land Question and Agricultural Credit in Uruguay . Pag § 1. Some Information in relation to the Geographical and Ronomic Position of Uruguay, page 78.—§ 2. Agriculture and the Present Land Policy, page 82.— § 3. Agricultural Credit and the New Legislation, page 89.

## PART IV: MISCELLANEOUS.

•	
GERMANY.	
COLONIZATION IN NORTHERN GERMANY	95
France.	
**SOURY IN REFERENCE TO METAVAGE	120
Japan.	
PROGRESS REALISED IN THE READJUSTMENT OF FARM LANDS	140

# Part I: Co-operation and Association

#### UNITED STATES.

# CO-OPERATIVE MARKETING ASSOCIATIONS.

#### OFFICIAL SOURCES:

tems of Marketing Farm Products and Demand for Such Products at Trade Centres. U. S. Department of Agriculture. Office of the Secretary. Report N. 98. 1021 of the Secretary of Agriculture, 1910.

#### OTHER SOURCES:

p (j): Co-operation in New England. The Russell Sage Foundation, New York, 1913 lives (John I.,): Co-operation Among Farmers. New York, 1911. Southern Rice Growers' Association: An Article in the Rice Journal and Southern Farmer. March 1, 1913.

#### § I. THE SELLING PROBLEM.

he problem of reducing costs in the marketing of farm products present occupying the attention of agriculturists in the United i, where it is regarded as the first of the many problems involved wide question of increasing the profits to agriculture, and improving hole economic and social position of the American farmer. Sissatisfaction with the results of existing systems of marketing is pread. Farmers and growers complain that they receive only from 5 per cent. of the ultimate price paid by the consumer, and e the middlemen of exploiting agricultore; while consumers in the 3 are continually lamenting that many farm products are difficult tain and unreasonably dear. The middlemen, on their part, say they gain only the legitimate profits of a difficult and speculative ess dealing with fluctuating markets, on the side both of supply

and of demand, and often with perishable food-stuffs. All three change finally, unite in complaining that the railways fail to provide and all quate service, and often charge prohibitive rates. Two things clear; first, that expenses of marketing form an undue proportion of a total cost of many farm products; and, second, that the only person at present possessing any considerable knowledge of market condition are the middlemen.

The Report of the Secretary of Agriculture for 1910 gives some information as to the percentage of consumer's price received by the

farmer for certain products.

From an investigation made in 1910, covering 78 cities througher the United States, it was ascertained that, on an average, the dairym received a bare 50 per cent. of the retail price paid for milk: average cost of freight was approximately 7 per cent. of the price i the consumer, and the remaining 43 per cent. was received mostly the retailer.

The Industrial Commission, which reported in 1901, thorough investigated the same question, and conditions at the present day a substantially unaltered. It was found then that the farmer was m eiving 55 per cent. of the consumer's price for poultry; 69 per cent. h eggs when sold by the dozen; 55.6 per cent. for apples when sold i the bushel, and 66 per cent. when sold by the barrel. In the case oranges sold by the dozen he received only 20.3 per cent.; when so by the box he received 59.3 per cent.

Considering prices from the consumer's point of view, the Commissi found that the percentage increase of consumer's price over the mi paid to the farmer was, for cabbages bought by the head, 135 per cat for oranges by the dozen, 400 per cent., by the crate, 100 per cent

for potatoes bought by the bushel, 82 per cent.

In 1909, a special enquiry into the high price of beef establish the fact that the retail price was, on an average for the United State 38 per cent. above the wholesale price received by the great slaw tering houses.

The general conclusion which the Secretary of Agriculture da from the investigations was that the consumer appeared to have real ground for complaint against the farmer for the price he paid. cost of transport, generally speaking, added a percentage increase to t farmer's price that was not large. The dealers had risks that were put cally small, except credit sales and such risks as grew out of their tri to do an amount of business that was small as compared with the number. "The distribution of farm products", the report says, considerably involved, and burdened with costy features". It is into generally admitted that there is much overlapping and waste between the producer and the consumer.

There is waste, in the first place, due to marketing produce in b condition or at the wrong time. Fruit and vegetables, for example are often unsaleable when they reach the market, as a result of or sness in handling or delay in transit, or of both combined. Much rishable produce is wasted through a lack of storage facilities both in usit, when the distance is great, and on terminal markets when, as quently happens, they are overstocked with certain products. Even a glutted market it should never happen that tons of valuable products have ultimately to be carted away as refuse.

Apart from such instances of actual material waste, there is constant s due to inefficient, uneconomical methods. A wasteful system of iter exists in which the farmer delivers butter and eggs at the local ocery store, and takes out their value in trade. The grocer detests e system, and only accepts the produce in order to retain the farmer's stom; he has no proper storage facilities, and mixes together fresh gs and stale, good butter and bad; he has no regular supply, and erefore cannot build up a stable trade. He ultimately sells a large it of the butter, much deteriorated, to city factories—the so-called enovators"—where it undergoes a washing process and is sold to a poorer city customers.

But there is greater waste in the practice of consiguing small quantes of produce at high freight rates. Dealers obtain a large part of eir profits by assembling produce from different growers and making full carloads on which they secure low rates. It is this service bich, as a rule, farmers can most easily perform for themselves. The ring to be effected is always considerable, and is sometimes enormous. It is ship apples, for instance, from Springfield, Mo. to Chicago at carload the cost \$ 86.00; at "less than carload" rate the same quantity ruld cost \$ 120.00. A carload of potatoes from Crowley, La. to be Louis costs in freight \$ 84.00; in small consignments the cost would no less than \$ 235.00, though this is an extreme case.

Waste occurs again in the overlapping and duplication of services in stribution. It often happens that there are four or five buyers in a strict where one would suffice, if indeed the services of even one are resary, Between producer and consumer it is quite normal to find the or four middlemen engaged in handling the same product.

And it is underiable that producers in a vast number of cases are table to obtain a fair competitive price for farm producte, and this cause farmers, as a class, are in a position of economic disadvantage, bey are comparatively isolated, without reliable information, untrained commercial dealings, financially weak, and unable to estimate fairly the the costs or the risks of marketing. The result is, naturally, that bey are to a great extent in the hands of the local brokers or the immission merchants who have almost invariably an agreement to limit territory, and at intervals meet and fix the prices to be offered moughout the district for different kinds of live stock—prices calculated net each broker from 15 to 20 per cent. on his transactions. Should a farmer dispense with the services of those buyers and ship direct to me terminal market, he finds himself once more opposed to a united

body of commission dealers. A small shipment cannot influence ping it is immaterial to the dealers whether they handle it or not; and is farmer, whose only alternative is to haul the consignment back to farm, has perforce to accept whatever offer they may choose to make him. The farmer, in fact, is nearly always in a weak bargain position.

In such circumstances it is natural that the remedies generally posed are the dissemination of fuller information relating to crops, near eting systems, freights and current prices; and the organization of a operative selling agencies. There are many who look to government to undertake both duties, claiming that the Department of Agricultus should now instruct the farmer in selling his product, much in the same

way as it has already instructed him in growing it,

#### § 2. Existing systems of co-operative marketing.

Early in 1912, the Department was directed to prepare reports relative to systems, co-operative or otherwise, of marketing farm product and in December the Secretary presented to Congress the above mentions

report.

Each product is made the subject of a special article which de cribes at length the existing methods of marketing it, and a large numb of reports are added from co-operative associations and other marketing agencies. The report contains a summary of the benefits found in as ciative marketing, and a series of recommendations dealing with the creation of a special Division of Markets. Most of the information relating to co-operative marketing, however, is contained in the report from individual associations and we shall deal first with those.

There are separate reports from sixty-six associations and agency of which eleven are from private firms, one refers to an association which has ceased to exist, and one deals withan agency for distribution imported fruit. The remaining fifty-three associations are distributed according to the product marketed as follows: citrus fruits 4; of fruits (apples, pears, peaches and small fruits) 36; market-garden production finduding potatoes, beans, peas, tomatoes and various vegetables is seed 1; milk 1. They are unevenly distributed among twenty-three State California alone is represented by 14 associations; the New Englanda Atlantic States together by 16; Colorado furnishes 4 reports; Missour Idaho and Illinois, each, 2. Minnesota, Wisconsin, Michigan and India are not represented.

It would be useful to know the average number of years for whit the associations have been in existence, but less than a quarter of the supply the information. Out of thiirteen which do so, one has been operation for forty years, while others are only in thei second or thi

season.

## (a) The Standard Type of Association: Fruit-selling Agencies.

The associations are almost invariably farmers' organizations incorted under the company laws of the separate states, without subscribapital or with only a nominal amount, described as "co-operative profit associations", and formed to conduct the business of selling cies. We shall see presently what functions they actually perform. ial acts referring to co-operative associations exist in a few of the sonly. An association once organized, however, has the right lopt bye-laws which do not conflict with the laws of the state, and therefore wide powers of constructing a system of management adaptorism needs.

One of the California associations with a large number of local units, all number of district exchanges and a single central exchange, sents the most fully developed form of the American co-operative leting agency, but the greater number of them are not so thoroughly nized. The prevailing type is the single association of growers in a defined district who assemble, grade, and pack their products, and a up full carloads, employing a business manager, one or more intors, and a book-keeper. The manager tries to sell full cars on deficiones, or failing that he consigus the car to a reliable firm to be on commission. A short summary of the report from one of the cations will give a good idea of the working of all of them. We I select the Eastern Shore of Virginia Produce Exchange, noting only it is one of the largest and richest, and that the district enjoys that advantages with respect to the products that can be grown and

The Exchange is a co-operative marketing association organized under laws of Virginia in 1900. In 1912, the association shipped 4,600 cars of attoes, 230 cars of strawberries, 150 cars of cabbages, and 100 cars mions—the output of between 2,500 and 3,000 farmers,

accessibility of markets.

The paid-up share capital is 42,000 dollars, and there is an accumulateserve of 80,000 dollars. Members need not be shareholders.

There are thirty-four local divisions, each of which elects a director is general board, and an agent for each of its shipping point. The fall board appoints inspectors so that they may be free from local ence. Much of the administration, and the shaping of the general 7 is left to the general manager and the secretary. Five resident men are employed in important market centres, and local brokers imployed in others. In a great many towns direct telegraphic comtantion is maintained with wholesale buyers.

The general office is in telephonic communication with each of the four shipping points. Early each morning the local agent reports mobable extent of his shipments for the day, and from time to time is the number of cars actually loaded and awaiting sale. All sales hade by the general office upon telegraphic quotations open for actue on the day of offer only.

At the shipping points the local inspector examines each lead livered by the growers, and if it reaches the standard fixed by the sociation as to quality, condition and packing, he permits the berne carry the "Red Star Brand"—the registered trade-mark of the sociation. Sales are made f.o.b. loading points, the buyer to assume risk of delay or normal deterioration in transit. Many losses are sustained as the case of the sociation of the buyer's risk, and these, as well as the occasi losses due to non-payment, are borne by the association.

All expenses of the association are met by a commission of 5 cent. on regular sales and of 3 per cent. on the small quantity of duce dealt with locally. In future only one half of the annual net 1 nings is to be carried to the reserve fund; the remainder will be disbuted among the growers in proportion to the amount of produce to contribute.

In the twelve years of its existence the Exchange has vastly panded the territory available as a market for its products, and theony of the district has been trebled. Through its requirements as to qui enforced by inspection, it has standardized the products which it sells, si inated economic waste in the old fashioned chaotic manner of gui ing and marketing the crops, benefited the consumer, and see higher prices for its members.

From the articles of the constitution we quote the following #8 hi instructive:

"Whenever twenty or more stockholders, representing not less that two hunded and fifty dollars of the stock of the Exchange, shall de to organize a new division, they shall first make application to the low of directors.

"Each stockholder shall be entitled to one vote for each shar stock held, but no person shall be allowed to hold more than ten person, of the whole number of outstanding shares.

"Henceforth all members shall be required to market their production exclusively through the exchange, or else relinquish all rights of exchangementship; provided, however, that in case any member is offered price plainly in excess of the market value of his goods, for the obit purpose of inducing him to break off his relationship with the exchangement of the general manager shall have authority to direct him to accept soffer without loss of membership rights."

Practically all the agencies for which we have information are in growers' shipping or selling associations and their federations. They not take; on behalf of their members, some or all of the following opening picking, grading, packing and loading into cars; finding a market for fruit, selling or consigning, determining the method and conditions of a arranging for transport, collecting and remitting the proceeds of subnying and distributing fertilizers, spraying materials, sacks, crates standard boxes: Incidentally they render other services. They furnish surance to their members by distributing losses on individual shipments.

hey take charge of any disputes arising with respect to sales. They accurate information on market conditions, especially as regards ovement of supplies towards market centres, from competing sources il as from their own district. They often instruct growers in the ation and care of fruit, and advise them when to pick. In association occasionally does no more than pool the products of embers, and make a collective bargain with the local buyer. Even s stage, an association controlling a considerable part of the product

district is already in a distinctly advantageous position, and can ally secure an appreciable advance in price. he next stage is where the association owns a central packing house, the produce, and offers it to competing markets in carload lots. the association has to meet the competition of the local buyer who ts the custom of growers by offering them cash payment for their In shipping through their own organization they may have uit some time before they receive payment, and in the meantime to hear the risk of a market which may be a thousand miles away. iations in their early days have suffered severely through the dem of members tempted by the cash terms of the local buyer, but of them have an ingenious provision allowing members to sell to atter when he offers a price above the market rate, on condition eir handing over to the common funds a percentage of the higher obtained. Since no dealer can continue to offer prices above the al, and the associations are constantly increasing their knowledge of able markets and, with greater credit facilities will be able to make nces on delivery, we may conclude that they will continue to strengthheir hold on the members, to the exclusion of the local buyer.

At the next stage of development the associations have grown into ig selling organizations with a powerful influence in establishing is. They employ a highly paid manager, and exclusive selling agents aportant centres; sell a large proportion of produce at public auction, fact to wholesale dealers; and are able to effect large economies in sport and reductions in commission paid for handling their goods. The influence which a co-operative agency can exercise on prices to be illustrated from the work of the Southern Rice Growers' Assotion.

This is a co-operative sales association marketing the rice crop of members. Before its formation the market for rice was so uncertain, the price to the farmer so low, that cultivation had practically ceased be profitable. Too many mills had been erected, and for some years the milling capacity of the rice mills of the United States had been east four times the production. There was keen competition to sell, prices were cut down close to cost. To make matters worse for the market was manipulated by speculators whose interest was maintain fluctuations in price. The farmers were receiving little for product and yet the consumption of rice was small, the wholesale

dealers discouraged by the uncertain market cutting down their transactions to a minimum.

The association was organized in the autumn of 1910 by producers in Louisiana, Texas and Arkansas. In January, 1911, when it began operations, rough rice stood at \$2.30 a barrel, but the market was demoralised and very little rice was being sold. The association fixed its minimum at \$2.75 a barrel. This arrested any further fall in price, but still the market did not revive. To relieve the situation the association bought 250,000 barrels of rough rice from the producers at \$2.75 and exported it to Europe, selling it for the best price obtainable and bearing the loss, amounting to 65,000 dollars. The moral effect of the deal was of enormous advantage to the organization. The mills had supported the growers, cleaning the exported rice free of charge, and the wholesale dealers trusting to the association to maintain stable prices, bought freely.

At the beginning of the 1911 season the association set its minimum price at \$ 3.00 a barrel, then raised it by stages to \$ 3.50 and maintained it without difficulty. On the opening of the new season the association fixed a minimum price of \$ 3.60 for rough rice, and though outside growers were selling at an appreciably lower figure, successfully marketed its holding

of rice at, or above, this minimum.

In March of this year the president wrote: "The Southern Rice Growers' Association is now nearly twice as strong in membership and acreage as it was in 1911. Farmers have been convinced of its value. Bankers, millers, irrigators and business men generally are supporting it, Perhaps the most effective supporters it has are the wholesale grocen and distributors to the jobbing and retail trade. They recognize that the association enables them to do business in rice on a safe legitimate margin of profit, without having to speculate at the risk of loss by market fluctuations".

The association has a nominal capital of 10,000 dollars, in one dollar

shares. No person may hold more than fifty shares.

# (b) Co-operative Selling of Other Farm Products.

As we have seen co-operative agencies have been most fully developed, and give greatest promise of permanent success in the marketing of fruit and vegetables.

The rice selling agency to which we have just referred seems also to be well established. Rice like the various kinds of fruit and vegetables has a clearly defined area of production, which is one of the conditions of success in co-operative marketing. Some information dealing with other farm products is to be found in the first part of the government report, and may be presented here.

Butter. — An attempt at co-operative marketing has been made by a number of creameries in Minnesota, but so far as is known little of no good has come from it. No doubt the difficulties in the way of

success are, first, lack of business experience; second, insufficient capital; third, a natural disinclination on the part of the farmers to co-operate with one another.

Cotton. — For a number of years, farmers' associations have made attempts to fix minimum prices of cotton. In connection with some of these attempts it has been planned to provide co-operative warehouses where planters may store their cotton while waiting for higher prices, and to provide also a co-operative credit system whereby such planters might secure loans on the cotton stored by them.

Cotton Seed.— A very little has also been done towards co-operative oil mill building and operation, the members of the association contracting deliver all their seed at the co-operatively owned mill.

Flaxseed. — At a considerable number of towns in the three states here flaxseed is most largely grown (Minnesota, North Dakota and 19th Dakota), co-operative elevator associations have been organized.

Grain. — Farmers' elevators are, owned and operated by associations tarmers. They are especially numerous in Minnesota where there were 21 in 1910, and also in North Dakota.

Hops. — Many attempts have been made to form co-operative selling sociations among hop-growers, but in the main they have been unnecessful.

Milk and Cream. — So far co-operative selling associations for milk and cream have not been developed to any great extent. At the present ime there are only a few such co-operative plants in operation. Some it them are on a very unstable basis. One association is operating at Omaha, Nebr. and in the spring of TOIT was handling 430 gallons of milk a day. At that time the returns to the farmer were below the pices paid by competing milk companies. The difficulties so far met with in farmers' co-operative milk plants have been that thay are ustally so small that they cannot operate as economically as larger plants. Then too, the members have become dissatisfied easily, having anticipated larger profits than they realized.

Tobacco. — In recent years particularly since 1905, growers' selling organizations have been establised here and there throughout much of the producing territory, particularly in the west. In some instances these organizations have succeeded in pooling and controlling the sale of 50 er cent or more of the production of an entire district. Where there is co-operative selling organizations the conditions for the district are etter understood, at least by the leaders. The co-operative community elling plan seems to be an economical and fundamentally sound plan of elling, but serious drawbacks are found in the difficulty of securing ompetent managers, as it takes special experience in grading and handling bacco of a sort not usually possessed by the average grower. A further ficulty lies in the fact that a large proportion of the crop is produced a coppers and others of little financial strength. They are generally adly in need of money by the time the crop is ready for market.

cent. of the value is advanced at the time of delivery, and there is some uncertainy as to the amount and time of payment of the balance.

Alfalfa Seed. — There are various organizations, especially in Utah, where the farmers combine for the purpose of marketing their product to the best advantage. Where the growers have organized, the seed is in a sense pooled, and frequently stored in common warehouses. A representative of the organization arranges for sales to consumers, retailers, wholesale houses and jobbers.

Wool. — In Tennessee a lamb and wool club composed of produces, sells the wool to a blanket mill at Springfield, Tenn. In Minnesota a wool growers' association manufactures its own wool, and the members receive a higher price for their product than they could get on the

market.

#### (c) A Co-operative General Exchange.

All the associations we have been considering so far are engaged in marketing some particular crop. A more complex form of organization is referred to in Dr Fords' study of co-operation in New England. This is an association with the title of the Producers' and Consumers' Exchange which aims at becoming "the sole middleman between the Maine farmer and the Boston consumer." It developed out of a grange supply association which had begun in a quiet way to sell produce for its members direct to wholesale houses. The Producers' and Consumers' Exchange opened its membership to all farmers, whether grangers or not, and soon after decided to admit city consumers as well, and sell both wholesale and retail. A shop had already been opened in Boston for selling wholesale and for the purchase of farmers' supplies, but early in 1922 the Exchange turned over most of the business of co-operative supply to another grange organization and decided to confine its operations to co-operative sale.

There are 2,200 farmer members and 150 consumer members, chiefy wholesale firms. At present the farmers, shipping in carload lots, or less, to the Boston store, receive wholesale prices minus freight charge and commission. A plan is being considered, however, by which the farmer will receive full wholesale prices for his goods, while the Exchange will sell to its customers at 10 per cent. above these prices, to cover

expenses, depreciation and reserve.

When the membership becomes sufficiently large the Exchange intends to deliver goods once a week to the homes of purchasers anywhere within ten miles of the store. Profits will be distributed to both producers and consumers on their shares; no member may have more than one vote, and directors are chosen by each group. The writer concludes his description thus: "It is too early to pass absolute judgment upon this plan. The economies of the system (provided that cash business is strictly adhered and a large reserve maintained) are indisputable. The difficulties of diverge membership and double direction are not insurmountable it proper

provision is made for a general meeting which can arbitrate in case of headlock.

#### d) Co-operative Markets.

public market places are owned either by town governments or by rivate corporations. Occasionally co-operative warehouses or market laces are owned or rented by associations for dealing in some specific roduct. In Connecticut, at Hartford and Enfield there are farmers operative warehouses to assort, grade, and sell at auction the tobacco rop of the association which leases a market hall and makes collective purbases of supplies, but has no common sales agent. Membership is open: hares sell at 25 dollars; and a dividend of 6 per cent, was paid in 1910 Stalls in the market are bid for at auction. There are 150 stall holders, half of whom have shares. The receipts of the association are from rent and premiums paid for stalls, and from advertisers occupying space in the hall; the common expenses are for rent, lighting, salaries to manager, treasurer and caretaker, and for cold storage and packing.

In connection with the maple sugar industry af Vermont there is both a sales association and a co-operative market—the Vermont Maple Sugar Makers' Market—established by members of the association. Membership of the market is open to any producer buying a ten-dollar share; there are 50 members; and the market does a business of over 60.000 dollars a year. The market association buys the sugar and syrup outright from the producer, paying according to quality and density, and the demands of the season. Any producer, whether member or not, may sell to the market. The goods are graded and packed under co-operative management, and sold under the name and with the guarantee of the association. In 1910, interest at 5 per cent. was paid on the shares. The foundation of the Maple Sugar Makers' Association dates from 1893, and, outside the fruit industry is apparently the only long-established co-operative selling agency in New England.

#### § 3. The extent to which co-operative action is developed.

Turning once more to the official report we find that from the information available it is difficult to say to what extent the reporting associations are genuinely co-operative, to what extent they have been successful, or what proportion of even any single product is marketed through co-operative organizations.

The terms co-operation, association non-profit and the like are nowhere clearly defined, and it is not always evident what degree of strictness are to assign to them. The question of the distribution of the share spital will suffice to illustrate the difficulty. In some cases no infor-

mation is given; in others, abares are distributed on the basis of are owned under cultivation. Frequently the purchase of a share is ineffect only the payment of an entrance fee; in one case a members' holding is limited to ten shares of one hundred dollars each; in another case, already referred to, no person may hold more than ten per cent of the total number of shares issued.

The voting is often democratic—one man one vote; sometimes each share carries a vote; sometimes the basis is the amount of produc

shipped, or the number of acres owned.

Occasionally there are transactions between associations and growers or between one association and another, which are difficult to classify One association which pools and markets the produce of its members. paying them the average price realized, authorizes its manager to puchase fruit from outside growers when supplies from members are below the average; and, in the district in question, fifty per cent. of the grown are independent. The California Fruit Growers' Exchange acts as the selling agency of the California Fruit Exchange at a fixed charge of 15 dollars per car, but there is apparently no agreement as to the disti. bution of any profits arising out of the transaction. The California Fruit Distributors, again, is a non-profit association, but the constituent mem. bers are private firms who both buy fruit outright and act as selling agents on commission for growers. Here the advantage to the famer is indirect, and determined by the extent to which his interests at identified with the private firms. But the chief difficulty arises from the fact that the consumer, in most cases, seems as remote as ever from the producer, and the advantages he has reaped are entirely problem atical. The report says: "Co-operative marketing does not necessarily and in fact often does not, eliminate any middleman in the process a distribution". Apparently consumers will have to organize purchain associations on a considerable scale before any appreciable saving b them can be effected. One extract from the report of the secretary a large association handling citrus fruits will suggest a reason. The secretary writes: "The average grocer fixes a price per dozen for ace tain size fancy orange at the beginning of the season, and maintain that price whether he pays \$3.50 per box for his fruit or pays but \$2 Thus the lower price to the producer does not stimulate increased on sumption, as the consumer gets no benefit from it ".

As is pointed out in the report, farmers do not co-operate to benefit consumers, but to secure better terms for themselves, and the report from associations are practically unanimous in saying that the member are satisfied that they have reaped great benefit from co-operation. That competition between co-operation and private enterprise is been may be inferred from the fact that one association has been forced to dissolve after doing business successfully for mineteen years. It is apparently, because members found that in some cases independently growers had obtained higher prices for their goods, and in spite of the

that the average price obtained by members during nineteen years much higher than the average obtained by independent growers. So far comparatively few associations have proved to have sufficient ibility to survive wide fluctuations from normal conditions. Exceptional isons, good or bad, with rapidly rising or rapidly falling prices; have en fatal to many farmers organizations. But the dissolution of one sociation very often leads to the formation of another composed largely the same members, and the new one learns from the experience of a old and improves its administration and its methods. Thus the ascitations are gradually attaining to more flexible and permanent forms organization; and co-operation, availing itself of the results of investition, and wisely directed, promises in the near future to contribute nely to the solution of the problem of cheaper marketing.

#### § 4. THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE OFFICIAL REPORT.

In proposing the establishment of a Division of Markets in the Deartment of Agriculture, the report outlines the work already performed by the Department in connection with the marketing of farm products; then, after noting that certain proposed services are practically impossible, it suggests what lines of service could most usefully be undertaken by such a Division.

A general market news service is declared impracticable, first, on the mund of the enormous expense it would involve, and, secondly, because a funishing such a service the Department would practically be incuring responsibility for the results of huge selling operations, and "the miavourable experiences would be the ones that the public would remember". The cost of a telegraphic news service, for all products and the whole country, is estimated at a million dollars or more, and since single association spends 75,000 dollars yearly in telegraphing, the stimate is probably a low one. The publication of official information san implied indication of the markets to which shipments should be inected by producers, but the Department would have no power of replating the quantity of produce to be shipped, as has a marketing sociation, which is besides, itself in a position to obtain equally accurte information. The inevitable result would be universal dissatisfaction with the government service.

We shall follow the report in its enumeration of the proposed func-

A Division of Markets could promote the organizations of marketing sociations wherever the farmers request information or assistance. It light through field agents, perform good service in examining into the fairs of weak and unsuccessful associations, and advise changes for their provement.

It should compile and publish a list of associations for marketing farm produce, and of agencies which sell for associations of farmers, and a list of buying associations and agencies of associations of on aumers.

The experience of marketing associations in foreign countries, in possible utilization by producers in the United States, should be examined

A list of commission merchants in a city, for whom the board of trade or chamber of commerce would stand sponsor, might be published by the Division.

It should ascertain in connection with the other crop-reporting service of the Department, the prospective quantitative production of all vegetables, fruits, berries and other crops of considerable commercial account; and should investigate the subjects of the grading and packing of products, standard packages or containers, uniform weights and measure, and the peculiar problem of market preferences.

The Division should prepare a description of principal markets, and should ascertain the facts with regard to routes, methods, time and costs of transportation; and should investigate the question of storage at shipping points and in transit. The costs of distribution of all farm producer, from producer to consumer, should be investigated; these costs should be itemized, and their total compared with prices at the farm and consumers' prices.

The report concludes its recommendations by saying: "If such an office is established, its duties should be mostly permissive instead of mandatory, in order that it may not undertake too much in the beginning and that it may feel its way to the service it can be perform ".

In accordance with the recommendations Congress has made a first appropriation of 50,000 dollars for the creation of a special division which, under the title of the Office of Market Investigations, has already begun its labours.

#### ITALY:

# ITALIAN COLLECTIVE FARMS, ESPECIALLY LABOURERS' COLLECTIVE FARMS

by Prof. A. SERPIERI and Dr G. MANI.

#### CHAPTER I.

E VARIOUS TYPES OF COLLECTIVE FARMS IN ITALIAN AGRICULTURE.

When about ten years ago the system of collective farming began extend in Italy and to be studied, two types were early distinguished, wan in the literature of the subject as collective farms under joint asgement, and collective farms under divided management, respectively. The distinction was based on the different methods of organisation, the first case each labourer belonging to the society renting the land entaking to farm separately a portion of land rented by him, while in other case the farming of the whole undivided area was undertaken the society itself, the members providing the necessary labour.

The distinction seemed at first sufficiently clear, and such that the ian collective farms might be logically classified in accordance with it. the association of collective farming with agricultural systems of more more different character and the employment of it by various classes bourers shows more and more the inadequacy of the original distinction; how, instead of serving to explain, it conceals far more substantial rences.

The collective lease — that is the lease of a farm by a group of labis a means that may serve very different ends: if we wish
idenstand its meaning and value, we must consider it and distinguish
relation to these various ends, which have their raison a three in the
omic characteristics of the class of agricultural labourers who desire
tain them and the agricultural system under which they work.

The field labourers do not in fact form a homogeneous class. Without considering here those labourers who possess farms suftly large for themselves (we might call them small autonomous land is)—since the institution of collective farms does not concern them is—the great body of agricultural labourers working altogether or

partly on other people's land, is divided into many classes with different economic and social characteristics.

It may suit all these classes to obtain the use of land on which to work by means of collective farming; but the farm so formed presents a very different appearance according to the class of labourers forming the society. It follows that, with these various classes of labourers there may correspond an equal number of types of collective farm, differing in origin, in aim, and in arrangement.

Limiting ourselves to the consideration of the types that have been adopted in Italian agricultural economy, we think it well to distinguish

the three following.

## § I. COLLECTIVE FARMS ON THE COLONY SYSTEM (Sistema colonico).

A system of farming very widely diffused in Italy is that which we

shall call the colony system.

Its characteristic is the permanent division of the land into separate units (colonies, farms, etc.), each of sufficient area and so arranged as to demand the whole or almost the whole attention of a peasant family, with little need for recourse to outside assistance and only in exceptional cases.

We find two expressions used — colonia (colony) and famiglia colonica (colonist family) — in necessary correlation with each other, which suffices to stamp the whole system, notwithstanding the various forms it assumes, with certain uniform characters.

The colonist families, each of which undertakes to farm a colony, ret the land, either immediately from the land holder or sometimes from an

intermediate tenant farmer.

Sometimes there is a small colony lease, when the family undertake to work the whole farm at a fixed rent; sometimes a share tenancy, when it receives a portion of the profits from the farm, contributes part of the capital required and bears a part of the costs; sometimes there is a combination of small colony lease for certain classes of production and of share tenancy for others; sometimes the form is rather nearer that of an agricultural contract, and the family is paid at a rate, to a large extent fixed, independently of the results of the undertaking, though even then, the profits are in large part shared in the case of certain crops; and the colony contract may assume many and many other forms through which it is easy to trace the gradual elevation of the labourer from the position of a mere daily paid hand to that of a small capitalist and farm manager.

The attainment of economic independence in this way forms for the most part the ideal towards which the labourer's efforts are directed, and which the strongest actually realise: they are attracted — as to the ultimate goal — by the flattering vision of a small independent holding.

afficient to provide the family group with labour and sustenance, athout the need for recourse to outside employers of labour.

The Colony system, as above defined, is extremely widespread in taly, above all in Central Italy, and in the belt of hills and plateaux etween the great curve of the Alps and the plains.

Coffective farming has been here and there started in connection ith this system. The collective farms under divided management in ambardy, and in some parts of Venetia and Piedmont, are for the most art of this type. Divided management here precedes the collective lease: is nothing else but the division of the land into colony units, charactertic of the already existing land system.

What are the reasons for this collective lease? They may be reduced. a the following:

(1) When the peasant families have passed a colony contract not directly ith the owner of the land, but with a large tenant farmer substituting. im the society composed of the peasant families may again substitute itself of this tenant farmer. The society will then have more or less extensive. motions, according as those of the tenant farmer it substitutes were nore or less extensive. When the colony contract is identical with or esembles, in the manner indicated above, a small colony lease, the funcions of the large tenant farmer are evidently very limited, and are those i an administrator and surety more than anything else (1). Not seldom so it is his part to provide the colonists with credit for farm purposes n for their personal needs. In any case, in this instance, he does not ppear, or only to a limited degree appears, as the farm manager. If, n the other hand, the colony contract more nearly approaches an agriultural labour contract, then the large tenant farmer is really a farm unager, assisting the production extensively with his work as manager nd his capital.

It is after the first manner that the collective farms have been generly formed. The peasants' society has then limited duties as security, rovider of credit, and administrator; but its fundamental raison d'tire, om the economic point of view, consists in this that, in certain agriculnal districts, where there is no very keen competition among the large mant farmers, their limited services are remunerated at an unusually gh rate: their substitution by peasants' societies gets rid of this monpoly of profit, thus benefiting the peasants themselves and often the roprietor, who, in view of the increased competition, is in a position to ise his rents. Very often then the co-operative institutes exert an tion in favour of the re-establishment of the ordinary conditions of impetition.

The success of this action of collective farms on the colony strm, depends on whether the peasants' society really succeeds in

<sup>(1)</sup> The landholder, for greater security and in order the more easily to collect his nis, may in fact prefer to rent his farm to a single large tenant farmer giving good curity rather than rent the separate portions to so many peasants.

discharging the office of the former tenant farmer at less cost. The experience of Italy seems to show that in many cases it is possible; but often the advantage attained benefits not only, nor principally, the colonist; but also the proprietor.

(2) But in the colony system, collective farming has sometimes had a second motive or at least a second effect: it has been an instrument in the elevation of individual colonists to the condition of independent contractors, towards which, as we have said, all their efforts tend.

This second action is sometimes contemporaneous with the former. It has thus occurred that colonists bound by a contract not yet quite that of lease, but akin to that of lease, (for example, the mixed contract of lease and share tenancy referred to above) have not only substituted their society for the large tenant farmer, intermediate between them and the proprietor, but have individually been invested with the office of manager formerly held by him: they have, that is to say, transformed their colony contract into a contract of lease: the society has substituted the tenant farmer, but without assuming the office of agricultural manager, which it leaves to its individual members. The colonists, while getting rid of the intermediary between them and the landholder, have all been transformed into small independent farmers.

This collective rise towards the management of farms has sometimes been realised independently of the elimination of the large tenant farmer. And this has happened when, on the one hand, the landholder has found it convenient to consolidate his rents and fix their amount, renouncing all his rights to any share in the farm, and again when the colonists have found it convenient to undertake the entire management each of his own farm. But instead of this taking place through the action of single independent colonists, with independent contracts of small lease, it has brought about through the action of the group of colonists, by means of a single contract of lease, subject to the immediate successive subdivision of the total rent among the individual farms and the individual colonists renting them.

When the collective farm acts in this second manner, it is obvious that its success is affected by the greater or less technical and financial preparation of the colonists to assume the management at their sole charge. In the mass of colonists in the society, all cannot show an equal degree of preparation: if the number unprepared is excessive, the whole organisation may fail. But if the preparation is sufficient and sufficiently distributed, the system of collective farming generally allows of the object being more economically attained, than by the separate action of individual colonists. The independent action of many peasants, competing separately for the lease, almost always results, as experience shows, in raising the price of the land to an exaggerated degree, and increasing the rent often enormously. It is just a moderating action on the usual price of the sol that constitutes, in this case, the substantial advantage of collective faming for the members renting the land.

(3) We must not neglect to mention a third, manifestation of the coin of the collective farms, almost always associated with the foregoing, be association for renting land, that has created bonds of fellowship among the members, forms an excellent foundation for the other more common arms of co-operative action, such as collective purchase and sale, collective so of agricultural machinery, intermediate action for credit, etc.; all the must fact that save the small farmer from dangerous economic isolation.

The society further may undertake the useful work of technical and cial assistance, education etc. for the benefit of its members.

# § 2. COLLECTIVE FARMING ON LARGE LANDED ESTATES.

A second type of collective farming is offered us by the region of tilundia in Sicily.

Here, we have no longer to consider a system of landed estates colonda as above explained, but a land system in which latifundia prevail.

By latifundia we do not simply mean very large landed estates: a age estate may include many latifundia, and one of these again may long to many proprietors. The Sicilian latifundium is an agricultural sit, generally of an area of between 200 and 1,000 hectares, cultivated trasvely, as grain farm and grazing farm. The farming is sometimes aried on by the proprietor, more often by a large tenant farmer (gallato).

Sicily is not quite entirely occupied by latifundia, although the greater nt of it is and consequently certain social characteristics are impressed on the whole country; besides the sea coast where intensive cultivation trees (citrus fruits and vines) prevails and is associated with a very ferent land system, even in the interior of Sicily, where latifundia prevail, ere still remains a portion of the soil, where, above all, in the neighurhood of inhabited centres, the land is divided into small farms and ntinuously sown, especially with beans and grain, planted with trees, gether with extreme subdivision of the soil. Typical latifundia serve, as have said, for the cultivation of grain and pasturage, the alternation which is interrupted by the land being left fallow and in the January d October preceding the sowing of the grain repeatedly ploughed (at st three times). It may be sown with grain two or more years in sucsion: after which it is grazed for one or more years, the area utilised each kind of farming depends on the length of time for which it is utilised. There has been a tendency in recent decades to restrict the a of the land utilised for pasture and to extend that of the grain fields; some cases, instead of the ground being left fallow and ploughed, it ultivated, at least to some extent, with beans and other hoed crops. In s case we have portions of latifundia tending to approach the methods the small farms referred to above.

The manager of the estate (proprietor or tenant farmer) seldom work it enclusively with his own capital (livestock, implements; etc.) and only with day labourers: the case, indeed, has been growing rarer lately. It most cases, that portion of the latifundium, that, in its turn, has to be sow with grain, is divided into small lots (generally of from 1 to 6 hectars each of which is granted, together with the seed he requires, to a peasan who enlitivates it with his own hands, either entirely at his own risk, it return for a fixed quantity of grain (contract called terratico), or partials his own risk, in return for a proportion of the harvest (contract callemetateria) (1). The lots are formed and granted to the peasants year by year, sometimes the concession is for a longer period, when the peasan undertakes to plough the fallow land before sowing grain, or to cultivate the crops (principally beans) planted as an alternative to letting the law lie fallow, or when grain is to be grown on the same lot more than one

Also that portion of the *latifundium* utilised for pasturage, the are of which is, besides, being continually reduced, is not always kept by the farmer for his own livestock: often it is leased to others, who undertak livestock improvement for their own account, with the help of pair

la hourers.

It is easy to see from the above that, when the estate is not farmed by the owner, but (as is most usual) by the tenant farmer or gabellow, the business of the latter is often rather commercial than agricultural or, as some say, he is rather an intermediate speculator who, after he has acquired the use of the soil from the proprietor, sells it to real farmen (peasants and livestock improvers).

Together with this land and agricultural system we have briefly de scribed, we find a class of peasants, in which it may be well to distin

guish various groups.

Small laudholders, autonomous in the sense we have given this word are not wanting, especially in the coast region of intensive cultivation, but they are very few and of no importance from the point of view of collective farming.

More numerous are the labourers, who with nothing but their ame and the simplest farm implements, authentic members of the proletarist

<sup>(</sup>t) As a rule the shares are equal. But various deductions are generally made from the peasant's half, in favour of the farmer, and the latter receives payment for all of the seed he supplies in advance. The variations in the contracts, like the variations in the amount of the fixed quantity of grain in the case of the terratico contract are, on the sinked, and on the other hand, to the varying fertility of the portion of the land, and therefore to the varying amount of labour and capital the peasant needs in order to obtain a find amount of grain at the harvest. In fact, the lot may be assigned after the fallow goved has been ploughed by the farmer; or immediately after the grazing season, when the peasant will be obliged to plough it with his own livestock, or eventually to cultivate the cover of the most part beans) planted as an afternative to letting the ground lie fallow; or site a previous grain crop. And as the contracts vary according to the previous use make a the land, so also they vary with its quality.

and manual labourers, sell their work day by day (as giornalies) or by northly or yearly contract (as mesalori, annalori). There is a demand for ay labourers in the districts where the land is subdivided and intensive aming is carried on, and also on latifundia, cultivated for the account the farmer, (where they work as hoers and reapers, etc.), while labourers at yearly or monthly contract are chiefly required for herding livestock or or ploughing. Some of these inferior labourers also apply for grants of lots on contracts that do not entail the possession of stock.

But the most numerous and typical class of Sicilian peasants is that if labourers possessing, a little property, however small. They often also ossess houses: they have, either as their own, or on long lease, some small ortion of land, though indeed quite insufficient for their maintenance and hey have one or two head of draught cattle (mules, oxen). The possession of these makes it easy for them to obtain the grant of lots in the latimidia, in accordance with the more usual conditions which we shall injuste. And their household may derive its support from various sources in varying proportion; fractions of land belonging to others taken on a small less, or on the condition of sharing the harvest, and wages for day labour on farms or in other productive businesses.

Those amongst the labourers who, best provided with capital and and of their own, are able almost entirely to give up working for hire, and above all take small leases of land, — who may more decidedly assume the appearance and the duties of small independent farmers — are called bross. To raise himself to the position of a borgese is the ideal of the humblest of the lowest class of peasants (1).

We see the great difference between these Sicilian peasants and those

wring on the colony system, above described. In the colony system, the abouter's family is completely bound to the agricultural unit — the farm, be colonist, when he has found a suitable farm, works and lives on it, item for a long series of years. His household economy is perfectly analged and adjusted to the farm he works: and the farm must also be adapted to the necessities of the labourer's household economy.

But the Sicilian peasant — when he can escape from the position of a simple labourer, in which he has uncertainties and the risk of unemployment to fear — himself works the land, or, more exactly the farms enusted to him by various farmers and remains essentially a stranger to the land he works: the farms on which he is employed are constantly changing. Even when he undertakes to cultivate a particular farm on terms which subject him to the same risks as a tenant farmer, he is substantially only a labourer, not a farm manager, if by the latter expression we independ on the farm.

For such a class of labourers and such an agricultural system, what meaning has collective farming?

<sup>(</sup>i) In connection with this, see Prof. Lorenzoni's magnificent report on Sicily, in the \*Parliamentary Enquiry Info the Conditions of the Pensants in Sicily and the Southern Profinces". 1979.

If we consider the position of those peasants who, year hy year, or at very short intervals, have to reconstitute the bases of their labour applying to various proprietors and tenant farmers for a sufficiently large number of lots, —if we consider their position; more especially, in the peniod when, while the owners of latifundia remained constant to their system of extensive cultivation, the peasant population went on increasing with no relief from emigration, which only began quite recently among the Sicilians, — we shall soon understand how the proprietors and tenant farmers found themselves in the most favourable position to impose on the peasants burdensome conditions, which hardly left them enough to limpose on. The peasants were also constrained to have recourse to loans in kind, and found the tenant farmer ready to grant them, but on equally hard terms.

Under these circumstances it is evident what was the essential task of the collective farm society. It had to obtain farms for the labourers, its members; on the most favourable conditions. The labourers' society competes with the tenant farmer for the lease of the entire latifundium; so it obtains possession of a number of farms, out of which the members con obtain the lots they require to constitute, or more often to complete

their farms.

Its clear that the collective farms in this operation present points of

contact with those of the colony system already examined.

Thus here also we find the elimination of the large tenant farmers—not unfrequently reduced to the position of simple intermediaries—where gains, out of proportion to their services, as was often the case, are no transferred to the peasants, or, to the landowner, when he is able to increase his rents.

Here also we find a moderating infinence exerted upon the raising of rents, through the collective demand for a large stretch of land, in place of

hundreds of separate competing demands for small parcels,

Here also often we find a general co-operative action for the benefit of members, in the field of collective purchase and sale, credit, technical and social assistance etc. In Sicily the credit business has specially developed, because many collective farms have been recognised as intermediaty bodies between their members and the Bank of Sicily for the purpost of the Agricultural Credit Law of March 20th, 1906.

But with these analogies we find no less considerable differences.

We also hear of Sicilian collective farms on the system of divided management: but it is a divided management of a very different character from that of the colony system. There it corresponds with the formation of units, each of which is a small farm in itself: the member of the collective farm society finds the lot he is to work already in order for him, and he works it on the usual well known lines.

But when, in Sicily, the peasants' association undertakes the working

of a latifundium, it has two courses open to it.

entirety: then the association fixes year by year the mode of cultivation

of the tatifundiam (dividing it for grain dubtivation, pasturage and fallow and provides for the utilisation of the pastures and the fallow and and distributes in proportion among its members the lots to be own on conditions similar to those previously in use, except that the conditions are as little burdensome as possible. The peasants' economy does not vary from the system previously in use: the divided managehas, therefore a totally different character from that it has in the colony system. The whole amount of land the peasant works, in part granted him by the collective farm society of which he is a member and almost always in part obtained from other sources, is still not one farm, but the temporary association of fractions of various farms for the purnoses of cultivation. The technical organisation of the farm is not inchided among the personal duties of the peasant: it is rather the business of the association, as regarding the latifundium leased. And it is not difficult as long as the earlier arrangement continues, but it might become exceedingly serious if that has to be transformed.

But the collective farm society may pursue another course, which may seriously alter the old technical organisation, even from the be-

ginning.

The division of the latifundium among the members of the collective farm society may acquire a permanent character to last for the whole period of the contract of lease; the lots obtained by this division may become large enough to demand at least the greater part of the labour of the family to which they are granted and which has to organise the farming and manage the farm on its own account, contributing a proportion of the rent.

This is, substantially, the substitution of a new system on the basis of small farms for the ancient latifundium system. The association resources all its technical functions in fayour of the individual members; but these then find themselves faced by a serious problem, that of determing what new technical organisation is suited to the small farms entrusted to them.

We may say that, really, the Sicilian collective farms besitate between these two systems, without having yet taken a decided line, or

definite position.

Often, yielding to the eager demand for land on the part of the uts, they have ended by dividing the whole latifundium among their imbers, destroying the ancient organisation, based on the alternation cereal crops, pasture and fallow land. But as the lots are too small, account of the large numbers of members applying for them, it has of been possible to form independent farms on the colony system, so the peasant is compelled always to treat them as supplementary to farms; and the serious difficulties inherent in the technical problem went the societies seeing their way to a vital arganisation of these larges, although there is reason to praise certain considerable im-

We have only desired to point out the special characteristics of these similar collective farms. They, therefore, it is true, present analogies with those originating under the colony system, but also profound differences. To sum up, it is enough to remember that generally the lots they provide do not demand the whole activity of the labourer but only structure as means of adding to his resources: and again when the ancient latitude immorganisation has had to be abandoned, the association or the individual members separately find themselves confronted with serious and urgent technical problems.

In this respect, they present certain analogies with the Emilian lab

urers' farms.

In a certain sense, the Sicilian collective farms form a link between those founded on the colony system and those of the Emilian labourers with which we shall now deal.

#### § 3. LABOURERS' COLLECTIVE FARMS.

In Emilia the traditional form of agriculture is again the colony system.

But it is not there the colonists, but another class of labourers, the bracetanti or day labourers, who have instituted collective farming

What is the origin and position of this class of labourers in an agricultural region in which the colony system as a rule prevails?

Circumstances of various character have united to form and consolidate in Emilia, or rather in certain parts of Emilia, where the collective farms have especially arisen, numerous groups of day labourers.

We must, in the first place, observe that in the Emilian plain, extending over the provinces of Parma, Reggio, Modena und Ferrara, then have long been in use forms of colony contract reducing to a minimum the participation of the labouring family in the management of the fami, the remuneration consists to a large extent in fixed wages, to which is added, in a subordinate degree as a supplement, a share in certain am produce: In the share tenaucy and similar contracts, in which the remueration of the labouring family consists solely in a share in the product, a certain proportion has to be maintained between the working capacity of the colonist family and the requirements of the farm; but in the colony contracts of a different type, mentioned above, the manager of the fam (proprietor or large tenant farmer) has far greater liberty and often avails himself of it to assign to the colonist family such of the necessary fam work as remains unvaried or almost so for the whole year, and to provide by means of outside labourers (day labourers or adventious labourers) for the more urgent requirements of the farm at their special season.

Even in the case of share tenancies, it appears in certain seasons (for example, at the time of harvesting certain crops), the colonist family

inds itself insufficient for the requirements and has to have recourse to be help of adventitious labourers; but this occurs to a limited degree and often the colonist families provide—and did so to a greater degree in he past—by helping each other in turn (exchange of labour).

On the other hand, it may be a usual thing to call in ontside help, o a considerable degree, when the colony contracts are based on fixed rages. It may be said that the colony system, reduced to this form, is using its essential characteristics more and more: the division of the and into colonies is more and more losing its raison a tire: the autonomy of the farm being reduced, the system approaches the type of the large midvided farm which provides, by means of paid labour, fixed or adventious, according as is necessary, for its requirements.

This type of large farm with paid labour has long prevailed in erain regions on the confines of Emilia, such as the province of Mantua,

here also similar collective farms have arisen.

At the date of the Enquiry carried out by Jacini (1881) a very sarked tendency was observed in the provinces of Reggio, Parma etc., o substitute for share tenancy families (métayers, etc.), families of boars nd families da spesa, by which names those colonist families receiving a large extent fixed wages, are indicated. In the conditions of the abour market at that date the transformation suited the immediate sterest of the farm manager, who obtained the labour he required at as cost, and had recourse, to a greater or less extent, to adventious help.

But another circumstance helps to keep up the demand for adventious labourers in certain parts of Emilia and the adjacent regions (the rovince of Mantua).

In the lower parts of the valley of the Po. the Provinces of Ravenna dogna, Ferrara, Modena, Reggio and Mantua, there were and still are unsiderable areas where the water has not been drained so as to allow fordinary cultivation. Hydraulic works on a large scale, with the menanical raising either of the soil or the water level, and the construction canals, have gradually improved the district; but it is not everywhere at these works have been completed, and, where they have been, it is it everywhere that the transformation of the bare soil just reclaimed om the water into intensively cultivated farm land has been completed.

In those districts where the marshes have been drained, transitional stems of agriculture are adopted; the cultivation of rice permits of the lisation even of land difficult to drain; at a more advanced stage of ansiomation we find dry crops (wheat, incern etc.), on large farms, here the land is not yet completely drained, grown amidst singular ficulties and serious risks.

There are new lands, where the colony system, at least for the moent, certainly could not be applied and provision is made for the work cultivation by the employment of adventitious labourers. On the her hand, the execution of the above hydraulic works (construction of

canals, embankment of rivers etc.) has steelf areated a considerable demand

Finally, we must not forget that farms and share tenancies, as we have incidentally noted, also demand, at certain seasons of the year, additional adventitious labourers.

All these reas us make possible the employment of a class of labourn absolutely independent of the farm and not bound by any contract.

absolutely independent of the farm and not bound of the farm colony population and its consequent excess in respect to the requirements of the farms in their primitive organisation led to many members of the colonist families passing into the class of day labourer. This breaking up of the ancient family groups was encouraged by certain tendencies of the day, exciting in the labourers the desire for greater independence and freedom of action than the colony system allows. The period of serious crisis through which Italian agriculture passant proprietors who were thus driven to increase the proletariate host. When, then a considerable demand for adventitious labour was created, the class of labourers ready to satisfy the demand was increasing.

But for a long time, up to 1890 and later, a certain equilibrium between supply and demand was only established by reduction of the rate of wages to an extreme degree.

The report of the Jacini Agricultural Enquiry of 1881 above relend to is full of references to this excess of labourers daily increasing in numbers and of their consequent most unhappy condition.

Here and there, the evil was somewhat reduced by a current of emigration, but this was never sufficient to serve as a radical remedy, partly on account of the special attachment of the population (or at least of a certain section of it) to the land. The disproportion between supply and demand became more marked in moments of agricultural crisis, as that referred to about 1880 when the number of day laboures increased to a larger extent through the continual abandonment of their farms by métayers and other colonists, while the proprietors found their means for remunerating labour reduced.

It is also worthy of mention that about this time the habit of letting their farms began to spread among the proprietors, while previously it was quite an exceptional thing. Senator Tanari, who had to report of Rmilia, for the Jacini Agricultural Enquiry of 1881, observed that there was a tendency among the tenant farmers to convert their tenancies in farms of their own, with paid labourers, in preference on the observem, and summed up his judgment upon them as follows: "they are simply gamblers: they speculate and exert themselves a little more than others for the oppression of their inferiors".

In the agricultural environment we have briefly sketched — as the condition of the day labourers grew worse — socialist propaganda made appearance and under the inspiration of socialist ideas the resistance

of the farm labourers was organized.

In the province of Mantua, organizations for the purpose had arisen a succembed between 1880 and 1890, but it was especially in the next leade that, through the efforts of the socialist party, the farm labourers' agges of resistance acquired great strength and extension in the province if Mantua and in many of the provinces of Emilia. The Labourers' National Federation of these provinces was founded in 1901 and in the next leaf 1,235 leagues had joined it with a total of 227,791 members. This lost was formed in a very large degree of labourers of Emilia and Mantua, it is not here the place to relate the history of the movement: it is

fris not here the place to relate the instory of the invesiment. It is long to take note of those of its effects that gave rise to the foundation collective farms.

The resistance of the peasants found its expression at the first prinjally in strikes which were very numerous and serious. The masters,
the prepared to defend themselves, had to make considerable concessions
the matter of wages and with regard to the improvement of the contions of labour generally. The great technical progress made by agriulture in Emilia, and also, later on, the higher prices obtained for many
mortant kinds of agricultural produce, allowed of the increase of wages
to a certain point, without the managers of the farms suffering too
mostly.

But when, owing to the first successes; the attack was repeated, and seemed sometimes not only with the object of obtaining economic imprements, but with that of a more profound social revolution, the step began to defend themselves, and they also organized themselves gly. Unsuccessful strikes became more frequent: mutual understand-between the two organized classes began to take their place. To day, he region under consideration, the conditions of labour are often regulby collective agreements, although these are not always respected. But other consequences soon made themselves apparent in the organom of agricultural production, on the one hand, and the direction a by the action of the labourers, on the other, and with these the in of the collective farms is especially associated.

When the managers of the farms found the cost of labour, especially dventitious labour, increased, they had soon to adapt their farms to new situation. And with the following results: the reduction of an kinds of farming requiring a large number of hands (e. g. rice cultion) as through the rise in wages they were now less profitable than reliads of farming (e. g. livestock improvement) requiring less labourthe introduction of machinery on a large scale, which permitted of an operations; above all reaping, being performed at less cost; the adomment of much work the farm managers found convenient formerly, wages were low, but no longer so, now that they had been raised (1).

<sup>(</sup>i) It is often forgotten that the quantity of labour required for a certain system of ration is very variable. Wheat may require from forty to eighty days' labour per late. If the price of labour rises, the quantity that can be employed with advantage to lam, caderis paribus, decreases. Even if the kind of farming is not changed, it is hel on with a smaller number of hands,

All this led to a decrease in the demand for labour especially for adventitious labour. And it was even further reduced in the case of share tenancies, where the tenant, who, according to the more usual contacts, bore the cost, together with his family performed a marvellous amount of work, rather than pay the high wages asked by the day labourers. If to this we add the decrease, in certain districts, in the number of large public undertakings, we shall understand the serious unemployment of this class of labourers,

But another tendency soon appeared of no less serious consequence for them; the farm managers began to return to the system of employing

permanent colonist families instead of daily paid labourers.

Those forms of colonies which gave the family of labourers a large interest in the farm — if, in previous years they had been, as we have seen, often replaced by means of labourers on wages, which, with the low wages of the time, was a cheaper system — are now coming again into favour, not only because it is often not possible to obtain the necessary labour cheaper, but also, and above all, because the tenant farmers are better guaranteed in this way against the risk of strikes.

Indeed, there are very special difficulties in the way of the enployment of agricultural labourers absolutely without any interest in the undertaking. The nature of many farming operations prevents their control: their supervision is difficult and costly. The absence of any direct interest easily leads to small production. That may even be borne in the case of extensive farming with few labourers, or if the wages are very low, or if a sufficient substitute for an interest in the fam's to be found in the fear of unemployment, as is the case when the competition between the labourers is very keen. But if, in the case of intensive cultivation, a large part of the profits is required for the remuneration of the labourers, if wages are high, if the organisation of the labourers reduces or eliminates competition and sometimes does not even allow the farm manager a free choice, if, through the socialist propaganda, there as become rooted in the labourers' mind a much stronger sense of the ontrasts than of the points of contact between his interests and those of the farm, it is extremely difficult to work a farm chiefly on the basis of day labour. If we add to this the risk of strikes at harvest time, a very serious risk in an undertaking of such a character, it is easy to understand the tendency referred to above of limiting the employment of day labour and extending that of colonist families with an interest in the undertaking

Even where the conditions of the farm do not permit of the application of the colony system altogether, and it is necessary to have recome to outside labour, an attempt is made to give the labourers an interest in the business. Among the forms adopted for the purpose, special mention must be made of what is called partitanza, a system widely diffused in the territories we are considering, originally, in the rice fields and, later, on other kinds of farms. It is a contract passed between the farm manager and the labourer for definite agricultural work. The labourer under

hes all the necessary manual work in return for a share in the profits ad sometimes also he shares in the expenditure on manure, seeds etc. In the new situation which was thus brought about, the labourers. to their strong organisation, ruled the market for adventitious ricultural labour - and, in certain regions, had completely monopolised could indeed keep the wages relatively high, but could not prevent nous unemployment. The system, extensively applied, of turns of labour the distribution of all the labour in the market among all the labres - succeeded, indeed, in distributing the losses through unemployment ong them. Nor can it be denied that - continuing so to monopolise market - they might have finally succeeded in attaining a higher total ome than that obtained by longer annual empl oyment on lower wages. But the fact remains that the labourers - occupied for a small mber of days in the year - still did not find themselves in a flourishing mation, and, as the original action of pure resistance produced less and s result, they turned to co-operative action, in order to obtain larger comes. By means of distributive co-operative societies they increased eir real wages, as they obtained the means of existence at lower prices. means of co-operative societies for production and labour, amongst ich the collective farms were largely prominent, they sought to turn account their stock of labour that would otherwise have remained employed.

In the agricultural field this latter form of action became important detended itself after 1002.

However, it had had precedents deserving of notice.

There was, already, many years before, the example of the labourers' operative societies which contracted for the execution of public works: om of undertaking, it is true, extremely simple, but not without imtance as a preparation of the peasants' minds for the creation of collections,

There was besides — and just in the field of agriculture, a form of this participation, the natural result of the combination of two tendies already observed: that of the farm managers to give even the labourers an interest in the farm by means of the contract of partica, and that of the labourers to regulate collectively the conditions of our. There were thus introduced contracts of collective partitanze (coline meriadrie, collective torzarie, the name changing according as a half third part of the produce was granted to the labourer (1), between the manager and a group of labourers and even individual labourers. The extre partitanze which even to day, as we shall see, are widely diffused, already made their appearance, especially for the cultivation of in 1884 (2).

<sup>(1)</sup> We must be careful not to confuse mensadria as a form of partitanta with equal used the produce, with mensadria, as a type of colony contract. After what we have us maid on the colony system and on partitanta, there is no need to say more.

<sup>(</sup>s) it must be mentioned that in the province of Bologna collective messadria was in far the cultivation of rice in 1894 on the estates of the Counts Isolani and Cavassa.

And even in the direction of the entire assumption of the farm management there had been some attempts already made by the Rinlian labourers, though without success (1). But it was really in 1902, as we have said, that the labourers, taking example by the collective farm of Fabbrico, in the province of Reggio Emilia, started just in that year under favourable auspices, entired with great ardour on the course thus indicated so that in ten years they had founded 69 collective farms; distributed as follows in the various provinces:

Reggio E	mi]	lia					٠.	12 (2)
Parma .				- •			2.	. I.
Modena.			. •	÷	,		٠.	I
Mantua.	.•		. 4			•		13
Padua .					•	•		3
Ferrara.				·				4
Bologna						. •		II
Ravenna			٠					24 (3)

The centres from which the movement extended were, in order of time, Reggio Emilia, Bologna, Ravenna, and Mantua.

What then are the caracteristics of the collective farms founded by the Emilian labourers?

They are a means employed by the labourers' organization for obtaining the highest remuneration for the work of their organization labourers. This means is in fact associated with the whole policy of the organization.

If on the farms leased by the labourers, which they work for their own account, they succeed in obtaining an income at least equal in amount to the wages they ask for when working on other farms, this is the best proof of the justice of their claims. To get work on other farms they were obliged to accept lower wages; the farm managers most

<sup>(1)</sup> The association of the Ravenna labourers, afterwards a co-operative society, as in back as 1884 undertook the drainage of the swamps of Ostia and Maccarese in the laps Romano and later, in 1901, the colonisation of the Ostia land they had reclaimed. This undertaking, at least in its initial stage, has not given good results. In 1889, the sur-co-operative society obtained from the commune of Ravenna the lease of 230 bectars, with the object of distributing the land among its members, in turn, in lots of 1 bectar and to be drawn for every three years, with the intention of ensuring for their members a some of subsidiary gain in addition to that from adventitious labour. This collective less the gone through many crises, but it is still in force, and is in course of being better organisal.

<sup>(2)</sup> Of these 12, only six belong to the pure type above described and are associated with the socialistic movement: the other six are mostly composed of colonists [mesores] small farmers etc.) and not of labourers. The colonists make use of these societies to sopplement or enlarge their own little holdings, by means of other lots leased through the operative society, which therefore works in a way similar to that of the Sicilian societies.

<sup>(3)</sup> Including that formed indeed of labourers of Ravenua but working in the portion of Rome, since 1901 on the reclaimed land of Ostia and Finmicino. See note 1, above.

have had a surplus profit, which the labourers succeed in eliminating y means of their co-operative enterprise:

But even if — as we shall see has often been the case — they one succeed in their object even if really they obtain less from the offective farms, in comparison with the wages fixed by the organizations, the system, may still be to the best economic advantage of the ibourers where they are strong enough to monopolise the market for dventitious labour. They then act like the monopolist who finds it in his, advantage to sell a part of his produce for less than cost price in mier to charge higher prices for the rest. They sell at monopolist prices part of their stock of labour; that part that even at that high piec finds a market, while, as regards the rest, employed on their own arms, they content themselves with less.

This, like any other conduct based on a system of monopoly, may esocially injurious: but it cannot be denied that it may be profitable at those who practice the system.

The existence of collective farms also allows of a more suitable uplication of other principles by which the conduct of the organization governed. Where, through the resistance of the masters, or for other easons, a rigid application of the system of turns of labour is not ossible so as to obtain for all the labourers the high salaries it has been exible to fix by means of organization, collective farms may offer a artial remedy by giving more work to those labourers who have been ble to find less employment on other farms.

If there are labourers who, by reason of their age, sex etc., can not y claim to the wages fixed by the organization, the collective farms romise to occupy them in other ways, but in such a manner that their impetition shall not reduce the rate of wages the organization has occeded in fixing (1). The reserve employment, in fact, that the collective imas assure to all, even if at a low rate, clearly gives the labourers' ganization greater strategical power in its struggle against the masters' ranization.

The collective farms of Emilia are therefore an instrument of the ganization of the labourers' class, nor can they be judged independently the whole policy of the organization.

This also explains certain characteristics of their organization, which be better illustrated in the following chapter: in particular, the full of keeping these societies open for as many labourers as to enter them, and of calling them each in turn to give their

<sup>(</sup>i) This action has become more important recently on many co-operative farms, we all in the district of Ravenna, where it often happens that the head of the family div works by the day on private farms, while the other members of the family the most part work on the land belonging to the societies. In this way the total was of the family is more secure, as the certain wages of the head are added to the order income derived from the collective farm.

work, so as to equalize the yearly employment of all, taking account

of the work done by each on other farms.

But these collective farms must be considered also from another larger point of view, less strictly connected with the policy of the organization: that is, with regard to certain consequences, which, even if not desired or not foreseen by the organizers, have, all the same, in our opinion, a very great importance for the labouring class.

We have already had occasion to point out all the difficulties in the way of the employment of adventitious labourers on farms, when they have absolutely no interest in the production and are not employment by a contract for at least a year. The insupportable cost of such labour on farms is often due, more even than to high wages, to the poor result of the labour, and the absolute uncertainty of being able to obtain it when necessary. We are convinced that an agricultural system with free labourers, contracting day by day or by each piece, cannot

endure: it is a pathological organization.

The employment of day labourers on the farms of the regions under consideration, is already, as we have seen, very limited: if it still continues, this is due, more than anything else to reasons inherent in the technical situation of certain territories, that have not yet assumed a definite form and are still in course of transformation. But we believe that it will be further limited: and the work of the collective fams, above described, in completing and keeping up the high wages of the labourers as adventitious workers, will necessarily therefore be more and more reduced. But the collective farms will then have accomplished another very important task: they will have brought back the laboure to the farm: they will have bridged the deep gulf between them as the farm: they will at least have prepared the way for the transformation of a class technically unsuited for agriculture into one bethe suited for it,

On their collective farms the labourers are no longer exclusive such; they are managers, and face all the responsibilities and difficults of the position, and they are going through bitter experiences.

They are, amongst other things, experiencing at their own expense the profound truth we have affirmed as to the importance of the laboures

interest in agricultural work.

In the original arrangement of the work on collective farms, the labourer members were as a rule paid by the day: now experience is shown the necessity of adopting other forms of payment and girlly the labourer a direct personal interest in the profits derived from it work. This means that to get cheap labour it was not enough that then should be a collective joint interest derived from the participation of the labourers as members of the co-operative society managing the fam. Perhaps in time the necessity will be recognised of attaching the labourers more closely to the collective undertaking, avoiding the employment in turn of a number too large for the requirements of the farm. This is today a necessary consequence of the task assigned to the collective

m by the policy of its organization, but we doubt whether the system compatible with the prosperity of a farm, as under the circumstances ere is no possibility of constant and continuous relations between the porrer and the farm he cultivates.

The closed collective farms will not then seem inferior to the open. And then the day labourers will no longer be such: their transformim into groups of labourers that have been able to raise themselves sectively as well as individually to the position of farmers will have

en completed.

Farms thus organised might have a substantial claim to technical penority. The participation of the labourerin the management - which of very special importance — we repeat it once more, in agriculture, was ly realised, in the traditional forms of farming, by means of individual jumily participation in the small holding, the small lease, the share ancy etc., that is, in any case, in the small farm, which is, on technical ands, for many, very many reasons, inferior to the large farm,

The collective farm may unite these two conditions which have t been hitherto united: the large farm with all the more remunerative imical appliances for which it is adapted, and a constant supply of ourers, devoted to the farm and ready to dedicate to it their best our, because it is their farm, because they feel they are working for

mselves.

Is it necessary now to say that the collective farm society presents ry serious difficulties for the labourers? It is enough to remember at they are simple labourers transforming themselves into farmers. At a we see the enormous difficulties in the way of provision of capital dobtaining men of the capacity required to direct the undertaking, ich are the more serious in the case of highly intensive farming such prevails in Emilia. Nor are the difficulties less as regards obtaining use of the land at prices not too high, above all in a region where s conflict is intense, and as regards the maintenance of the necessary upline among the labourer members. How these difficulties have been t and with what results, the reader will see in the following chapter, which we shall give ampler particulars with regard to the organization these interesting farms.

Here we shall only add that some of the societies founded for the ective lease of farms also obtain labour for their members by means the contract of collective partitanza, the origin and the nature of ich we have indicated. This helps them to overcome some of their atest difficulties, above all that of the provision of sufficient working

### RUSSIA.

#### MISCELLANEOUS NEWS.

I. — THE MOSCOW PEOFLE'S BANK. — Last October we dealt with the origin and organization of the Moscow People's Bank. We shall now a produce some statistics relating to the work of this bank, courteously supplied to us by Prof. Totomianz of Moscow.

For the formation of its share capital the Bank had no need of recourse to a public issue; at the first general meeting of shareholders (April 23rd, 1912) all the shares were subscribed and more than half paid up; on the first of October following, the rest of the share capital was paid up in full

On May 1st., 1913 the balance sheet of the bank showed a total of

3,113,123 roubles.

The debits consist principally in the share capital and the deposit, which increased from 3,500 roubles on May 1st., 1912 to more than 1,000,000 roubles on May 1st., 1913.

Such a rapid increase of deposits shows to how great an extent the new

institution has won the confidence of the public.

The bank has already entered into regular relations with a number of the largest Russian and foreign co-operative unions and with some of the zemstvo people's credit banks. It is in a position to grant loans to the 15 loan and savings co-operative societies (banks of the Schulze-Delitzsch 1512) and the 295 credit co-operative societies of the Raiffeisen type working in Russia.

The first working year closed with a net profit of 4,500 roubles, which in all probability, will be paid into the reserve fund. The general meeting of shareholders, held on April 24th, last, decided on urging the Finance Department to add a section to the rules of the bank authorizing it to lend on mortgage of rural estate.

It also decided on the issue of 4,000 new 250 roubles shares. We give below the items of the balance sheet of the institution for the year ending

May 1st., 1913.

# Statement of Accounts of the Moscow People's Bank, May, 1st., 1913

CREDITS		DEBITS	
	Roubles.		Roubles.
Cash	19,379.49	Share Capital	I,000,000
Current Accounts:	•	Reserve Fund	4,583.12
(a) at the State Bank	978.63	Deposits:	41303.14
(b) at Private Banks	33,564.41	(a) At Sight	13,125 —
Bills Discounted	1,788,205.32	(b) For Fixed Periods	374,710 —
Guaranteed Funds of the Bank	91.21	Ordinary Current Accounts .	•
Special Accounts (on Call)		Conditional Accounts	423,759.21
(a) Documents of Title as Se-		Special Current Accounts:	52,062.91
curity	7,500	(a) in Private Banks	
(b) Bills and Bonds	778,127.38	(b) Commissions not Paid .	775,154.00
(c) Goods and Security for			4,028.57
Goods	15,109.60	Bank Correspondents:	•
oreign Securities	821.65	(a) Loro	140,994.62
lonespondents' Accounts:		(b) Nostro	68,686.13
(a) Nostro	146,335.66	Interest Due: on Deposits and	
(b) Loro	225,842.32	Current Accounts	917.45
Purchase and Equipment of		State Dues:	
the Bank	18,014.15	(a) 5 % Collection	737.16
General Expenses	36,614.15	(b) Interest Received on Cur-	
Expenditure to be Repaid .	2,065.28	rent Year's Business	.77,138.21
lebtors for Intermediary Busi-		Commissions	6,211.23
ness	30,829.55	Interest for Following Year .	70.65
fiscellaneous	7,910.50	Suppliers of Goods	18,571.71
		Interest on Deposits and Cur- rent Accounts	13,864.90
		Miscellaneous	
Total			28,040.92
10081	3,113,224.30	Total	3,113,114.30

<sup>2. —</sup> WARSAW CO-OPERATIVE BANK. — There is another Central Co-opertive Bank in Russia, besides the Moscow People's Bank, namely the Warsaw boperative Bank, founded on January 7th., 1910, for the same purpose 5 the other. The initial capital of this bank was 1,000,000 roubles, in 4,000 hars of 250 roubles each. In 1912 it issued 3,000 new shares, which have 4 been subscribed, so that the share capital is now 1,750,000 roubles.

### The shares are held as follows:

# 1st. Issue (1910).

٠,	1,301				•				by private individuals.	
Ċ	1,717								<ul> <li>popular credit establishments.</li> </ul>	
	611								> 72 mutual credit societies	
	193								<ul> <li>4 industrial credit banks</li> </ul>	
	97								<ul> <li>17 agricultural societies</li> </ul>	
	44								<ul> <li>13 distributive co-operative societies</li> </ul>	
	37	•	•	•		•	•		various unspecified co-operative societie	ès
								:	2nd Issue (1912)	
	2,123								by 304 private individuals	
	3,107								287 popular credit establishments	
	1,251								<ul> <li>87 mutual credit societies</li> </ul>	
	170								<ul> <li>21 agricultural societies</li> </ul>	
	76						,		» 14 distributive co-operative societies	
	8a									

The Bank's balance sheet showed a total of 4,000,000 roubles in 1910, increased to 6,704,814 roubles in 1911 and to 9,869,527 roubles in 1912. The following items appeared in it. The figures indicate thousands of roubles.

	January 1st., 1912	January 19t., 1913
Share Capital	. 1,000	1,750
Reserve Fund	. 4.7	19.9
Deposits for Fixed Periods	. 2,087.1	2,192.5
Current Accounts	. 1,351.2	1,882.6
Savings Deposits	. —	242.9
Correspondents	. 1,516.6	2,121.0
Profit	. 73.0	107.8

The total amount of business done in 1910 was 87,000,00 roubles; in 1911, 167,000,000. Among the depositors there were in 1912, 55 popular credit institutions and 2 agricoltural societies, which had deposited 1,636,804 roubles for a fixed term,

In 1912 the bank paid the following interest:

(a) on deposits for one year:

made i	by populas	credit inst	itutions		5 1/4 to 5 1/2 %
					5 to 5 1/4 %
(b) depos	its for six	months .			43/4%
(c) »	» thr	ee »			4 1/2 %
(d) curren	it account	and saving	deposi	ts.	4 %.

The rate of discount for the same year was on an average 6.7 % 61/2 % for popular credit institutions); 48,481 bills for the amount of 13,859,000 ruubles were discounted; of this amount, 10,681,000 roubles represented bills of mutual credit institutions.

In the same year the bank spent 177,000 roubles in purchase of land for its future head quarters. In 1910 a loan and savings bank was started for its employees, and the 56 members had on loan from it 16,236 roubles on the first of last January.

The dividend was 6 1/2 %. The balance sheet for February 1st., 1913

showed the following items.

#### Debits

Oliver Comital		
Share Capital		S
Payments on Shares of New Issue		
Reserve Fund		
Deposits for Fixed Periods	2,159,973 »	
Current Accounts	I,955,92I »	
Savings Deposits	270,623 »	
Correspondents:	,,,	
(1) Mutual Credit Societies (loro)	554,394 »	
(2) » » (nostro)	24,758 »	
(3) Popular Credit Institutions (loro)	896,001 »	
(4) Agricultural Societies	28,459 »	
(5) Miscellaneous Correspondents (loro)	393,080 »	
(6) n n (nostro)	1,732 »	
(7) Correspondents' Bills (loro)		
Bills Discounted	399,402 »	
Transfers to Bank	47,610 »	
Unpaid Dividends	3,803 »	
State Taxes	3,168 »	
Commissions	56,957 »	
» (1912)		
Profit and Loss Account	193,790 »	
Profit and Loss Account	14,938 »	
Charges on Real Estate	74,452 »	
` <b>-</b>		

<sup>9,231,646</sup> roubles

# Credits

* * * * * * *	
Cash and Current Account	195,609 roubles
Foreign Securities	
Documents of Title	164,983
Discounted Bills:	
(1) of Co-operative Societies	
(2) of Private Individuals	664,437
Debtors:	
(1) Guaranteed by Documents of Title	484,782 ,
(2) Garanteed by Commercial Bills	314,951 1
Correspondents:	• • • •
(1) Mutual Credit Societies (loro)	1,140,145
(2) » » (nostro)	380,881
(3) Popular Credit Institutions (loro)	887,613 *
(4) Agricultural Societies (loro)	571,792
(5) Miscellaneous Correspondents (loro)	259,472
(6) » (nostro)	54,658 »
(7) Commercial Bills with Correspondents	449,819 »
Furniture	21,178 »
Working Expenses	11,942 »
n for 1912	11,990 »
Bills Delivered for Payment	241,146 »
Miscellaneous	3 <b>6,4</b> 91 »
Real Estate	188,792
(6) " " (nostro) (7) Commercial Bills with Correspondents	54,658 3 449,819 3 21,178 3 11,942 3 11,990 1 241,146 3 36,491 3

9,231,646 roubles

(Summarised from Vistinia Melhavo Kradita (St. Petersburg). Nos. 9 and 19 of May 1st. and 16th., 1913).

\* \*

<sup>3. —</sup> THE MATERIAL SUPPORT AFFORDED BY GOVERNMENT TO THE POPULAR CREDIT INSTITUTIONS. — On May 8th., 1913, the Government had placed at the disposal of the popular credit institutions an amount of 187,269,100 roubles, 150,007,200 roubles of this sum representing short term loans. Of this latter amount 23,281,000 roubles were for loans secured on pledge, 37,261,900 roubles represented long term loans.

The various loans were distributed as under among the different institons:

Popular Credit Institutions	Long Term Loans	Short Term Loans	Total
	In re	nibles	
340 Co-operative Credit Societies,	28,889,200	115,885,500	144,774,700
Banks	3,599,300	21,343,700	24,939,000
158 Zemstvo People's Credit Banks.	2,575,500	9,653,000	12,228,500
021 Loan and Savings Banks of the Rural Communes	1,881,900	3,125,000	5,006,900
utions	320,000	-	320,000
Total	37,261,900	150,007,200	187,269.100

In addition to these amounts, the debits of the popular credit institions are about 114,652,700 roubles, of which 87,181,000 roubles represent are capital and 27,471,700 roubles represent short term loans.

(Summarised from Viestnik Melhavo Kredila, No. 19 of May 16th., 1913).

# Part II: Insurance

### GERMANY.

HE REPORT OF THE IMPERIAL INSURANCE BUREAU FOR 1912.

OFFICIAL SOURCES:

SCHÄPISBERICHT DES REICHSVERSICHKRUNGSAMTS für das Jahr 1912 (Report of the Impaial Insurance Bureau for 1912). Berlin, Behrend, 1913.

The report for 1912 recently presented to Parliament by the Imperial surance Bureau, contains, like its predecessors, a full account of the action the Bureau in the administrative, judicial and social economic field. As many respects this action also concerns the farmers, we shall speak this article of the work done in 1912 by the Bureau in behalf of the ral classes. However, before dealing with the special action of the mean with respect to the agricultural insurance institutions, we must enion the functions of a general character assigned to this office by a law.

In addition to its ordinary work, the Bureau had in 1912 to prepare regulations for the application of the Imperial Order on insurance; din order to accomplish this heavy task it had to prepare new model les for the professional associations, both industrial and agricultural, and models for their internal regulations; it approved five classifications risks and published a new list of persons insured against disablement disckness. It had to prepare new regulations for sickness insurance cities in relation to elections on the principle of proportional votes; ally, it had to modify all the book-keeping of the various institutions of surance against accidents and disablement and in behalf of survivors, also the sickness insurance statistics have been organised on a new sis.

The Bureau took part in the labours of all the most important conesses of economics and social legislation held last year, with the object of rendering the first aid service in case of accidents more efficient; it endeavoured to bring the professional insurance associations into relation with the Red Cross institutions, and finally encouraged the union of professional associations in order that an exchange of ideas and experience might lead to useful innovations in the organisation of the various institutions. The professional agricultural associations have formed federations and, as the reports of their annual conferences show, this union and agreement have led to important practical results in the field of prevention of accidents, as well as in that of the supervision of farms, of the system of representation before the insurance offices and courts and finally in that of assistance to labourers victims of accidents.

By virtue of § 105 of the Imperial Insurance Order (I) the insurance bureaux of Stuttgart, Darmstadt, Schwerin, Neu Strelitz and Greiz were suppressed, and their functions transferred to the Imperial Bureau, which similarly extended its supervision to nine other professional associations, one of them industrial end eight agricultural, and two other disablement insurance institutions.

.\*.

The organisation of insurance against accidents was in 1912 still in a transition phase, since it was only on January 1st., 1913, that the third part of the Imperial Insurance Order came into operation. On the proposal of the Imperial Bureau, and with the approval of Parliament given on October 10th., 1912, a new professional association for gardeners was founded, many of whom had belonged up to then to professional agricultural associations: the new association, the head quarters of which are provisionally at Cassel, is intended for every region of the Empire, except Bavaria, the Kingdom of Saxony, the Grand Duchy of Hesse, the Duchy of Brunswick, the Principality of Schaumburg-Lippe, Bremen, Hamburg and Alsace-Lorraine.

The model rules for agricultural professional associations were published separately for the Prussian and other associations, and their compilation raised the greatest difficulties, for, in conformity with § 1,037 of the Imperial Order, account had to be taken of the laws in force in the other States.

It is interesting to consider the following data, which show the development of agricultural accident insurance in Germany. In 1912, the professional forestry and agricultural accident insurance societies in Germany were 48, and they insured 17,179,000 persons on 5,434,000 fams. In addition, among the 190 Imperial or State offices with about 890,994 persons insured in them, 54 were represented by forestry or agricultural administrations. If we take into account also industrial associations,

<sup>. (1)</sup> See Bulletin of Social and Economic Intelligence for May, 1913, in connection with the last Conference of these associations, held at Munich.

there are in Germany more than 28,000,000 persons insured against acidents, but the report remarks that about 3,400,000 persons are included nice in this total amount, since they are persons occupied at the same ime in industrial and agricultural work, and thus twice insured.

The accidents in work, whether agricultural or industrial, declared m 1912 were 742,472; the claims paid amounted to about five million narks more than in the previous year and thus exceded 70,000,000 marks. Altogether 1,168,403 persons, including winows, heirs etc., benefited in

his way.

The Imperial Insurance Order lays down strict rules with regard to measures to be taken for the prevention of accidents; in order to superase their application better, the professional agricultural associations have increased the number of their technical employees engaged in this impervision, from 48 to 51. But the Imperial Bureau expresses a desire for a further increase of this number; in fact, the reports of these techaical employees for 1911 show that, thanks to this supervision the numher of accidents and especially of serious accidents is constantly and some times even appreciably diminishing.

Thus the average premiums have also diminished, both those based on the number of the labourers and those based on the land hx. In fact, in 1910, the premiums were fixed by the agricultural molessional associations subject to the supervision of the Imperial Bueast at 51.34% of the land tax and at 1.39 % of the amount of wages, whist, in the previous year, they were respectively 52.85 % and 1,42%. let the report notes that the se figures can only give an approximate Idea of the amount of the premiums. Thus, in the case of the associations hat fix the premiums in proportion to the land tax, it must be remempred that the tax varies in different States, Besides, these associations ometimes fix the premiums for businesses auxiliary to farms, those for arms where the soil is not cultivated, or those for other farms not based in the land tax but on some other system, such as the amount of wages. lalaries etc.

In recent years, the Imperial Insurance Bureau has endeavoured ilso to encourage the professional agricultural associations to undertake to pay for the medical attendance during the first thirteen weeks filer the accident, although they are only bound to do so from the butteenth week. But there is no doubt that the consequences of the ordent may be greatly reduced, if the labourer receives immediate atention, under the best conditions for diagnosis and treatment, and, when in 1914, most of the agricultural labourers are also insured against sickless, the sickness insurance societies will be able to realise this desire of he Bureau more easily than the farmer or the professional association has hitherto been. In any case, the instructions already issued by the bureau to facilitate the organization of this service by the industrial relessional associations have been welcomed not only by the associations, but also by the doctors and this year the Bureau will publish special estructions for the agricultural associations.

The number of appeals against sentences in which claims due on ac count of accident were established were, in 1912 as in previous years, almost twice as many in the case of industrial as of agricultural accidents. In fact, 48,688 appeals were presented in conformity with the law on acrid ents in industrial work and 21,334 in conformity with that on accident in agricultural work. The arbitration courts and the superior insurance offices pronounced in favour of the victim altogether in 15.58 % of the cases. In 89.60 % of the cases the dispute was settled by a judgment of the court; in 3.65 % of the cases the plaintiff withdrew and in 3.30% the parties came to a compromise. The statistical tables accompanying the reports show that compromises are less frequent in the agricultural world. The Imperial Insurance Bureau had to pronounce judgment in 23,001 appeals; the percentage of appeals was greater in the case of disputes in relation to industrial accident insurance (28.76 %) than in the case of these in relation to agricultural accident insurance (16,79%). In the same way, if we consider separately the cases brought by the insurance instit. utions, the percentage is higher in the case of industry than in that of agriculture. Of the judgments against which appeal was brought and on which the Bureau had to pronounce, only 4,625 or 25.1 % were entirely or partially reversed. The Bureau held 1,269 sessions during the year and heard 19,741 debates; 223 sittings and 3,344 debates related to the applications of the law on agricultural accident insurance. It must further be noted that, altogether, in 65.9% of the cases, action was brought on the ground of a change in the condition of the health of the virtin of the accident of a nature to call for a revision of judgment in regard to the payment of the allowance; in 13 % of the cases the dispute was as to the degree of disablement; in 4.2 % of the cases the accident had to be proved; the cases in which it was disputed whether the accident was the cause of the disablement were 8.1 %; and, finally, in 35 % of the cases the question was whether the accident were really an accident in work properly so called. Moreover, this latter cause of dispute was comparatively more frequent in the case of judgments with regard to insurance of agricultural than of industrial accidents; on the other hand, the proportion of the appeals for revision of judgment in respect to the claim awarded was smaller in the case of agriculture than of industry.

\*\*

In the same way, in respect to disablement insurance, the year 1912 was a specially difficult one for the Bureau, as the insurance of heir had to be organized for the first time, and, on January 1st., 1912, the provisions of the 4th part of the Imperial Order were already in force. The following figures sufficiently indicate the progress of sickness and disablement insurance in Germany: in 1911 the 31 insurance institutions granted 117,273 disablement allowances, 11,184 sickness allowances, 11,641 old age pensions, 3,336 pensions to widows or widowers, 98 pensions to sick widows.

; and 12.479 pensions to orphans; altogether 156,011 allowances ding to these the 10.378 allowances granted by 19 other special insurantialistic institutions, we have a total of 166,389 granted during the year. If then consider the allowances granted in previous years we have a al of 2,701,270 allowances. The statistics of recent years show that allowances granted for disablement are becoming continually more merous than the old age pensions; the sickness allowances for temporalisablement have remained almost invariable in recent years, with average of about 16,000 a year.

The total amount of claims paid up to the end of 1911 for disablent insurance was 2,272,298,459 marks, of which 203,866,298 marks
re paid in 1911. The amount formed by the premiums increased
eatly in 1912, in consequence of the new provisions of the Imperial
der and amounted to 270,000,000 marks as against 209,000,000 marks
the preceding year; the report notes that this increase is not merely
to the law raising the premium rate so as to meet the amount due
insurance of heirs, but also to the constant increase of the populon of the Empire, the strict administration of the insurance institutes,
d also, finally, the continual increase of the insured labourers' wages.
may be foreseen that the income from the premiums will continue intaking and that the insurance institutions will perfectly accomplish the
w duties assigned to them by the law, in the institution of insurance

The capital of these institutions at the end of 1912 amounted to out 1,900,000,000 marks, and, as is shown, in the statistical tables acmeaying the report, it is continually increasing.

We mist observe that, whilst in 1891, about 78 % of this capital sinvested in bonds and only 22 % in loans, on the contrary, at the dof 1911, 34.5 % was invested in bonds and 58.7 % in loans; 5.1 % sinvested in real estate. The Imperial Order of 1911, which enjoins the thereforth these insurance institutions must invest a fourth of their stal in Imperial bonds, and Federated State bonds, will certainly preclably after the above proportions.

The insurance institutes do not confine themselves to paying claims money, but they also are intensely active in regard to preventive asures, and the care of the sick, the building of sanatoriums, pitals etc. In 1912, the total expenditure for treatment alone of 47,579 und persons affected with tuberculosis amounted to 18,200,000 marks. The total expenditure for treatment of other diseases 860,000 marks on the cure of the teeth of 31,000 persons. Between 7 and 1911, the insurance establishments had spent altogether on the treatment of their sick, 205,600,000 marks; and about half these invalids treated in sanatoriums and hospitals belonging to the insurance intensections. At the end of 1911, the insurance institutions had spent 130,300,000 marks on the building of hospitals and sanatoriums.

1 30,300,000 marks on the building of hospitals and sanatoriums.

1 30,300,000 marks were specially assigned for the extension of most improved methods of treatment in the country districts.

The insurance institutes also contribute to the fight against alcohol they encourage insured persons to go into inebriates' homes. In 1912 195 persons were thus admitted into such homes, most of them, that's ignored admitted at the expense of the Rhine Provinces insurance establishment into special homes, where they were occupied in summer, in agine cultural work, in winter in carpentering, lock making, the manufacture brushes etc., and the results of this system of treatment may be considered satisfactory.

The capital invested at the end of 1912 in loans for social purpose by the insurance institutions amounted to 1,049,284,614 mks.; this amounted to 1,049,284,614 mks.; thus a for building of labourers' house 396,303,206 mks.; loans for building homes for the poor, 21,950,820 mks loans for agricultural credit institutions (for improvement, irrigation work ation etc.), 113,752,114 mks. (1); loans for the building of hospitals, asy lums etc., 119,612,876 mks.; direct loans for the improvement of the conditions of the public health, the construction of public baths, slaught houses, supply of water, cemeteries etc.; 172,195,907 mks.; loans to the spread of education and popular instruction, 86,577,865 mks.; loan for the realisation of other benevolent objects, 140,891,826 mks.

Finally, the report under consideration also contains detailed statists of the legal decisions of the Imperial Bureau; we shall only mention the number of appeals is decreasing; in 1912 it was 5,069 against 5,33 the preceding year; 96.1% referred to disablement insurance cases q1% to old age insurance cases and 2.98% to cases connected with insurance

ance of heirs.

As in the preceding year most of these appeals were presented by insured or by the Silesian Insurance Institution.

<sup>(1)</sup> Of this amount 108, 863, o14 mks, were paid by the provincial institution and 4, 888, 500 mks, by special institutions.

### NORWAY.

# FOREST INSURANCE IN NORWAY.

#### OFFICIAL SOURCES:

IMINISK AARBOG FOR KONGERIKET NORGE. 32te AARGANG, 1912 (Norowegian Statistical Annual). Christiania, 1913.

#### OTHER SOURCES:

- ht Norske Gjensidige skogbrandforsikringsselskap. (Norwegian Mutual Society for Insurance against Forest Fires). Christiania, 1912.
- Unsuringsbetingelser for det Norske gjensidige skogbrandforsikringsselskap.

  (Inuvance Conditions of the Norwegian Mutual Society for Insurance against Forest Fire). Christiania, 1913.
- EDIARGEER FOR DET NORSKE GJENSIDIUE SKOGNGBRANDFORSIKRINGSSELSKAP (Rules of the Norwegian Mutual Society for Insurance against Forest Fires). Christiania, 1913. topporstering. In the "Ukeskrift for handbruk" (Wackly Agricultural Journal). Nos 10 and 11, 1913. Christiania, 1911.
- ETTERNAN (G. I.,): Fragan om skogsbrandförsäkring (The Question of Forest Insurance); in "Mercator", No. 14. 1913. Helsingsfors, 1913.
- Skogsbrandförsäkringen i särskilda länder (Førest Insurance in Various Countries).
   in "Mercator". No. 15, 1913. Helsingfors, 1913.
- v.: Skogsbrandförsäkringen i Norge (Forest Insurance in Norway), No. 16. 1913, Hel slugfors, 1913.

#### § I. INTRODUCTION.

The insurance of forests against fire is generally very badly organized and in addition is very unusual.

The importance of it is, however, incontestable. Without speaking if the great influence forests have on the climate and the water system, a many countries their commercial value is also great and they often constitute the most precious portion of the real estate.

The damage done by fires must be considered as one of the principal causes of deforestation, on account of their frequency and their disastron consequences. And when we consider that for the good organization a forestry business a long period is required and that preventive magnetic ures, although often very effectual, are not sufficient protection against the risk of fire, we understand clearly the danger of the insufficient development of this branch of insurance for the forest proprietors.

A well organized system of forest insurance, besides compensating for losses, would make credit on mortgage on forests possible, and the in its turn would allow of a more scientific exploitation.

As they cannot contract mortgage loans, the proprietors are often obliged to sell their wood before the trees are of the size required for felling in accordance with scientific principles, that is to say under disadvantageous conditions.

The insufficient development of forest insurance is above all to be attributed to the want of reliable statistics with regard to forest fires. And then the large commercial companies have generally been alarmed at the frequency of fires and the difficulty of fixing the premium rate. They have therefore raised it excessively and often completely refused to insure forests. The serious losses have also discouraged the mutual societies engaged in this branch of insurance.

There is no uniformity in the clauses in the contracts of societies undertaking forest insurance. As regards the object of the insurance, to begin with, there are great differences. Some societies only insure the cost of reafforestation, others only trees ready to be felled. Some societies insure forests of all sizes as well as the soil. The Norwegian Society, the organization and work of which will form the subject of this study, limits itself to insurance of the soil and of young plantations.

Let us add that it is only in a few countries: in France, Denmark, and Norway, that special societies for forest insurance have been formed. In other countries, forest insurance is undertaken by the ordinary fire insurance societies.

The organization of forest insurance in France formed the subject of a long study in the number of this Bulletin for last March. The Danish society for the insurance of plantations (Dansk plantagejöriking selskab), fonded in 1902, on the initiative of the large Danish society for the plantation of moorlands (Det dansko Hedeselskab), only assures the cost of reafforestation of the forests under the supervision of the society, covering an area of 25,000 hectares at the end of 1912. Its importance is thus very limited.

In Norway, on the contrary, a society has just been founded, the activity of which is already considerable.

### § 2. THE EXTENT AND VALUE OF THE NORWEGIAN FORESTS. PREVENTIVE MEASURES FOR THEIR PROTECTION.

The better to show the importance of the foundation of the Noregian Society, we must first give a summary account of the area nd value of the forests in Norway and state briefly what has been done with authorities and by private persons to hinder fires breaking out nd extending in the forests.

According to the latest statistical data, Norway has an area of 22,009 sq. kms., of which 69,114 sq. kms. or 21.4 % are covered with mests. The productive forest area, that is to say, exclusive of marshes nd peat bogs is 56,922 sq. kms. The forests consist, for 61.4%, of resinous rees, and for 21.1%, of leafy trees; 17.7% of their area is unproductive.

The area of the productive state forests is 8,579 sq. kms. or 12.4 %. The semi-public forests of Norway, that is to say such as belong to rural mmunities, cover an area of 1,755 sq. kms. or 2.5 %. The rest of the

broductive forest land belongs to private persons.

In 1907, the value of the Norwegian forests was estimated by the lanager of Forests at 570,000,000 crowns (1), or between 20 and 25 % the total wealth of the nation. But this value has considerably inreased since then. In 1911, Norway exported wood, wood pulp, celllice and paper for an amount of 99.101, 200 crs. (34.33 % of the total xport).

#### § 3. PREVENTIVE INSURANCE.

Forests therefore play a very important part in the economy of thintry, and we shall have no difficulty in understanding the vital interf of every means that may serve to preserve the forest wealth oe rway and protect it against any danger menacing it.

One of the chief is the restricted use of fire in the forests and fields. regard to this, the Government, by law of July 14th., 1893, completed

another law of July 27th., 1899, has provided as follows:

"In time of drought and when the wind is strong, it is forbidden to dle fires in forests or in fields, in places or under conditions that may d to forest fires.

In June, July and August, the burning of forest debris and peat is upletely forbidden; in other months it is forbidden to the tenant of a m or a forest unless with the consent of the owner.

When a proprietor or a tenant, while conforming with the provisions the former paragraph, desires to burn peat or forest débris, etc., he

<sup>(1)</sup> Crown = 1.39 fr.

must, before he lights the fire, make a fire belt and take all other necessary precautions to prevent the fire reaching the forest or the heath

In June, July and August, it is forbidden to kindle fires unnecessarily in forests belonging to other people, without the consent of the proprietor or the tenant of the land.

No person who has kindled a fire in a forest or a field may leave it

before it is completely extinguished.

. The cantons may, by means of resolutions approved by the King issue regulations for the prevention of forest fires or their extinction.

Any infringement of these orders will be punished in accordance with

the law."

In the regulations in connection with forest fires adopted by the cantons, the latter are divided into districts, each of them placed under a district head. Every forest proprietor is bound to maintain a certain number of men, varying with the extent of his land, and to provide in their complete equipment. The direction of the work of extinguishing fires is undertaken by the head of the district, who has the right to call on every labourer able to work, living within the limits of the canton, to assist in extinguishing the fire.

Most of the forest cantons of Norway (about 75%) have already issued

regulations with regard to forest fires.

By the side of these communal organizations for the extinction of forest fires, most of the large forest proprietors have organized their labourers and given them strict instructions with the object of making them more circumspect when they light fires in forests or in the fields, urging them to watch that other persons do not kindle fires.

On the summits of the highest mountains, in the forest district, the State, the communes and private individuals have also established lookout stations where a watchman is on guard night and day in the summer months. These stations are provided with telephones, telescopes,

compasses, maps, etc.

#### § 4. INSURANCE OF COMPENSATION.

Up to 1911, forest insurance was of hardly any practical importance in Norway. Some Companies had indeed arranged special clauses for this branch of insurance, but without gaining many adherents. They only insured forests ready for felling and the policy holder had himself to undertake 25% of the risk. The premiums were 2% for leaf forests and 2½% for resinous forests.

The summer of 1911 was exceptionally dry. Not a day passed without some fire of greater or less importance in the forests, and thence arose the necessity of solving the problem of forest fire insurance by

private enterprise.

In the month of June in that year the problem was submitted to certain number of forest land owners. The necessary material was ollected, a meeting of those concerned was held and the idea of founding a special forest fire insurance society was unanimously approved. In September 5th., 1911, a commission was formed, consisting of the residents of some of the most important forest proprietors' associations ad forest societies. On November 15th., the commission had terminted its work and had obtained the adherence of 50 of the chief forest roprietors of Norway, representing alone 190,470 hectares of forest, alted at 24,730,000 frs.

A further amount of 17,075,000 francs was assured before Janary 20th., 1913. The general meeting for the constitution of the soiety was held on February 20th., and a month later the *Norwegian* fund Fire Insurance Society (Det norske gjensidige skogbrandforsikringslskap) issued its first policy.

### § 5. THE ORGANISATION OF THE NORWEGIAN MUTUAL, FOREST FIRE INSURANCE SOCIETY.

As indicated in its name, the society is based on mutual principles. In respect to the object of the insurance it has introduced a novelty. We have already noted in passing that it only insures young plantations and the soil. It bases its calculations on the fact that in case of fire tis chiefly the young copsewood and the soil that suffer, whilst the rees ready for felling in general run less risk. As they further represent very high value, their insurance is less advantageous in comparison with the premiums to be paid.

In determining what is to be understood by young plantations, the ociety is guided by the forestry regulations which have been introduced a several cantons, as a result of the law of August 8th., 1908 on forest votection and the measures for the prevention of deforestation (Lov vy den 8 august 1908 om vevnskogens bevarelse og mot skogens odeaggelse). In accordance with this law, the provincial assemblies may lecide on the establishment of "forest rules" for a canton, especially iting the minimum size of the trees to be cut. In the cantons in which here are no "forest rules", the maximum limit fixed by the society or insurance is 5 metres height and 15 centimetres diameter.

All applications for insurance must be forwarded in writing and on special forms. The proprietor must himself indicate the value of his forest and furnish as detailed information as possible on all circumstances which may affect the insurance. The society has always the light to check these figures

In calculating the value of a forest, the society takes into consideration:

I. The size of the forest assured;

2. The rapidity of its growth;

3. The conditions of exploitation;

4. The density.

The society compensates for all losses caused, within the area shom on the policy, by fire or lightning or by the measures taken with a viet to extinguishing the fire, but if the forest suffers damage in the coup of a war or a riot, no compensation is to be given, unless the policy holder can prove that the damage is not due, directly or indirectly to the causes mentioned. The society gives no compensation be expenditure incurred by the policy holder in extinguishing the fire.

The cost of the new plantation after the fire is not included in the insurance unless a clause to that effect is specially inserted in

the policy.

In the cantons where there are no rules with regard to forest first the management of the society may refuse to accept risks or may cannot them at any moment.

As a general rule, the person insuring must insure all his forests certain exceptions may, however, be made with the consent of the man agement.

As the losses in this branch of insurance vary much from year t year, the society demands that the forests be insured for at least 5 year

The compensation is based on the declaration of the land holde and the reports of the society's inspectors.

At the request of the person concerned, the amount of the loss may also be estimated by two special appraisers, who, in case of difference of opinion, choose a third.

The society does not insure against losses by which less than a betare is affected. Exception is, however, made in the case of those policy holders whose forest land is altogether less than 50 hectares. In the case, the limit is fixed at half a hectare.

The insurance must not be a source of profit. The policy holds may only claim compensation for the decrease in real value through the loss against which he is insured. Nor must account be taken of future advantages the realisation of which has been hindered by the disaster, nor of indirect losses, as, for example, that caused by the suspension of business or of the exploitation, in consequence of the loss suffered.

The premiums are annual and payable, in advance. If it is require; the policy holders are bound to pay at the end of the year a supplementary premium, which, however, may not exceed three times the amount of the original premium. There the liability of the members stops.

The premiums are fixed at present as follows:

For forests situated in cantons with regulations in regard to forest fires, the premium is 1.25 % and in the other cantons 1.75 % per am.

supplementary premium of 1/2 % at most is asked in the case of nests situated in the cantons that have regulations, but in places here the statistics of the society have revealed a greater risk of fire or example in the neighbourhood of towns).

For forests crossed by railways no additional premium is paid; the ilway company being bound to compensate, if it is found that its engines

ave caused the fire.

Although its premiums are fairly low, the society hopes to be able , reduce them after some years of trial, above all if it succeeds in btaining further adhesions. The employment of preventive measures minst fire will also have a great influence on the amount of the premms; so the society is working for the introduction of rules with gard to forest fires in those cantons which have none yet.

# § 6 RESULTS OF THE WORK OF THE NORWEGIAN SOCIETY

The society has just published its first annual report. It is highly teresting, although definite conclusions cannot be drawn from it. At he end of 1912 an area of 553,259 hectares of forest had been insured of 44,955,797 crs. In January and February the assured value inreased by about 5,000,000 crs. and the society hopes at the end of the ear to raise it to 65 or 70 million crowns. The total area of the forests the II departments to which the society has as yet extended its acon is 5,583,291 hectares, or ten times the area insured. This proportion quite remarkable, above all as the society has only been working r ten months and the uninsured State forest land in these departments wers 343,900 hectares.

The following table shows the importance of the risks insured in the inous departments.

Department	fortal Assa of the Department in Rechars	Insured Area in Hoctares	Proportion of the Insured Area to the Total Area	Amount Ibenred in Crowns	Average Value per Electare in Crowns	Premiums in Crowns	PANCER
Smealenest	137,099	35,165	14.83	3,636,130	103.4	4:913:37	1.95
Almerahum.	351,695	79,323	1949	8,964,484	120.9	11,291.10	L32
Hedenarken	1,999,914	294,310	21.03	19,631,102	66.7	24,960,40	Lo <sub>7</sub>
Kristians	645,171	54359	7.99	5,765,112	211.8	7,415.20	1 18
Buskerud	577;418	43,714	7.57	4,979,809	100.0	5,812,35	I 327
Jarisberg	147,188	1,689	1.15	196,390	116,3	263.05	L39
Bratsberg	586,948	33,939	5.76	1,982,289	58.5	2,515.85	Las
Nedenes	345,608	3,703	1.07	244,100	65.9	314.15	1.18
Lister and Mandal,	171,904	1,670	0.97	156,450	93-7	227.75	149
South Drontheim	489,737	8,221	1.68	131,042	16.1	208,85	1.58
North Dronthelm	630,612	8,966	1.47	327,995	35.6	410-	1.23
Total	5,583,291	553,459	9.91	44,955,797	81.3	58,332.07	L.09

Among the members there are 24 cantons which have insured on munal forests of an area of 34,472 hectares for an amount of 2,254,152 cm. The premiums collected in the first working year amounted to 58,33 cm.

The premitting collected in the first working year amounted to 58,33cm the compensations to be paid being only 270 cms., 40,000 cms. could be placed to the reserve fund.

We give here the profit and loss account of the Society for

January ist., 1913.

# Profit and Loss Account.

#### Revenue.

Premiums					•		•	•	•	•	•	trs.	58,332.07		≠R 618 82
Other Payments	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	Interest	1	1,037.58
														crs.	59,656.40
														crs.	59,656.40

# Expenditure.

Claims       Administration         Salaries       crs. 8,691.67         Postal and Telephone Expenses       868.03         General Expenses       3,106.72         Advertising       722.61         Commissions       836.57         Expenditure for Travelling and Inspection         Rent	CTS. 14,225.60
Supervision Placed to Premium Reserve Fund. Balance.	» 250— » 15,000— » 28,448.56
	crs. 59,656.40
The balance, or 28,448.56 crs., was utilised as follows:	lows:
Extinction of Debt on Personal Estate Payment in full of Working Expenses Placed to the Special Reserve Fund	n 2,388.82 » 25.000 —
·	CIS. 28,448.56
The Balance Sheet for January 1st., 1913 showed t	he following items:
Gredits.	
Book Debts	» 100 <del></del>
Debits.	
Special Reserve Fund. Premium Reserve Fund Balance Brought Forward	CIS. 25,000 — * 15,000 — * 52.74 CIS. 40,052.74

# Part III: Credit

#### ITALY.

PROPOSED REFORM OF THE LAWS OF AGRICULTURAL CREDIT IN SOUTHERN AND INSULAR ITALY.

#### SOURCES:

NALL DEL CREDITO E DELLA PREVIDENZA, n. 99, 1912: Atti della Commissione per la ritorna della legislazione sul credito agrario nel mezzogiorno continentale e nelle isole. Musicro di agricoltura, industria e commercio. Direzione generale dei credito e della previdenza, della cooperazione e delle assicurazioni sociali (Annals of Credit and Thrift, no.99, 1912: Proceedings of the Commission for the Reform of the Laws on Agricultural Industry and Commerca, General Management of Credit, and Thrift, Co-operation and Social Insurance). Rome, National Press of G. Bertero and Co. 1913.

During the debate on the bill on provincial agricultural credit banks (I) be Chamber of Deputies on December 14 th., 1910, a resolution was addinviting the Government to present a bill to harmonise the promise force in relation to credits for farm purposes in the Provinces of Southern Mainland and the Islands and to extend this credit to cultural improvements.

In accordance with this resolution, the Minister of Agriculture at the e, the Hon. Giovanni Raineri, appointed a special commission to study problem and make proposals for its solution. This led to a proposal for ill presented to the present Minister, the Hon. Signor Nitti, by the sident of the Commission, Commendatore Vincenzo Magaldi. As this is of the first importance for the question of agricultural credit in by we thinkit well to give it here in outline.

<sup>[1]</sup> See in this connection our article: "Provincial Agricultural Loan Banks and the of February 2nd 1911", in this Bulletin, April, 1911, p. 223.

# § 1. Laws in force in regard to agricultural credit in tree provinces of the southern mainland and the islands

We know that a large number of laws now regulate agricultural credit in the provinces of the Southern Mainland and the Islands. There is one above all, which, as regards the South, may be considered general, as it applies to the whole Southern Mainland as well as to Sardinia. This is the law no. 334 of July 7<sup>th</sup>., 1901, which, entrusts the conduct of agricultural credit business to the Savings Bank of the Bank of Naples. T law no. 383 of July 15<sup>th</sup>., 1908, is of the same character; it institute provincial agricultural credit bank in each of the provinces of the N politan, Sicilian and Sardinian cadastral departments. This law was later amended by another, no. 70 of February 2<sup>nd</sup>., 1911, which assigned i management of the above provincial banks to the Savings Bank of i Bank of Naples and the Agricultural Credit Department of the Bank Sicily.

There is besides a long series of laws dealing with the diffusion agricultural credit in each of the regions of the Southern Mainland a in each of the Islands. They are, in order of date:

The law, no. 140 of March 31th, 1904, constituting the Provinc Agricultural Credit Bank of Basilicata;

The law. no. 100 of March 29th., 1906, instituting an Agricultu

Credit Department in the Bank of Sicily;

The law, no. 255 of June 25th., 1906, founding the Victor Emma uel III Agricultural Credit Institute for the Provinces of Calabria;

The law no. 562 of July 14th., 1907, merged in the final text of the law no. 844 of November 10th., 1907, which gave means and new attriutes to the Casse ademprivili of Sardinia;

The law no. 445 of July 9<sup>th</sup>., 1908, amending the above laws 1 March 31<sup>th</sup>., 1904, for Basilicata, and of June 25<sup>th</sup>., 1906, for Calabria.

These laws have common characters of considerable important They in fact provide for the establishment of a central institution intends to furnish the necessary funds for the conduct of agricultural cred business and the foundation of a large number of local institution working as intermediaries beween the Central Institute and the farmer The law relating to Calabria alone has not such a character and is in the respect an exception. But all the same the various laws present certain fundamental differences.

The most important is in connection with land improvement crefil In fact, the Provincial Agricultural Credit Bank of Basilkata and the Sardinian Casse ademprivili are authorized to conduct, in addition to agricultural credit business for working purposes, also certain agricultural credit operations for land improvement. On the contrary, the Saring Bank of the Bank of Naples and the Agricultural Credit Department of the Bank of Sicily can only conduct credit operations for working put

es. This deprives the greater part of the Southern Mainland and Sicily improvement credit, the need of which is recognized for the whole of agriculture of the South.

We also find considerable differences in the various laws, with regard agricultural credit for working purposes. Thus, as we have seen, the for Calabria deviates from the fundamental principles of the distribution of credit to farmers by a central establishment through local instituous. It, indeed, anthorises the Victor Emmanuel III Institute, in ordinary course and not by way of exception, like the Savings Bank the Bank of Naples and the Agricultural Credit Department of the nk of Sicily, to make direct loans to the farmers, charging special ints to receive their applications.

There is another difference, namely the following: in conformity with 1901 and 1906 laws above mentioned, in order to grant the intermedy institutions credit, the Central Institute opens a current account for m. They may make use of it either to get the bills the farmers present them rediscounted by the Central Institute or those they pass directly special purposes discounted. According to the laws regarding the silicata and Sardinia, credit is granted to the intermediate institutions etly by means of advances. In their turn they then undertake for ir own account to grant agricultural loans without further intervention the part of the central institute.

There are in the various laws other differences of less importance the regard to the amount and period of the loans, the number and ancter of the objects for which they can be granted and their renewal. It is differences we have pointed out above are not due to special local additions but are most often improvements introduced by later laws the provisions of the earlier laws; it therefore seems advisable to the differences.

The Commission for the study of the reform of the laws on agricultural dit came to two conclusions: 1st, the necessity of providing land provement credit for all the provinces of the Southern Mainland and the ands; 2st, the advantage of rendering the rules for the concession of dit for working expenses uniform in the various regions.

It is with a view to satisfying these two needs the Commission has ufted the bill we are about to examine.

# 2. PROPOSALS FOR REFORM OF THE LAWS ON AGRICULTURAL CREDIT.

The first proposal, which is also really fundamental, refers to the indation of two autonomous Institutes for the conduct of agricultural this business, one for the Southern Provinces and Sardinia and the ler for Sicily. The head quarters of the first would be at Naples and the second at Palermo.

These Institutes would be entrusted indifferently with all agricultural credit business, both for working and improvement. It has not in fact been considered desirable to assign to different institutions the conduct of these two forms of credit business, which must mutually complete each other.

Yet as the above Institutes could not work for so vast an area if they had only their head establishments, they are allowed to open branches. For the purpose, at first, some of the agricultural credit establishments already existing will be made use of, such as the Provincial Bank of Basilicata, the Victor Emmanuel III Institute for Calabra with its three offices at Catanzaro, Cosenza and Reggio Calabria, and the

Casse ademprivili of Cagliari and Sassari.

The funds placed at the disposal of these two Institutes to allow of carrying out their programme which we shall give below, include, in the first place, all the amounts the various laws now in existence assign for agricultural credit operations in each region. When these are exhausted, the Deposit and Consignment Bank will be authorized to grant the two lastitutes advances up to twice the amount of their initial capital. As it is reckoned that this initial capital with the above advances will only place 70 millions at the disposal of these new Institutes, an amount not corresponding with their needs, the Commission has proposed that the above Agricultural Credit Institutes for the South and Sicily should further be authorised by Government to issue agricultural bonds, redeemable and beauginterest up to the amount of five times their capital.

To obtain this authorization they must prove they possess mortgage credits corresponding with the amount of the loans they grant for land improvements and representing an amount equal to that of their initial capital. This issue of bonds will provide them with the funds necessary for the

grant of loans for land improvement.

The loans granted for working purposes have already reached an encouraging amount and can but increase with the execution of the land improvements. In order to assure these Institutes of the means for granting them in sufficient degree, the Commission proposes to authorize the Savings Banks of the Bank of Naples and of the Bank of Sicily to rediscount the bills of the Institutes the foundation of which is contemplated, the first up to the amount of one fifth and the second up to that of three tents of their deposits.

The Victor Emanuel III Savings Bank for the provinces of Sicily with headquarters at Palermo, is also authorized to grant loans to the Sicilan Agricultural Credit Institute up to the amount of one fifth of its deposit. In exchange the latter Institute will cede its bills to it. Finally the said Institutes would be allowed to cede their bills in case of need also to other establishments.

After these notes on the financial organization of the above Institutes
we shall give the regulations proposed for their agricultural credit business.

(a) Provisions with regard to agricultural credit operations for orking purposes.

Conformably with the new organization proposed, the agricultural redit institutes for the Southern Mainland and the Islands would be nthorized first of all to conduct the following operations:

ist. Discounting bills ceded by the intermediary establishments and said by the farmers in connection with harvesting, cultivation, seeds, nature, anticryptogamic substances, remedies or insecticides, as well as or obtaining live or dead stock and for payment in anticipation of farm ents owing to the borrowers being members of collective farms etc.

The maximum amount of the above loans is 1,000 frs. and the term or which they are granted may not be more than one year, except in the ase of loans for biennial crops and for the purchase of manure. In these ases the period may be extended to two years.

Exception is made in the case of loans for the purchase of livestock. tachinery, etc., the maximum limit for the amount of each of which is

,000 frs. and for the term 3 years.

However, the Institutes in question have power, in certain cases, either ogrant loans in favour of certain persons, or of a whole agricultural reion, in which extensive cultivation prevails, for a higher amount, but only m to that of 5,000 frs.

and. A second group of operations authorized would consist in opening turent accounts in favour of intermediary institutes and discounting bills isued directly by them for the purpose of collective purchase and sale of gricultural produce, for collective purchase of machinery and implements be hired out to members and for the payment of rents in advance due to he foundation of collective farms.

According to the proposal in question, the operations of which we have ast spoken would then be indifferently performed in all the sections, by leans of intermediary institutions, legally constituted under the form of rdinary societies or co-operative societies or incorporated bodies. It is only san exception that operations may be directly entered into with the

Further the intermediary organizations, whatever their form or title, ill be able to perform all these operations in kind or in money.

These two Institutes will grant their loans at the maximum rate of 1/2 % and the difference between the rate they charge and that charged the intermediary institutions in their dealings with their members in no case be more than 2 %.

# (b) Provisions with regard to loans for agricultural improvements.

In conformity with the proposed regulations, the loans would be clusively granted for the following ends:

1st. The construction on the farms of buildings for dwelling houses, stables and for storage and manipulation of agricultural produce; he construction of roads for farm use; the execution of works for

supplying the farms with drinking water and for irrigation, arranging and draining the land and surrounding unenclosed farms with walls or hedge.

and. Plantation of ligneous trees (olives, almonds, vines, various kinds

of fruit trees, etc.).

These are real agricultural improvements properly so called. A third object to be attained may be added, in itself not a work of improvement but, on the contrary, one the realisation of which already is presupposed in works of improvement. It is the purchase by the agricultural co-operative societies of latifundia, in order to divide them in lots.

This reason for advances was specially admitted in consideration of the peculiar requirements of Sicily. There, in fact, the agricultural co-operative societies show a more and more manifest tendency to purchase collectively, which the Commission for study we have already several time mentioned, considers highly deserving of encouragement, for it think that only the subdivision of the land can lead to land improvement in Sicily

The loans granted for the above ends will be redeemable in a period of three years at least and thirty years at most, hy means of instalment of principal and interest. Yet, when the term of the loan is over fiften years, the borrower will only pay interest for the first five years; he will repay the principal during the rest of the period agreed on for the total extinction of the deht. The borrower has, on the other hand, power to pay off his debt before the time.

The borrowed capital will be advanced in instalments in proportion as the work for which it is intended is completed. The credit institute

will not be able to charge a higher interest than 2 ½ % on it.

But, to obtain a loan, the applicant must prove that he has an unencum bered estate of at least twice the value of the sum applied for. The proof once given, a mortgage on the borrower's land shall be registered in favour of the Institute lending, for an amount equal to that of the load together with interest for two years.

Further, the two Institutes, that of the South and that of Sicily, may in order to secure themselves, stipulate, in their contracts for loans, for a special preference mortgage on the additional value acquired by the farm through

the improvements made on it.

In consequence of this preference mortgage, for whatever reason the have granted a loan, these Institutes will have preference over all creditors

even those previously registered.

In case the amounts lent are diverted from their agricultural destination or if by fraud or through the fault of the owner of the land improved, the value of the improvements diminishes hy at least a tenth, the Institute lending make mand the cancellation of the contract and the immediate repayment the loan.

Finally, according to the regulations proposed, the deeds relating to agricultural improvements will be exempted from all stamp and registration due and charges for mortgage registration, and the additional amount of revenue from the above improvements shall not pay income tax for a period of ten year.

#### 2. MISCELLANEOUS NEWS.

I.—REGULATIONS FOR THE APPLICATION OF THE LAW OF JULY 6TH., 1912, NAGRICULTURAL CREDIT IN LIGURIA. — The Official Gazette of the Kingdom | Italy (No. 110, May 12th., 1913) publishes the regulations approved by oyal Decree of January 9th., 1913, for the execution of the law No. 802, | July 6th., 1912, on Agricultural Credit in Liguria.

We have already given the fundamental principles of the above law the number of this Bulletin for February, 1913, p. 57. We have only ow to summarise briefly the most important provisions in the Regul-

tions.

Intermediary Institutions. — The reader will without doubt rememer that the law of July 6th., 1912 founded a special agricultural credit intitute in Liguria, which it endowed with an initial amount of 500,000 frs., nd the headquarters of which it fixed at Porto Maurizio. According the system adopted for similar establishments in the South of Italy nd the Islands, the law established that the credit should be distributed othe farmers not directly, but though intermediary institutions. The following institutions are authorized to assume the office when their rules answer he requirements of the central institute: agricultural, banks, rural banks, onsortiums, and agricultural syndicates, whether constituted under the form incorporated bodies or under that of co-operative societies.

In addition, any other legally constituted establishment, including a its functions the conduct of agricultural credit business, may act as an

atermediary institution.

These institutions must have their headquarters in the provinces f Porto Maurizio and Genoa and shall restrict their agricultural credit usiness to the territory of the judicial district in which they are situated.

Yet, the credit institute for Liguria may be authorized to deal directly ith the farmers in the communes where there are no intermediary institutions or else where these are not working or cannot conveniently underske the business.

The authorization will be given on the proposal of the Minister of griculture, Industry and Commerce, by special Royal Decree for one or lote communes.

Rules for agricultural credit operations. — The Agricultural Credit Intitute for Liguria may in the first place conduct the following operations:

I. Discounting of bills ceded by intermediary institutions and issued farmers for one or more of the following objects:

(a) for cultivation;(b) for harvesting;

(c) for seed;

(d) for manure; (e) for remedies, insecticides and anticryptogamic substances:

(f) for supplying the farms with live or dead stock, machinery or farm implements, plant for the manipulation and preservation of agricultural produce and all farm requisites (1).

For none of the purposes indicated above and for no farm may the maximum amount lent exceed 1,000 frs., nor the period one year, excent in the case of hiennial crops and loans for purchase of manure, in which case the term may be extended to two years.

Exception is further made for loans for the purchase of animals, ma chinery, live or dead stock, implements and plant, for which the maximum

amount is 3,000 frs. and the maximum term three years;

(g) for hire of implements and machinery;

(h) for advances on deposit, given as pledge, of certain agricultural produce of easy conservation, in collective warehouses.

These advances must be in proportion to the value of the produce given in pledge. And, in particular, advances may be made:

on cereals, up to 75 % of their current value;

on wine, vinegar, alcohol etc., up to 40 %; on oil, up to 60 %.

These advances may not be made, for, a longer term than one year.

II. Opening of current accounts in favour of the intermediary instituts or discounting of bills issued directly to obtain the funds necessary for the accomplishment of one of the following objects:

(a) purchase of farm requisites ordered from them or advance on

application in cases of collective sale of agricultural produce;

(b) provision for the ordinary needs of the farm worked by the institutions in question;

(c) purchase, on account of the society, of machinery and imple

ments to be leased to members etc.

The above operations of agricultural credit can only be conducted in behalf of the following persons: 1st. landowners, tenants on long lease and usufructuaries directly working their farms; and metayers or partial me tayers; 3rd. tenant farmers working their farms and not subletting them And the farm for which the loan is requested must be situated within the district in which the institution to which application is made conducts it real business.

<sup>(1)</sup> In guarantee of the loans for the purposes indicated under letter (f), the lending Institute has the right to demand in its own favour a special preference mortage, accordance with the law No. 4,376 of January 23rd., 1837, which we have already in occasion to refer to several times in the course of our articles on agricultural credit. It deed by which this preference mortgage is constituted, must contain an engagement is apply the material or use the sums borrowed exclusively for the agricultural object if dicated in the application.

The Institute may not ask interest above 4 ½ % and the difference tween the rate it charges and that asked by the intermediary instituous from their members may in no case, exceed 1 ½ %.

Further the loans shall be so regulated that those granted for a special

op shall be repaid at the date of the harvesting of that crop.

The lending Institute may, however, in certain cases, prolong the term little, so as to prevent the selling price of the article falling on the market. Finally, preference will be given to applications for loans for the smallt sums offering the highest security. Applications will not be accepted

t sums offering the highest security. Applications will not be accepted om those who have delayed repayment of previous loans, or who have st a case brought against them by the lender for breach of contract.

Subsidiary operations of the agricultural credit institute of Liguria.—
he agricultural credit institute of Liguria may increase the funds at idisposal for the conduct of agricultural credit business by accepting rings deposits and issuing bonds at fixed maturity.

It will further be able to rediscount its own bills and may be authorized so to conduct other operations laid down in its rules, which will serve to at it in a position to satisfy the requirements of the agricultural economy the region in which it works.

\*\*\*

2. — OFFICIAL ENQUIRY INTO SAVINGS IN ITALY. — Among the estabishments that collect savings in Italy the most prominent are the ordinary
avings banks and the post office savings banks (1). To collect savings
it the principal office of these establishments and the end for which
hey were created. They also occupy themselves with the investment of
he amounts received in deposit so as to be able to pay the depositors inrest. Their credit business then is a means by which they are enabled
o perform their debit operations. In view of their importance in the naional economy, the General Management of Credit and Thrift at the Department of Agriculture, Industry and Commerce, has judged it advisable to
nake a special statistical study of these organizations and to publish the
sults (2).

The enquiry was extended to all the ordinary savings banks and other easily constituted credit establishments receiving deposits and obliged by aw to publish their accounts.

<sup>(1)</sup> See Bulletin of Social and Economic Intelligence. February, 1913, pp. 66 et seqq.
(2) See: "Annali del Credito e elella Previdenza", Serie II, vol. 2. Notisie statistiche al risparmio in Italia negli anni 1911-1912. — Parte I. Casse di Isparmio e Monti di Picit, ilmistero di Agricoltura, Industria e Commercio: Direzione generale del Credito e lella Previdenza. (Annals of Credit and Thrift. IInd. Series. Vol. 2. Stalistical Information in Savings in Italy in 1911-1912; 1st Part: Savings Banks and Pasm Establishments. Population of Agriculture, Industry and Commerce: General Management of Credit and Pariff, Rome, National Press. G. Bertero and Co. 1913.

This enquiry shows first of all the marvellous increase in the Savings deposits, deposits in current account, and deposits in exchange for bonds at interest between 1830 and 1910. This increase is as follows, in millions of frances.

## I. In ordinary savings banks:

1830															. 6.3
1840											÷				21.4
1850			,										*		42.5
<b>1860</b>															157.7
1870							٠.							•	
1880						•			•	•			•		686
1890												٠	•	٠	1,186.7
1900					٠,	. •									1,504.9
1910	,			٠				٠							2,479.8
								·		Ĭ					. 17.2

#### II. In post office savings banks:

1876	(1	)									2.4
1880											46.3
1890											310.5
1900				٠						,	682.1
1910											1,773.6

At the end of 1910 the total amount of deposits received by the ordinar Savings Banks and the Post Office Savings Banks amounted therefore to the considerable sum of 4,253,400,000 frs.

As respects the geographical distribution of the ordinary savings bank we find Northern Italy has 36;15 of them in Piedmont, 3 in Lombard, 4 in Liguria and 14 in Venetia.

Central Italy has 122; 39 in Emilia, 14 in Tuscany, 48 in the Marches,

10 in Umbria and 11 in Latium.

Southern Italy has 25; 10 in the Abruzzi and Molise, 4 in Campania, 7 in Apulia, 3 in Basilicata and 1 in Calabria.

Sicily has 3.

The savings banks, therefore, working on December 31st., 1912 were 186 in number.

With regard to the various classes of deposits, let us observe that while there are no savings banks that do not receive savings deposits, some only receive deposits in current account and in exchange for interest bearing bonds. In fact, in North Italy there are 18 banks which receive savings, in current account: 10 in Piedmont, 3 in Liguria, 1 in Lombardy and 4 in Venetia. There are 65 in Central Italy, 20 in Emilia, 13 in Tuscany, 20 in the Marches, 7 in Umbria and 5 in Latium. In South Italy only 10 banks

<sup>(</sup>r) Year in which postal savings banks were introduced into Italy.

eive deposits in current account, thus distributed: 3 in Abruzzi, 2 in Camnia, 3 in Apulia, 1 in Basilicata and 1 in Calabria. There is only one in ily. There are therefore altogether 94 savings banks that receive deposits current account.

The number of banks receiving deposits in exchange for interest aring bonds is again far less. There are altogether 39 banks of the 1d: 7 in North, 26 in Central and 6 in South Italy. Of the 7 banks of 11th Italy, 4 are in Piedmont, 1 in Liguria and 2 in Venetia. Of the 26 banks of Central Italy, 12 are in Emilia, 3 in Tuscany, 10 in the

orches and 2 in Umbria.

Finally, of the 6 banks of South Italy, 2 are in the Abruzzi, 1 in Camnia, 1 in Apulia and 2 in Basilicata.

The small amount of the deposits in current account and in exchange interest bearing bonds in the above establishments is easy to explain; the case of the bonds bearing interest, by their very nature, since as they ply that the amounts deposited are only available to a very limited degree, is not a very practical system for the majority of those who deposits avings dwho wish to be able to withdraw them on any occasion that may occur easily and as speedily as possible; in the case of deposits in current account, cause it is evident that if these deposits were made on a large scale, it nide be at variance with the very nature of the savings banks, which must, a general rule, collect not capital waiting investment, which the ordinary editestablishments are better fitted to do, but real savings properly so called.

On December 31st, 1912, the amount of deposits at interest in the % savings banks was 2,596,610,763.51 frs., distributed as follows: savings posits, 2,491,828,646.21 frs., deposits in current account 62,977,108.53 frs., posits on bonds at interest, 41,805.008.77 frs.

The capital and reserve fund amounted altogether to 322,780,606.74 frs. The report of the enquiry also furnished some summary information ith regard to the Pawn Establishments, which also receive deposits and bich, in this respect, resemble in many ways the saving banks. No return is yet been prepared of the deposits existing in these establishments.

There are at present about seventy pawn establishments authorized their rules to receive deposits; yet of these only 49 were really receiving em on December 31st., 1912 and 9 of these commenced to receive them aring the year.

The Pawn Establishments receive savings deposits and deposits in ment account, the former in considerably larger quantities than the latter.

On December 31st., 1911, the savings deposits amounted to 10,645,511.33 frs. the deposits in current account to 34,432,105,28 frs.; ing the year 1912 there was an appreciable increase in the first class deposits, which had risen to the amount of 115,775,170.88 frs. by the 1st. of December, and there appeared on the other hand a decrease in werse proportion in the amount of deposits of the second class on becember 31st., 1912 to 32,111,198.78 frs. There was a slight increase in 18 total amount of deposits of 2,808,753 frs. in 1912.

# 3. PUBLICATIONS OF RECENT DATE RELATING TO CREDIT IN ITALY.

#### OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS:

- Annali Del Credito e della Previdenza, 1912: Atti della Commissione per la riforma dela legislazione sul credito agrario nel Mezzogiorno continentale e nelle isole, Ministeo di Agricoltura, Industria e Commercio . Direzione Generale del Credito e della Previdena (Annals of Credit and Thrift, 1912. Proceedings of the Commission for the Reform of the lam on Agricultural Credit in the Provinces of the Southern Mainland and the Islands. De partment of Agriculture, Industry and Commerce. General Management of Credit sul Thrift). Rome, G. Bertero, 1912.
- Annali Del Credito e Della Previdenza, Serie II. Vol. 2. Notizie statistiche sul rispanio in Italia negli anni 1911-1912, Parte I. Casse di Risparmio e Monti di Pietà (Annaly Credit and Thrift, IInd. Series, Vol. 2: Statistical Information on Savings in Italy in the year 1911-1912. 1st. Part. Savings Banks and Paam Establishments). Rome, G. Bettero.

# PUBLICATIONS OF THE CREDIT INSTITUTIONS:

- BANCO DI NAPOLI: Il Credito Agrario nei Mezzogiorno continentale d'Italia e nell'Isola di sudegna esercitato dalla Cassa di Risparmio del Banco di Napoli. Ordinamento Sviluppo: 1902-1912. (Bank of Naples: Agricultural Credit for the Southern Mainland of Italy mithe Island of Sardinia, provided by the Savings Bank of the Bank of Naples. Organization. Development: 1902-1912). Naples, Ferdinando Raimondi, 1913.
- BANCA DI NAPOLI: Cassa di Risparmio Credito Agrario Relazione sull'esercizio 1912 (But of Naples: Savings Bank. Agricultural Credit Report on the Working Year, 1912). Naples, Ferdinando Raimondi, 1913.
- Banco DI Sicilia: Rendiconto del Consiglio di Amministrazione sul servizio del creditoagnio e bilanci consuntivi, esercizio 1912 (Bank of Sicily: Report of the Board of Managemel on the Agricultural Credit Service and Balance Sheets, for the Year 1912). Palermo, A. Ginnitrapani, 1913.
- CASSA DI RISPARMIO IN BOLOGNA: Atti dell'assemblea generale degli azionisti tenutasi il guno 30 marzo 1913 e resoconto del 1912. (Savings Bank in Bologna: Proceedings of the Gaeral Meeting of Shareholders on March 30th., 1913 and Report for 1912). Bologna, Mcciani Bros. 1913.
- Cassa Di Risparmio Di Piacenza: Resoconto sull'esercizio 1912 (Savings Bank of Piacenza, Report for the Year 1912). Piacenza, G. Tedeschi, 1913.
- CASSA DI RISPARMIO DI VOQUERA: Regolamento per i mutui di favore destinati alla riorsitir zione dei vigneti colpiti della filossera (Savings Bank of Voghera: Regulations for Loss on Favourable Conditions for the Reconstitution of Vineyards attached by Phyllogen). Vo ghera, 1913.
- CREDITO FORDIARIO DELLA CASSA DI RISPARMIO DELLE PROVINCIE LOMBARDE IN MUNO:
  Bilancio consuntivo dell'anno 1912. XLV esercizio. (Land Credit Department of the Sur
  ings Bank of the Lombard Provinces at Milan. Balance Sheet for the Year 1912, XLVIL
  Working Year). Milan, R. Reggiani, 1913.

muto delle opere Pie di San Paolo in Torino: Conti consuntivi, esercizio 1912: Monte di Pietà, Credito Fondiario, Educatorio Duchessa Isabella, Ufficio Pio (Institute of Beneficante of S. Paul in Turin: Balance Shests, Year 1912: Paura Establishment, Land Credit Establishment, Duchess Isabella Educational Institute, Charity Bureau). Turin, Società Tipografica Editrice Nazionale, 1913.

PINO DECENNIO DI ESERCIZIO DEL CREDITO FONDIARIO DELLA CASSA DI RISPARMIO IN VIRONA (The First Ten Working Years of the Land Credit Department of the Savings Bank of Verona). Verona, Gaetano Franchini, 1913.

### OTHER PUBLICATIONS:

NATIDI (Luigi): La burocratizzazione del credito e le proposte di vincolo pei depositi a risparmio (The Bureaucratic Character Given to Credit and the Proposals for the Transformation of Savings Deposits into Conditional Deposits). In the Rivista delle Società Commerciali, Rame, April 14th., 1913.

ALLI (Lino): La Cassa di Depositi e Prestiti nel suo Cinquantenario (The Deposit and Consignmati Bank in its Fiftisth Year). In the Nuova Antologia, Rome, no. 994. May 16th., 1913. INAGELA (Nicola): Le leggi di credito agrario nelle diverse regioni (Laws on Agricultural Credit in the Different Regions). Casale, G. Casone, 1913.

NUCARIO ITALIANO DEL CAPITALISTA 1913. IV. Anno (Italian Capitalisis' Annual, 1913. 4th. Year). Slampa Commerciale. Milan.

## JAPAN.

# THE CENTRALISATION OF THE BANKING SYSTEM AND THE BANKS FOR SPECIAL CREDIT.

#### SOURCES:

Annuaire Financier et Économique du Japon (Japanese Financial and Economi, ymbook), Tokio, 1912.

FANNO (M.): Le banche ed il mercato Monetario (The Banks and the Money Mania, Athenacum, Rome, 1913.

NATIONAL MONETARY COMMISSION: Banking in Russia, Austro-Hungary, the Netherland and Japan, Washington, 1911.

# § 1. THE CONCENTRATION OF THE BANKING SYSTEM.

In Japan the ground has not yet been sufficiently prepared for the extension or concentration of the banking system, such characteristic phenomena in countries where the commercial and industrial development is complete. At least, for the moment, there has only been a development in one direction. With the extension of inland trade and the makes a corresponding development of the organization of the banking system necessary. Agricultural countries, the industrial conditions of which are in course of transformation or incomplete, logically require their period of expansion a large number of banks, whilst manufacturing countries, properly so called, the industries of which are fully developed demand a concentration of their banking system.

There could be no exception to the common rule in the case of Japan. And, although a tendency has been manifested toward concentration, the state of the national economy, eminently agriculture or still incomplete as far as industry is concerned, necessarily demands a banking system which allows of a certain number of banks here and there not being included in any system of centralisation.

When the issue of bank notes had been made uniform, their circle ation suitably regulated, and a State Bank, called Nippon Ginko, founded for the regulation and supervision of the whole course of the banking system of the country, there at once began a period in which the

als multiplied extensively. In 1884, there were 741 credit institutes. ittered over the whole country and in 1902 there were 2,324. Every ovince, every branch of commerce or industry, felt the need of these ablishments in order to obtain credit on favourable conditions. On other hand, their lack of capital and their greatly varying local contions prevented the large credit establishments from profitably and icaciously following the course of business in the various localities at distance from their headquarters. In 1902, the increase in the number credit establishments began to slacken. A certain decrease was even ticeable, which must be considered an immediate result of the ecomic situation of the country, rather than as an indication of a real ncentration. It is therefore rather a matter of the consolidation and velopment of the establishments already existing, forming a network se enough to cover the whole country in accordance with the requireents of industry and commerce. We have a proof of this in the inease of the share capital and paid up capital of these establishments. non by the following figures:

Year										Number of Credit Institutes	Share Capital Thousands of yea	Paid up Capital Thonsands of yeu	
902									:.	2,324	525,117	372,950	
903										2,275	521,272	374,648	
904										2,227	516,122	373,194	
905										2,230	521,837	382,149	
906										2,210	541,736	401,135	
907										2.194	607,196	444,204	
908										2,172	613,468	458,827	
909										2,152	631,514	477,550	
910										2,143	681,441	495,714	
911										2,144	707,948	517,701	

This movement then took from the start the very definite form of a centration of capital. But there is another which is also interesting I deserves to be pointed out. As long as the initial period of the relopment of Japanese financial action continued, the business of credit personal estate and that of ordinary credit were not kept separate by credit and discount banks. The increase of the credit on personal ate business serves excellently to explain the multiplication of the linary banks. Their number was largest between 1899 and 1902. We d in fact that in 1901 it was 1,867: At that date they had a total are capital of 373,670,000 yen and a total paid up capital of 258,000,00c. The rapid development of manufactures necessarily called for a large

The rapid development of manufactures necessarily called for a large bunt of capital, a fact which soon showed the insufficiency of what

all these small credit establishments scattered over the country were able to furnish. They could not satisfy the enormously increased demand for credit. And the need was felt in Japan no less than else where for a powerful central institute for credit on personal estate. By this new foundation, the deposit and discount banks were saved from the critical position in which the changed economic conditions of the country had involved them, obliging them to give credit in excess of their capital, or to put obstacles in the way of the industrial development of the country. At the same time, this central institution would be in a betten position to supply the continually increasing demand. Therefore, a Kogyo Ginko, an industrial bank on the model of the French banks, was founded in 1900, with a capital of 10,000,000 yer, 2,500,000 yen paid up. This bank, which was for Japan an absolute financial necessity, developed very rapidly, as we may see from the following summary.

,	Share	Paid	Reserve Fund	Bonds						
Years	Capital  I,000 yea	up Capital — 1,000 yen	1,000 yen	Issued 1,000 year	Redeemed r,oo yen	Balance 1,000 per				
1902	10,000	2,500	1,148	3,000	_	3,000				
1903	10,000	2,500	243	3,000	_	6,000				
1904	10,000	2,500	264	3,000	145	8,855				
1905	10,000	5,000	294	1,000	100	9,755				
1906	17,500	13,750	409	_	160	9,595				
1907	17,500	16,250	623	7,350	2,890	14,055				
1908	17,500	16,250	993	2,000	2,130	13,925				
1909	17,500	16,250	1,364	21,526	2,210	33,241				
1910	17,500	16,250	1,669	10,162	6,685	36,714				
1911	11,500	17,500	1,969	13,500	5,060	45,158				

The rapid progress of the establishment appears clearly from the above figures which show the increase in the share capital and its payment in full and the rapid and large increase of the reserve fund, as well as by the increased dividends which rose from 5 % in 1902 to 8 % in 1908, at which they have remained. It is well also to observe that by placing its bonds on foreign markets, this institution has become the financial means by which foreign capital comes to give its contribution to Japanese industry. But the foundation of the Kogyo Ginko has had another important consequence, in the considerable decrease in the number of depoil and discount banks. In fact the number of the other banks has not changed; there are still a Japanese Bank, a Mortgage Bank, a Yokohama Specie Bank, a Formosa Bank, a Hokkaido Bank, and 46 agricultural

mustrial banks. In addition, we see there has been an increase in the number of Savings Banks though it has been slow; even if they have lown in recent years a slight tendency to decrease, whilst the ordinary make have decreased in number very rapidly. This, which we must make resulting from the foundation of the credit on personal tate resulting from the foundation of the Industrial Bank, is shown by a following figures.

Years	Deposit and Discount Banks	Savings Banks	Other Banks	Total
01,	r,867	441	51	
02,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1,841	431	- 1	2,359
юз	1,754	469	52	2,324
904,	1,708	467	52	2,275
905	1,697	481	52	2,227
906		488	52	z,230
ογ		- 1	52	2,210
ю8		484	52	2,194
100,	-,~33	485	52	2,172
112	1,617	483	52	2,152
m	1,618	473	52	2,143
	1,616	476	52	2,144

If we compare the rate of dividend of the Industrial Bank with that the ordinary banks, we find that in the first case the rate insed from 5 to 8 %, as we saw above, whilst in the second it rened stationary or tended to diminish, falling from 8 1/2% in 1902 to 4 % he first half year of 1911. Of course these decreased profits cannot exclusively considered as a result of the concentration of the credit personal estate business by the Kogyo Ginko. It is certain, however, the banks which gradually limit themselves almost entirely to sit and discount business are bound inevitably to find their profits nish. Yet, we must also remark that these decreased profits do correspond with any real decrease of activity, but only with a difit direction given to that activity. Only the smallest and least of the banks have been unable to meet the new situation, and es have not been rare among them. The rest have adapted thems to the changes necessitated by the circumstances, and by the dation of a larger number of branches they have made up for their eased profits. It will suffice to mention that if the 2,340 Japanese is in 1900 had 1,875 branches, the 2,152 working in 1909 had 2,739.

# § 2. SPECIAL CREDIT BANKS.

We have now seen the most important characteristics and principal tendencies of the development of the Japanese banking system. It is mains for us to speak of the special credit banks. If we conside the situation of the Japanese banks, we may ask ourselves whether it is of a nature to render really advisable the foundation of special banks for a griculture, industry and commerce, or whether it would not be better than the bank to undertake all these three branches of business at the same time. We may also consider whether the best banking system for this country is that of autonomous or of branch banks,

Without going deeply into the matter, and limiting ourselves to a statement of the facts, we may remark that the system of special bank in use on the European Continent has in fact been adopted in Japan That country has, for commerce, the ordinary banks in connection with the Bank of Japan: for industry and agriculture, the 46 Noko Gink in their turn connected with the large Nippon Kangyo Ginko (Mortgag Bank).

It is true that in America and in Great Britain there are institute giving credit, at one and the same time, in these three large domains Japanese credit not being of equal importance, a subdivision was necessary for a single establishment would not have been able to immobilise lay amounts in agriculture and industry and also supply the continual increasing needs of commerce.

Therefore, in Japan a quite definite and very characteristic distinction has been made between the three classes of credit institutes, according as they occupy themselves especially with commercial, industrial agricultural credit, which are almost entirely independent of each other. This independence finds its natural limits in the general financial situation of the country and in the really strict supervision the Government exercises over all the credit establishments.

In respect of these two systems, that of the special autonomous banks and that of the special banks with numerous branches, we may such that in Japan there is indeed a tendency in favour of the second type but the first is still the most widely spread. In fact, we find in the whole Empire a very large number of banks all almost entirely independent of each other. This is especially the case of the small banks for our inary credit. It may be to a certain degree an advantage for the small dealer who may thus more easily find the credit he has need of, but in meither advantageous nor safe for the country. This has indeed be understood by those at the head of the Japanese financial movement, it they have long asked and at last obtained a law on the union of the banks and their interpenetration.

Japan is now confronted by a state of things similar, when the necessary allowance is made, to that which exists elsewhere, especial

1 Germany, where the large banks are not a cause of the liquidation of he small provincial banks, but make use of them as branches. They sist them in their business and make use of these small credit estabshments as useful intermediaries between capital and the small caler or farmer. This system is perhaps the best; it permits the large ands to extend their influence in the country easily and surely and at he same time it puts no obstacle in the way of the business of customers a less comfortable circumstances who would have difficulty in obtaining credit from the branches of the large establishments.

The system of the 46 Noko Ginko, scattered over all the provinces, udependent in their business, but supported, under certain conditions, by the Central Institute of Mortgage Credit, is the practical realisation of the system we have explained, the value of which has been amply

proved by its excellent results.

A few figures will confirm our statements.

The 46 Noko Ginko (industrial agricultural banks) had in 1902 altogether a capital of 28,370,000 yen, of which 27,657,234 yen were paid up. At the same date their reserve funds amounted to 1,431,263 yen. The corns granted in the year amounted to more than 28,000,000 yen. In tott, the share capital was 34,470,000 yen, 32,370,000 yen paid np, and he reserve funds amounted to 9,138,708 yen. In the same year, the coars granted amounted to more than 95,500,000 yen. That did not not prevent the net profits, more than doubling themselves in the ten years; and the dividends remaining at the satisfactory figure of 8.4 %, which is 3 % more than those paid in 1905.

# URUGUAY.

# THE LAND QUESTION AND AGRICULTURAL CREDIT IN URUGUAY.

#### OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS:

- CREDIT RURAL. Leyes y Decreto Reglamentario Ministerio de Industries (Atribulani Credit. Laws and Regulations. Department of Industry). Montevideo 1913.
- LEYES Y DECRETOS SOBRE IMIGRACIÓN Y COLONIZACIÓN, promulgados per el Pode Es. cutivo de la Républica Oriental del Uruguay. Departamente de Ganaderia y Agricultus sección de Immigración y Colonización (Laws and Decrees on Immigration and Colonismia promulgated by the Executive Authorities of the Republic of Uruguay. Department of Linstock and Agriculture. Immigration and Colonisation Division). Montevideo 1912.
- LEY Y DECRETO REGLAMENTARIO SOBRE CONCURSOS DE COLONIZACIÓN PARCIAL AGROPECUAM DE LAS ESTANCIAS. Ministerio de Industrias. Division de Ganaderia (Law and Regulations) Competitions in Partial Agricultural and Livestock Improvement Colonisation, Departual of Industry. Livestoch Division). Montevideo, 1912.
- Annario Estadistico de la Republica Oriental del Uruguay (Sialistical Yearbook # the Republic of Uruguay). Year 1907-1908. 1st. volume. Montevideo, 1909. 2nd vol. 2nd and 3rd. Parts. Montevideo. 1911.
- CENSO GANADERO DE LA REPUBLICA DEL URUGUAY. Departamento de ganaderia y agricultu 1900 (Livestock Census of the Republic of Uruguay. Department of Livestock and Agriculture ture, 1900). Montevideo, 1901.
- MOVIMENTO DEL COMERCIO EXTERIOR ESPECIAL DE LA REPUBLICA O. DEL'URUGUAY. Dire cion generalde Aduanos. Afics 1908-1909 (Foreign Trade of the Republic of Uruguay in Atica of Consumption. General Management of Customs. Years 1908-1909). Montevideo, 1910 Do. Affios 1907-1908 (The same. Year 1907-1908). Montevideo, 1909.
- EL URUGUAY EN LA EXPOSICION DE BRUSELAS. Camera Mercantil de productos del país (Un guay at the Brussels Exhibition. Chamber of Commerce of Native Produce). Montevideo, 1910
- MEMORIA DEL MINISTERO DE INDUSTRIAS CORESPONDIENTE AL AÑO 1911. (Report of the Depart ment of Industry for the Year 1911), Montevideo, Tip. de la Escuela Nacional de arte ! oficios, 1912.
- Ra Uruguay como Pais agricola. Ministerio de Industria. Oficia de Exposiciones (Úri<sub>s</sub>m as an Agricultural Country. Department of Industry. Exhibitions Office). Montevideo, 1911

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS:

- ARAUJo (Orestes): Geografia economica del Uruguay (Economic Geografity of Uragua) Montevideo. A. Monteverde y Cia, Editores. 1910.
- KEANE (Dr. A. H.) Central and South America. London. Edward Stanford, 1909.
- CLEMENCEAU (G.) Notes de voyage dans l'Amérique du sad, Argentine, Uruguay, Brésil (Impus ions of Travel in South America, Argentina, Uruguay and Brasil). Paris, Hachette at Co., 1911

(OEBEL (W. H.): Uruguay. London. T. Fisher Unwin, 1911.

Same (Paul): L'agriculture et les industries agricoles en Uruguay. Bulletin des séances de la Société Nationale d'agriculture de France (Agriculture and Agricultural Industries in Uru-yay, Bulletin of the Sessions of the French Farmers' National Society). No. 4. Paris, 1912.

NOULINE INTERNATIONAL DE LÉGISLATION AGRICOLE, Hême année, 1912 (International Yearbook of Agricultural Legislation, IInd. Year, 1912). International Institute of Agriculture, 20me 1013.

ENUARE INTERNATIONAL DE STATISTIQUE AGRICOLE 1910 (International Yearbook of Agricullural Statistics, 1910). International Institute of Agriculture, Rome, 1912. RATESMAN'S YEARBOOK for the Year 1913. London. Macmillan and Co. 1913.

INVISTA DE LA ASOCIACION RURAL DEL URUGUAY. Afios 1910-11-12 (Review of Rural Association in Uruguay. Years 1910-11-12).

"Livestock improvement must be associated with agriculture in an minently stockbreeding country like ours." This declaration, made by the finister of Industry in a report recently presented by him to Parlament, summarises in a few words the whole agricultural policy Uruguay has been for some years carrying out, a policy that all the States watered by the Rio de la Plata are adopting now that the economic period of colonal farming has come to an end. To transform the estancias into chacras, in rather, to associate the pastoral with the agricultural system and to carry 1 at once scientific livestock improvement and the cultivation of grain, adder, vegetables and ligneous, plants are the fundamental points in the programme announced, by means of the development of which it is oped to obtain an increase of the population, and, with a more solid asis of agricultural economy, a regular increase of wealth.

With this idea the Government of Uruguay has drafted a series of meastes to stimulate private enterprise and to give a shock to "rural apathy";
the one hand, immigration laws tend to attract agricultural labourers;
the other hand, a very liberal system of legislation on colonisation affords
the means for working and purchasing farms, with the help of credit on land.
It all that has not been enough: to allow the farmers to cultivate a part
the soil previously left for grazing, it was necessary to offer them cheap
tedit, that is the agricultural credit properly so called, required by the farms
or their ordinary working; it was necessary to put them in a position to
that the machinery and implements required for farming at small cost
y means of associations and agricultural syndicates after the example of
that has been done in Europe.

With this object the Government of the Republic recently passed an rganic law through Parliament for the institution of a system of agricultral credit based on co-operation with the financial support of the Bank if the Republic: the rural banks founded on private initiative still serve sintermediaries between the Bank and the farmers, and may also act as gricultural syndicates and co-operative societies for production and sale, has completing their work in behalf of agriculture.

Before examining this system, we think it advisable to review the ecoomico-agricultural conditions of the country, in order that the reader may still better appreciate the importance of these provisions for the agricultural future of the busy republic.

## § 1. Some information in relation to the geographical and economic position of uruguay

I. Geographical Position. — It is enough to look at the map of  $U_{lh}$  guay to see that the country occupies an extremely advantageous position

In fact, thanks to this, it has a temperate climate, without extreme  $v_i$  iations, favouring a considerable and varied agricultural production. It bounded for 1,075 kilometres of the 1,848 forming its entire perimeter, large navigable rivers such as the Uruguay and the Rio de la Plata and the Atlantic Ocean.

The Republic has an active import and export trade, owing to i water ways and its connection by sea with the rest of the world, in Montevideo, the capital of the Republic, is a port of call for all ships from Europe, Brazil and North America on their way to the Pacific and it gentina.

The territory of Uruguay, which has an area of 186,920 sq. kms., i divided into 19 departments situated as follows: the department of Rock on the Atlantic, those of Maldonado, Canelones, Montevideo, San José an Colonia, watered by the Rio de la Plata; those of Soriano, Rio Negro, Paisso du, Salto and Artigas, on the Uruguay; those of Rivera, Cerro Largo and Treinta y Tres, bordering on Brazil, and those of Tacuarembô, Flow, Duragno, Florida and Minuas, in the centre.

The largest of these departments is Tacuarembo, the area of which 21,022 sq. kilometres and the smallest Montevideo, hardly 664 sq. km. It must further be mentioned that Urugnay is very small, particularly if we compare it with the other states of America. But the part called Band we compare it with the other states of America. But the part called Band lands, and hardly any that cannot be utilised. The soil of the Republic slightly undulating and varied in its aspect and favourable to agriculture and livestock improvement. Some of these undulations give rise to a stile of hills, the breadth of which corresponds with their height, called in the country cuchillas. They are formed of granite and covered with a thin coating of mould; their slope is smooth and permits of their use a natural roads.

Middle Uruguay, the Lower Uruguay, La Plata and Lake Merin and the Atlantic Ocean. More than 500 streams and rivers traverse it, furrowing it and fertilising it in every divection.

The Rio Negro is the most important river. It crosses the Republifrom North East to South West. It is navigable for a length of about the same and boats can travel along almost the whole of its course, but it is only made use of for 250 kms., up to Santa Isabel, by motor came

nd other smaller boats for traffic to and from the Uruguay. We find, also, 1 the North, the Cuareim, Arapey, Queguay and Daimán, all bringing 10wn much water, and in the South, the San Salvador, Yi, Santa Lucia, an José, Yaguaron, Cibollati and several others, all partly or entirely avigable.

This mountain and water system has a considerable influence on the

eneral economy of the country.

First of all, the numerous rivers, streams and brooks fertilise the soil all its extent and keep it constantly humid, and this humidity, without eing excessive, gives rise to an exuberant natural vegetation from which he livestock which forms, as we shall see hereafter, the chief national wealth, lerive abundant nourishment.

Agriculture benefits by this which may almost be called a natural system in impation, whilst the slightly undulating soil prevents the stagnation of he water. Further the abundance of streams is a great advantage as a means

transport and as motor force.

2. Population. — According to the last census of 1908, the population of ruguay was composed of 1,042,686 inhabitants, with a density of 5.5 per p. km. (1). This total was made up of 861,464 or 82.62 % native born and 181.222 or 17.38 % (2) foreigners.

The population of Uruguay has increased considerably. It was 30,685 1796, in the days of Spanish colonisation and reached, as we have seen, he amount of 1,042,686 in 1908, that is to say in 112 years the population as increased thirty four fold.

Although the natural increase of the population is very great (20.7 %), emust, however, also consider immigration as one of the principal factors this rapid progress.

Although the current of immigration suffered sudden interrupns, owing to the agitations of which the country was the theatre in the
IXth. century, yet it resumed its course in a quite satisfactory manner as
n as these obstacles had ceased. Between 1875 and 1908 the movement
d brought 100,000 persons to the Republic, with an annual average of

In 1911 the figures were as follows:

Immigration								141,224
Emigration			•					123,934
Excess of Imm	ic	rrs	1121	le.				17 200

Between 1900 and 1908, the population of Uruguay increased 13.8 %, ing from 915,647 to 1,042,686 inhabitants.

<sup>(1)</sup> One of the latest official publications of the "Exhibitions Office" (El Uruguay como is agricola) estimates the present population of the Republic at 1,300,000 inhabitants, with lensity of 6.2 per sq. km.

<sup>[2]</sup> Theforeigners are distributed by nationalities as follows: 62,357 Italians, 54885 Spanish; [25] Brazilians, 18,600 natives of Argentina, 8,341 French, 1,444 Turks, 1,406 Swiss, 1,324 lish, 1,112 Germans, 1,109 Austro-Hungarians, and 2,855 of other nationalities.

According to the general census of 1908, of 406,519 (1) persons composing the active population, that is to say, over ten years of age, whose profession is known, 103,302, or 25.4 % were engaged in agriculture, considered as including livestock improvement and rural industries.

The department of Montevideo is the most populous of the 19 departments; it has 309,231 inhabitants, almost the third of the entire populotion; the least populous is the department of Flores, which has only 16,082 inhabitants. These are the actual figures for the population Considering it from the point of view of density, we find Montevideo take the first place with 465.7 inhabitants to the sq. km. and Tacuaremby comes last with 2.2 inhabitants per sq. km.

3. Production. — As in the case of the Argentine Republic, in that of Uruguay, the principal source of wealth is agriculture and above allivestock improvement.

Although there is a great future before agricultural industry in the tne sense of the word, we shall see below that at present it is comparative little developed, forthe methods of cultivation still leave much to be  $\pm$  sired. In spite of this, the industry provides the country with from 16 million to 16  $\frac{1}{2}$  million pesos (2).

The great wealth of the nation is, as we have said, its livestock, to above all the production and exploitation of sheep and horned cattle.

More than 35 million head of horned cattle live in the immense prairie of Uruguay; the value of this immense stock of cattle is reckous at 180,000,000 pesos and it yields the country on an average more than 52,000,000 pesos the year.

The industries in connection with horned cattle are also very import ant; they include the preparation of extract of meat, preserved ment tasafo, (3), broth, hides etc., manufactures of which we may say that they are the only ones developed in the country.

The soil of Uruguay contains the most varied minerals from gold to potters' clay, Mining is, however, very little develped on account of the insufficient study of the local geology, and the want of interest of the local inhabitants in mining speculation. Another cause for this lack of development is the lack of rapid and cheap means of trasport and the high price of labour.

The largest number of mines are gold mines. In 1908 there were in last 230 mines of gold alone in a total of 385 mines registered.

The only department in which mining is sufficiently developed in that of Rivera, in which there are 175 mines.

Manufacturing industry progresses slowly. However, the flour mils are of some importance: in 1908 their production was yalued at between

<sup>(</sup>r) They are distributed as follows according to occupation: agriculture: 103,302; fished:
411, extractive industries: 1,731; manufactures: 11,208; manual labour, transport, etc. 84,401
trade: 46,702: liberal professions: 11,209; State services: 14,759; unspecified professions: 46,702.

<sup>(2) 1</sup> peso (gold) = 5.36 frs.

<sup>(3)</sup> Tasajo is salt and died meat, prepared so as to keep.

nd 7 million pesos: we may also mention other industrial produce such as il alcohol, thread and cloth, furniture etc.

4. Commerce. — The commerce of the Republic of Uruguay is sufficiently tive and increases every day. In spite of its limited area, Uruguay holds, lact, the fourth place among the republics of South America in respect foreign trade, coming after Argentina, Brazil and Chili.

The following are the figures for the foreign trade of Uruguay in 1912 and 1911.

	1912 (1)	1911 (1)
Imports (gold pesos) Exports ( do )	49,485,064 51,5 <b>6</b> 4,477	45,950,760 44,631,960
	101,049,541	90,582,720

It appears, therefore, that between 1911 and 1912 the total value of foreign trade increased by 10,466,821 gold pesos and that, of this inase, almost 7 millions are due to exports.

If we compare the figures for foreign trade for 1912 with those for 1902, at is to say with the trade of ten years ago, we see more clearly how the mmerce of Uruguay has increased. At that date the official figures for de were as follows:

							23,517,000 33,660,000
•	•	•					
							57,177,000

According to the statistical yearbook for 1908, the principal articles ported are textile materials and manufactured produce (7,936,895 gold 808), food stuffs (7,433,633), stones, earths, glass, pottery, iron etc. They e supplied by the following countries in order of importance: first reat Britain, then Germany, France, and the United States.

The exports are almost exclusively animal produce, for 36,479,767 sos in a total of 40,296,367 pessos in 1908. The principal articles are: wool, ported chiefly to France, Belgium, Germany and Argentina; hides, for lich the principal markets are France, Germany and Argentina, and meat, which Brazil and Argentina continue to be the chief consumers, although recent years the demand on the part of Cuba has considerably increased, pecially for tasaio.

5. Communications. — Uruguay is one of the South American countries ere the means of communication are the best, although there are large

<sup>(</sup>t) We have reproduced from the "Statesman's Yearbook" for 1913 the data for 1911 and I. in that publication they are expressed in pounds. We have reduced them to gold pesos sidering 4.7 pesos as the equivalent of a pound. (The gold peso = 5.36 frs).

tracts with no better roads than the cuchillas already mentioned. The Republic of Uruguay has 2,500 kms, of railway. The most important line is the Uruguay Central Railway, 7,154 km. in length, which, starting from Montevideo, crosses the country from South to North passing by Canelones, Florida, Duragno and Tacuarembo to Rivera on the Brazilian frontier.

All these lines belong to private companies, which are, however, guaranteed by the State. Now the State proposes to construct for its own account 1,647 kilometres of railway, which will give Uruguay a total of 5,000 kilometres of rail. In the Republic there are 61,931 kilometres of

roads for vehicles.

Finally among the means of communication and transport we must mention the sea and rivers. Inland navigation and communication by water with the neighbouring countries is principally carried on by the Mihanovich company. In addition, the State has recently undertaken the navigation of the Rio Negro and the Rio Tacuarembò; 600 kms. of the first and 60 of the second are navigable.

6. Finances. — The financial situation of Uruguay is fairly satisfactory. In the accounts for 1912-1913, the expenditure was shown as 35,133812

gold pesos and the revenue as 35,142,360 gold pesos.

Although 2 ½ million pesos are annually paid off, the public debt of Uruguay is rather high; it was in fact 131,857,541 pesos on January 1st., 1912. In 1911, the business in connection with the interest on this debt cost 6,964,603 pesos.

The principal fiscal revenue is derived from customs dues (1910-1911:

13,620,000 pesos) and direct taxes (1910-11: 8,122,000 pesos).

7. Financial Establishments. — The only establishment authorized to issue notes is the "Banco de la Republica". It has a nominal capital of 20,000,000 pesos. On January 1st., 1912 its notes in circulation amounted to 25,638,911 pesos.

There are, besides, many other banks, the most important of which are: the "Banco de Londres", the "Banco Español", the "Banco Comercia" the "Banco Aleman", the "Banco Hipotecario" and some others. In 1911, the Government proposed the foundation of a National Insurance Bank, to have the monopoly of all branches of insurance, a proposal realised by law in 1912.

# § 2. AGRICULTURE AND THE PRESENT LAND POLICY.

After the above outline of the economic and social situation of the Republic of Uruguay, we shall examine the conditions of agriculture there its development and the position it occupies in relation to the other factor of the national economy.

Agricultural Production. — In the country of Uruguay all the plant
of the temperate zone grow and we can say that, on account of the uniform
ity of the soil, there are no regions of special crops. The most important

goducts are at present, wheat, maize, flax, fruit, vegetables, vines and ol-

Coreals.— The cultivation of cereals, especially of wheat and maize, is he most important in the country. These crops are grown each year approximately over an area of 500,000 hectares. In 1908, 2,302,158 quintils of wheat were produced, which, at the average price of 3.45 gold pesos yer 100 kgs. represents a value of 7,942,446 gold pesos. Of this sum, (731,094 pesos were for exported wheat.

In the same year, the production of maize was 1,344,000 quintals, epresenting a value of 3,696,000 pesos, at the average rate of 2.75 pesos;

of this amount only 2,789 pesos were exported.

The yield from the cereals is not high (according to the last yearbook, the average yield of wheat was 740 kgs. per hectare and that of maize, 641 kgs.). This small yield is due to insufficient preparation of the soil and the neglect of selection.

It is in the departments of Canelones, Colonia, San José, Soriano, Florida and Minas that the cultivation of cereals is most developed. The first of these departments contains a third of the total area cultivated with cereals.

Flax. — Flax is another plant fairly largely cultivated in the country. Every year 50,000 hectares are cultivated with it and the department in which it is most extensively grown is Colonia.

Linseed is chiefly used for making oil. The production of linseed in 1908 was 185,244 quintals, which, at the average rate of 4.50 pesos per quintal, would have a value of 832,598 pesos. In the same year 175,907 quintals, valued at 707,749 pesos, were exported.

Vines. — The cultivation of vines has been recently introduced into Uruguay. When the country was colonised some attempts at viticulture were made in the departments of Montevideo and Maldonado.

They had not the success desired, and therefore no one thought of repeating them until in 1874 vines were again cultivated in the environs of Montevideo and the Department of Salto.

These attempts having been crowned with the most brilliant success, the example was at once followed; so that in a few years viticulture has made considerable progress. In 1874 there were only 2 vineyards in the country; in 1912 there were 2,067.

Now about 6,000 hectares in Uruguay are covered with vineyards with more than 20,000,000 vines. The yield in 1911 was 24,575 tons, from which 14,703,600 litres of wine were made.

The departments in which viticulture is most extensively carried on are those of Montevideo, Canglones and Colonia, Although the vine is cultivated more or less, in the whole country.

Other Crops.—In Urugnay, all the fruit trees and vegetables of Europe and North America grow easily and under the most favourable conditions, thanks to the mild climate, the fertile soil and the abundance of animal manure.

In 1908 the amount of fruit produced was valued at 703,015 pesos and that of vegetables at 1,802,608 pesos. The cultivation of other plants is also important, namely that of the olive tree, recently introduced, of sugar beet of plants for fodder, increasing more and more as an auxiliary to live. stock improvement, of tobacco, cotton etc.

We may say that Uruguay has no forest wealth, for the few trees of

the country are only found along the rivers.

2. Livestock Improvement and Industries in Connection with it. - The 1008 Census shows that at that date there were in Uruguay 35,257,354 head of horned cattle, a figure which must certainly be higher to day, when we consider the animal wealth of Uruguay has constantly increased. Por a proof of this increase it is enough to compare the figures of the 1908 census with those for 1900.

There we	ere in	1908			•		٠	35,257,354	head	of livestock
v	(C (C	1900							n	n
So that	there	was an	ı ine	creas	e o	f	٠	9,122,458	))	30

This means that between 1900 and 1908 the animal weath of the country increased at the rate of 1,140,307 head per year.

These figures show that Uruguay is one of the leading livestock producing countries.

The livestock was divided as follows according to species:

Sheep	26,286,296 head
Horned Cattle	8,192,602 »
Horses	
Pigs	180,099 »
Goats	19,951 »
Total	35 257 354 head

To show the importance of the wealth of Uruguay in livestock it is enough to say that after having satisfied the demand; of home consumption, it has every year a surplus amount of the value of about 40,000,000 pesos available for export.

The industries in connection with animal produce are very important. They consist in the preparation of extract of meat, of preserved meat, of salt meat, broth, hides etc.; and the country possesses a large number of establishments for these purposes. The most important of all is clearly that of the Liebig Company, at Fray Bentos. To give an idea of the importance of this company, the products of which are renowned throughout the world, we shall say that its capital amounts to £1,000,000 (27.743.450 frs.); that 1,400 hands are employed in its factory, and that on an average from 150,000 to 200,000 head of horned cattle a year are slaughtered in connection with it.

Besides the Liebig establishments there are 21 others in the country for salting and freezing meat. There are also other rural industries, such sdairying, pig improvement, poultry improvement, sericiculture, beekeeping and others yet. Although still at their start, these industries are ssuming greater and greater importance, and some of them, as for sample, dairying, promise well for the future.

3. Rural Land. — In Uruguay as well as in the other countries watged by the Rio de la Plata, large farms predominate. We meet with immense

stancias on which thousands of domestic animals live.

The most important and the largest estancias are in the west; several belong to the Leuco Company, which has stocked them with the best imported European breeds.

According to the official data, almost all the territory, or 16,999,275 ectares, is divided among 41,741 land owners; amongst them 10,359 poss-ss 14,154,200 hectares in large landed estates of various sizes, from 400 ectares to 65,300 hectares in area. The remaining 2,845,073 hectares belong 031,332 land owners, who have farms not exceeding 300 hectares, on which hey devote themselves for the most part, though not on the system of atensive farming, to livestock improvement and agriculture.

The value of the land varies with the wealth of the soil, the situation, he distance from lines of communication etc. We may say that it varies rom 40 to 150 pesos per hectare, the most usual price being from 60 to 70 1500.

The rent of the farm varies also with the above conditions.

The Mortgage Bank offers great facilities for purchase of farms for small nums, for it gives loans of two thirds of the value of the land as fixed for the land tax. The farmer who desires to purchase a farm therefore pays the select through the Mortgage Bank, the amount of the loan, and only adds imself the difference between that and the price of the farm. This system has the further advantage that there is no formality required ment for the substitution of the debtor to the Mortgage Bank. The han is made for a period of 30 years, and 6.85 % 100 per month with interest must be paid to the Bank in sinking fund and commission.

4. The Land Question. — What we have said above shows that in spite of the quality and form of the soil, thanks to which there is no land in the country that cannot be cultivated, the area really cultivated is extremely finited, since, as we have seen, it is not more than about 600,000 hectares.

The naturally fertile conditions and the topography of the country make it all seem like one immense estancia where the livestock find abundant nourishment.

It was in fact, on account of its immense natural pastures that in the distant days of Spanish dominion, Uruguay gave itself up to cattle breeding. In 1573 Juan Ortiz de Zarate brought here 1,500 head of horned cattle and more than 200 sheep. From this first importation is derived the enormous stock of horned cattle now in the pasture lands of the Banda Orientale, which over more than 14,000,000 hectares, that is to say an area twenty three times as great as that utilised for agriculture.

Historical events accentuated the prevalence of grazing farms.

In the course of the three centuries of Spanish domination, from the days of the first conquistadores (at the beginning of the sixteenth century), up to the revolutionary agitations of Artigas and the downfall of the domination of the mother country (at the commencement of the nineteenth century) the Banda Orientale was the theatre of continual strife; civil wars succeeded each other after the national independence had been acquired and until recently. In so agitated an ambient, the peaceful exercise of agriculture could not find the necessary conditions under which to establish itself and thrive as rapidly as livestock improvement.

There was another important reason for this, which has still force today; the insufficient supply of labour; and, consequently, its high price

In Uruguay, a day labourer receives from 1 to 2.50 pesos a day, in addition to board and lodging. It is therefore easy to understand why the large landowners who have often latifundia of more than 50,000 hectars and even those with smaller farms, who cannot farm their land alone, prefer to farm them in a manner which is less expensive, while it gives them the same profits as they have been accustomed to receive from it up to recently. Thus, whilst agriculture employs 60,827 persons on 600,000 hectares, live stock improvement only requires 41,637 for 1,000,000 hectares.

We see that the large landowners prefer, for economic reasons to utilise their farms for livestock improvement rather than for agriculture. This preference is not only observed when the owner farms the land himself but also when he leaves it to tenant farmers, because he thinks agriculture is incompatible with livestock improvement. Thus most frequently, when he leases his farms, the landowner inserts in the contract a clause, absolutely forbidding the tenant to plough the ground, unless for the production of cattle food with the object of increasing his stock.

We find also that the tenant farmer, who, alone could occupy himself economically with agriculture, most frequently abandons it even before the prohibition of the proprietor. Besides, on the farms, which are least numerous, on which the farmer is at liberty to undertake both kinds of farming, the development of agriculture is indirectly hindered by the short term of the contract. In fact the Civil Code of the Republic limits the term of these contracts to a period of 10 years; but this term is never actually reached, as the contract is generally passed for three or four years. And this short period does not allow of the farmer making improvements of a permanent character, nor of his carrying out other work requiring a longe period in order to be remunerative. There is then no other resource but to derive the greatest revenue from the soil with the least possible expenditure, although this method exhausts the fertility of the soil.

It is evident, as was said by the Minister of Industry in one of his las reports, that these two defects which, with others, hinder the development of agriculture, are due to the very circumstances of the case. The short ten of contract is a natural consequence of the sudden and continual variation in the value of rural land, which has its effect on the rate of lease. This is a phenomenon common to all new countries.

The prohibition to plough the fields is a consequence of the fact, that, if, after being ploughed, the land is allowed to lie fallow, it is less fit for asture than virgin soil.

To sum up, when we consider the history of the country, the insufficient supply of labour, the natural fertility of the soil of Uruguay and the immediate and profitable yield from livestock, owing to the auxiliary industries connected with it, we find the extraordinary development of livestock improvement in the country is natural and we understand why up to the present agriculture has been considered of small importance.

The Government has endeavoured, as far as possible, to overcome this tendency, by seeking to develop agriculture. This policy corresponds with the real interests of the country, which are increasing in view of the ferce competition in animal production and because there are in the Republic departments that have to depend on other countries for agricultural produce, as they have almost absolutely no cultivated land.

5. Colonisation. — Let us now examine the work of the Government and the authorities with a view to favouring the development of agriculture and their crease of the rural population.

At the first the Government of the Republic considered that the best means of attaining this end was to encourage agricultural colonisation, which should gradually transform immense half desert tracts into large centrs of population and production, while at the same time encouraging immigration. It began by the law of 1880 which assigns an annual amount of 200,000 pesos for purchase of land for distribution afterwards among colonists.

This law laid it down that if, in a pastoral district, twenty families asked for farms to cultivate, the authorities might buy the necessary land, with the authorization of Government, when in the locality there is no State land adapted for the purpose. If the number of families applying is more than one hundred, they might proceed to expropriate the land necessary.

As a result of the practical difficulties encountered in the application of the above law, on account of the insufficient amounts allocated and the high price of land, the Minister of the Interior, two years after, promulgated a decree to the effect that the State Lands occupied by tenant farmers should be exclusively used for the formation of agricultural colonies.

It is to this first phase of government action we owe the majority of agicultural colonies in the country, those namely of Valdense, Cosmolita, Suza, Española etc., the conditions of one of which are satisfactory, whilst the others subsist with difficulty. The one that has attained the highest degree of development and prosperity is that of Suiza, founded in 1862, between Mercedes and Montevideo, with to day about 4,000 colonists. The mincipal industry carried on in the colony is the manufacture of cheese and butter, though grain, flax and vines are cultivated also.

One of the greatest obstacles in the way of the official colonization, met with more than ever to day, is the insufficient quantity of State Land as

well as the difficulty of buying private landed property, on account of it high price and the unwillingness of the proprietors to part with it.

In consequenc of the insufficient results attained by the above propose als, the Government thinks it best to encourage private enterprise. Thus in 1880, a law was promulgated granting special fiscal exemptions for color isation companies and proprietors who give their land for the purpose the principal provisions of this law are as follows: the land occupied be the colonists is exempted from land tax for eight years from date of the colorists. The colonist forfeits this advantage if, two years after purchase, he has not brought under cultivation at least a third of the land it question.

The land that private parties or companies intend for colonisation which must be at least 20 square leagues (1) in area, is also exempt from lan tax for eight years, provided that within the term of two years from date of the concession a third of the area is colonised, and within the term of four year two thirds. Otherwise, the exemption is limited to the part actually of compied by the colonists. The Government is authorized to grant to color ising undertakings, exemption for ten years from export duty on all the produce of the colony, except stones and sand.

As the country is to an eminent degree a livestock producing one, the difficulties presented made the substitution of cultivation for pastwage less easy. The authorities, then, judged that it would be most avantageon to associate livestock improvement and agriculture. With this object law was passed on July 11th., 1911, for the encouragement of mixed agricultural and livestock improvement colonisation of grazing farms. By provision of this law it is established that a competition shall be opened of January 1st., 1915 for the livestock improvers, owners of grazing farm or tenant farmers hiring them, who have most distinguished themselve in the above colonisation; an amount of 180,000 pesos has been fixed the prize.

According to the text of the law, by mixed agricultural and livest improvement colonisation is meant "colonisation based on the association the estancia and the chacra that is on livestock improvement and agriculturaccording to the different systems followed by the livestock improver, owner or the tenant of grazing farms, without changing the nature of the farm and without abandoning the present management, by employing certain proportion of colonists each in agricultural production, in livestoc improvement and in the auxiliary rural industries, such as dairying, p and poultry improvement, the fattening of animals etc."

By the word colonist must be understood "not merely the simple de labourer, but the agricultural labourer, who besides the remuneration fix in his contract, has his share in the crops of the profits".

The register in which the farmers must be entered for the purpose of the competition, contains the five following classes, Ist., farms, of not more that than 500 hectares employing at least two colonists or colonists' families

<sup>(1)</sup> The league is 4,225 metres.

and., those of from 500 to 1,000 hectares, employing three colonists of colonists' families; 3rd., those of from 1,000 to 2,000 hectares, employing four colonists or colonists' families; 4th., those of from 2,000 to 4,000 hectares; 5th., those over 4,000 hectares in area.

In order to be registered, the following area on each farm must be colonised: 20 % of the first 500 hectares; 10 % of the next 500; 5% of the next 500; 2 % of the remaining area.

At least half these areas must be devoted to the cultivation of fodder.

# § 3. AGRICULTURAL CREDIT AND THE NEW LEGISLATION.

I. The Question of Agricultural Credit. — It is seen in the last law considered that the present tendency of the agricultural policy is to combine agriculture with livestock improvement.

Now it was not enough to embedy this tendency in the institution of competitions. It is necessary for the parties concerned to see the advantages which allow them to second it, and at the same time to have at their disposal the means putting them in a position to realise it in practice. This means that the owner or the tenant of a farm may count on obtaining, upon good conditions, the necessary capital for cultivating a part of his farmina reasonable and profitable manner, with the help of engineering works, machinery, selected seeds etc. Up to the present, the farmers have been exclusively dependent on intermediaries, who, while raising the price of money and the articles obtained by it, undervalued in an extraordinary degree the produce they received in return. Besides, it was necessary to stimulate this partial transformation of the fields by showing its advantage by example, that so say that it was necessary that the existing farms should produce more under better conditions, by means of the application of the systems of modern cultivation.

Convinced of the force of these considerations, the Government of the Republic proposed to meet the requirements by enabling the humblest reasant to obtain the capital he requires on good conditions.

2. The Laws of January 18th. 1912. — Consequently, on January 18th. 1912, the Chambers voted two laws, mutually completing each other (Law forming the Agricultural Credit Department, Law on Rural Banks), and constituting the basis of agricultural credit in the country. The system devised by the Government is that of credit provided by co-operative organizations and supported by financial assistance from the State.

3. Agricultural Credit Department. — One of these laws institutes a Sucion de Crédito Rural in the Banco de la República, with an initial capital of 500,000 pesos, which may be increased when the management judge it necessary. This section is intended:

(a) To promote the most ample and efficacious distribution of credit among the small agricultural landowners and other persons devoting themselves to agricultural production;

(b) to promote the organization of sural banks over the whole territory of the Republic; to be a centre of information and propaganda; to centralise and direct efforts in this direction giving useful advice to the founders to publish the results obtained and take all desirable measures for the prosperity of the banks; to distribute model rules, and formularies and book keeping forms etc. for the use of the rural banks, with a view to rendering uniform simplifying and facilitating the formalities for their foundation and working (c) to permit and assist in the establishment of banks organized on the

basis of co-operation and conforming with the formalities laid down in the

law on rural banks;

(d) periodically to inspect the banks founded in conformity with the law referred to, examining their operations, their books, and balance sheets and see ing to the carrying out of the law and regulations (art. 2).

As we see, in its main intentions, the law did not merely open a credit for the rural population, but as the institution was new to the country, it contemplated the means most favourable for its extension, as we shall see

in our examination of it.

This is why the Agricultural Credit Department unites with its charac ter of a financial institution that of a centre of encouragement and propaganda for these intermediary institutions between the farmer and the Bank the rural banks, by promoting their foundation and their development with moral and financial assistance, and organizing their regular work by means of periodical inspections.

The business of the Agricultural Credit Department as a financia

establishment consists in:

(a) discounting the bills of members of the adhering banks and such a

the latter have endorsed.

(b) opening to the said banks, the liability of which is limited, credit not in excess of twice their declared liability on terms and under conditions an guarantees it shall judge suitable. Such loans can only be granted to bank doing credit business with their members.

(c) granting loans, to the utmost amount and on the terms for repay ment and other conditions it shall judge suitable, to rural banks, for busines

operations of collective character, with or without security,

(d) lending on mortgage on suburban or rural land to be paid of i instalments. The period may not be for more than ten years, and the amoun may not be more than 2,000 peses in the case of any one member of a rule hank nor the interest more than 6% per ann. Like the short term credi these loans will be granted through the medium of the rural banks.

The amount of the loan must be exclusively used for agricultural pr duction (art. 3). We see therefore, that not only will the agriculturn credit department, help the rural banks to grant the short term agricu tunal credit of which the agricultural labourer is in need but also with certain limits will encourage long term credit for improvements an works required on the farms. The department reserves to itself the right of exacting special guarantees for its operations.

When the banks ask to have the bills signed by their members discounted by must send the Bank of the Republic a list of their debtors, with precise aformation as to their liabilities.

When the rural banks apply for advances they must inform the Bank, st, of the amount, the form, the security and the period of credit asked or, and, its purpose; 3rd, their situation at the date of application, giving 180 a detailed list of their bills in case and of the subscribers of the new 181 bares, indicating the amount paid up by each member.

The interest the rural banks pay to the Bank must not exceed 4 ½ % at ann., for loans not exceeding the amount of 500,000 pesos, that of is initial capital.

The management of the Bank of the Republic shall fix the rate of interst for sums in excess of this amount.

4. Rural Banks. — The law of January 18th., 1912 laid down rules for the foundation and working of the rural banks desirous of receiving credit n terms of favour from the special Department of the Bank of the Republic.

The nature of these associations will be purely professional, that is usay, they will be formed by farmers, livestock improvers, and other permiss directly concerned in rural production.

The object of the banks will be: Ist. to facilitate, to guarantee, a proportion and to distribute credit to their members; 2nd. to facilitate a preations for production, transformation, preservation or sale of agricultural produce, exclusively derived from their members' farms; 3rd. to excute rural works of collective character. The realisation of commercial profits is excluded from the programme of the rural banks civil personality will be given to them free of charge, through the medium of the largicultural Credit Department, in accordance with information supplied by it. The civil personality thus obtained is necessary in order that they may enjoy the advantages granted by the law on agricultural credit personsidered.

The banks are considered as commercial societies, for as regards being book keeping they are subject to the provisions of the commercial bode.

As regards their members' liability, the banks may be constituted as mulimited liability co-operative societies; (2) limited liability co-operative societies; (3) co-operative societies bound to pay unlimited calls, but is to say societies in which the members are only obliged to furnish be society with the amounts required by it in order to pay its creditors.

Each bank will have a special district which will be established in its the same time as the place of its head quarters (art. 4).

The law provides that, for their legal constitution and working, the banks must have at least ten members; they must, besides, accomplish the following formalities:

(a) their rules, with the complete list of their administrators, their mangers and members, indicating the number of the latter, their profession and residence, as well as the amounts subscribed by them, must be deposited, before any operation is conducted, in triplicate, at the office of the local magistrate of the juridical division in which the banks have their heat quarters.

(b) in the first fortnight of February of each year, the manager or a administrator of the rural bank must deposit at the office of the local mag istrate of the division, three copies of a summary statement of the expenditure of the society, of its resources and the operations conducted by it during the year, with the list of its members at the same date.

The capital of the rural banks is composed of shares subscribed by the members (art, 7). They cannot transfer their shares without the consent of the society. The banks further, cannot begin working before they have realised the fourth part of their subscribed capital.

The administration of each bank is entrusted to a managing commision, composed of a President, Vice President and at least three members

elected at the general meeting of members. These administrators canonly obtain credit on giving security.

The resources of the rural banks will consist:

1st. in members' contributions, the amount of which may vary with the conditions of each region or the decisions taken at the moment of establishing the shares:

2nd insavings deposits or deposits in current account, at sight or for first periods, made by members or non members within the maximum limit of 500 pesos per depositor;

3rd, in bills in case discounted to the Bank of the Republic duly endorsed;

4th. in special loans and credits granted by the special Agricultura Credit Department (art. 11).

The banks will be bound to deposit their surplus amounts in the Ball of the Republic and will receive interest at the rate that establishment pay on deposits in current account.

With regard to the working of the banks, the law lays it down that the can only grant loans to members and exclusively for purposes of production transformation, preservation and sale of agricultural produce.

The limit of the loans will be 1,000 pesos per member; their term may be for a year and may even be extended. Up to 200 pesos loans may be made without security, with the approval of the managing commission the security required in the case of larger amounts will be established. Wit regard to agricultural produce given in pledge, the law lays down that the contract when passed with tenant farmers, metayers or colonists is only

valid with the consent of the landowner.

The rural banks are authorized to obtain loans or special credits of collective character from the Agricultural Credit Department for the purchase, construction, or installation of buildings, workshops and transport material, purchase and utilisation of machinery and implements require

for agricultural operations and for livestock improvement carried on collectively. (art. 14).

If the paid up capital of a limited liability bank is reduced by half through losses, the society must immediately go into liquidation, in accordance with the commercial laws.

Art. 17 provides that the hanks constituted in accordance with the law thall be exempted from taxation on their business operations, ou those of their members with the society and the agricultural credit department, and on the landed estate, buildings, installations, factories, etc. the banks possss as collective bodies in conection with the agricultural production of

They must place aside for the constitution of a reserve fund 50 % of the realisable profits shown on their halance sheet; the remaining 50 % shall serve to reinforce the reserve fund or shall be devoted to works of colactive interest. When the reserve fund amounts to 50 % of the subscribed and fully paid up capital, all further profits shall by used for works of public interest. In no case may dividends be paid.

Finally the law provides these societies with the means of discharging other functions of economic and rural character, besides the provision of cooperative credit, in behalf of agriculture. Thus the law provides that the rural banks, it they belong to the class of agricultural syndicates, may undertake the study and defence of the agricultural interests of their members; they may in the same way promote and encourage agricultural experiments, diffuse agricultural instruction, favour rural transactions, provide arbitrators and experts, encourage, found and administer societies for production and sale etc.

These two laws, mutually completing each other, constitute the first step taken hy the Republic of Uruguay on the road towards the co-operstive system. In consideration of the most urgent needs of the moment, which consist in procuring money for the farmer at small cost, the commeasurement has been made with co-operative credit societies, giving them athesame time power to perform their offices of collective character in the held of production and sale of agricultural produce.

Association, which has rendered such great service to the old world, has been called in to fulfil a mission of great importance also in the new. It will certainly contribute in Uruguay to that agricultural transformation

on which the attention of the Government is now centred.

# Part IV: Miscellaneous

### GERMANY.

# HOME COLONIZATION IN NORTHERN GERMANY.

#### PART II.

FORMATION OF "RENTENGÜTER" THROUGH THE INTERVENTION
OF THE PRUSSIAN GENERAL COMMISSIONS (Continued).

#### § 3. COLONISATION ENTERPRISE.

A. - Undertakings of Private Individuals and Private Societies.

The great importance of the system dealt with in the preceding parapaph consists in the fact that, thanks to their decisive influence on home monisation, the General Commission sprevent the neglect of anything mesary for the foundation of a living community, but, at the same time, may be calculated from the start that, as the values are established in invance, when the work of colonisation has been completed, the expendbur incurred will be found almost exactly to balance the funds disposed of. Yet there is a weak point in the system, for the present legal organiztion demands for home colonisation, not merely the action of general bumissions, but also that of a real organizer to take the initiative in the abdivision of the farms and bear the risks of the operation. According to he idea inspiring the 1891 law, it is the proprietors on whom these duties reincumbent. But they call for capacity and experience so exceptional the various departments connected with the work of home colonisation, at the number of persons answering these requirements can be but ery limited.

It is true that special commissioners who were particularly capable endeavoured with success to advise or even to substitute landowners

disposed to divide their farms, but not possessing the qualities necessary to qualify them for the task. And, generally, it is the want of organizes provided with the necessary qualities that has long been an obstacle in the way of home colonisation. For this reason, lately, there have been founded special societies, constituted by the State, by public autonomous institutions, agricultural associations and private individuals that have attempted to remedy the defect.

to remedy the detect.

In early days, among the land holders, men who made it their profession to divide farms (Guterparzellanten) also occupied themselves with home colonisation. In certain places, and above all, in Pomerania, they had already done an extensive business, before the laws of 1890 and 1891 on Rentengut. Thus, one individual, in the district of Kolberg-Körlin alone, between 1878 and 1891, subdivided II large landed estates and a large farm of a total area of 7,500 hectares, dividing them into 15 Resignier and 239 smaller holdings.

After the law of 1801 these Guterparzellanten could have recourse to the credit of the Rentenbanken for the holdings founded by them and profit by the exemption from stamp and registration duty allowed for the operations of the General Commissions. Several of them, by their industry, attained satisfactory results, but others founded in this way communal bodies so badly provided, that their work became at last a real public danger. At first, the organisers of colonies did not seek the intervention of the General Commissions until they had terminated the division of the farms, so as to obtain a grant of credit from the Rentenbanken. Afterwards, the Commissions claimed to exercise from the start a predominant influence of the action taken in regard to economic and social requirements, on the selection of the colonists, on the price of sale, and on the gains realised by the Guterparzellanten.

Then a large number of the latter ceased to ask for their assistance. The law of August 10th., 1904 on the constitution of new holdings in the provinces of East Prussia, West Prussia, Brandenburg, Pomerania, Posen Silesia, Saxony and Westphalia, which laid down strict conditions for the regulation of their position in public law and their general economic character, then caused a marked decrease in the number of landed estates subdivided by private individuals. Since then, the action of these latter has be almost exclusively limited to the subdivision of large landed propertic of smaller area and large peasant holdings, in each case situated in communes already farmed. In these cases, in fact, the regulation of the position in public law is simpler, and the profits of those engaged in this class of operations is therefore less hazardous.

Some private societies also have chosen for the object of their activit the subdivision of landed estates. Amongst these only the Berlin Lambank, founded in 1885, has provided on a large scale for the formation Rentenguier. It has now 20,000,000 marks in share capital and 20,000,000

the principal, or the payment of interest. Generally, it makes considerable conomic improvements on its farms, and sells them again without subdision or after cutting off some portions. Up to the end of 1911 it had ought altogether 370 holdings of an area in round numbers of 240,000 exteres. It has sold to 6,131 purchasers 223,800 hectares of its own land at 19,400 hectares for the account of third parties. Of this total, 55,477 exteres has been utilised for home colonisation. Ex novo 5,244 holdings are formed of an area of 44,207 hectares; then 11,270 hectares were added 12,356 already existing holdings, thus raising them to a condition of ecomic independence.

In this colonisation work certain variations may be remarked due to the cition occupied by the Landbank in regard to the General Commissions, aits early years, this Bank was actively concerned in the foundation of landinguiter. Afterwards, between 1900 and 1901 it very considerably mited its action in the field of home colonisation, because the General bumissions made difficulties with regard to the transfer to the Rentenbank the rents due. It was only possible to effect this transfer in the case of m of the 263 holdings constituted between 1896 and 1897, for, in the case the others, the purchase price was considered to be too high. Besides. he Landbank considered that in the long run it would not be able to meet the muirements of the General Commissions in regard to the regulations of he relations in public law. At the beginning of 1903, it was able, with the mport of the Frankfurter Verfahren, to come to an understanding with the meral Commissions in regard to their common action. Then only it man to occupy itself somewhat actively with the constitution of Renten-Mr. For the purpose, it founded special branches in various provinces. the agreement was facilitated by the fact that, as a result of losses on varone occasions, the Landbank was able to calculate that, in any colonisation mdertaking, a part of the success depends on a definite limitation of the bjects to be attained and the means to be employed for the purpose. As usult of this understanding, it had to consent to a condition, in accordance with which, as remuneration for its work, it no longer receives the total uplus from the sale of the lots, but only a definite colonisation tax (Beuddungsgebühr). Also the profits it derives from its colonisation work are nt very high, they are far below what it gains in other branches of commercial activity. The province of Pomerania is the territory to which its plonising work is chiefly extended, but it has also formed a very large numof agricultural holdings in the eastern provinces of Prussia, especially in East Prussia.

Recently the Government Privy Councillor of Agricultural Economics, Neutze, formerly member of the General Commission of Frankfort on Oder, builded a "German Society for Home Colonisation in Berlin" (Deutsche Gewikkalf für innere Kolonisation in Berlin) which has already undertaken its subdivision of various landed estates.

We must now speak briefly of the Polish institutions engaged in sub-

isation. As the Commission for Colonisation in Posen and West Press is establishing German peasants and labourers in those provinces, a large number of Polish Colonisation companies encourage the settlement of Polis in these and the adjacent provinces. The oldest institution of the kind is the Bank ziemski (Rural Bank) at Posen, which is a society limited by shares, which had at its start in 1886 a capital of 50,000 marks. This has now been increased to 4,000,000 marks. It has founded two other societies in the same purpose. It was very active, especially between 1882 and 1887. for a large number of small farms constituted by it and by societies of the same character were then transferred, through the medium of the Bromberg General Commission to the Rentenbank. There were more than 2,000 Pb. lish peasants installed on these farms by the Bromberg General Commiss. ion in the seven years following the promulgation of the law on Rentengtion However, the economic conditions of the colonies thus formed have been little encouraging. In fact, in view of the small means at the dispose of most of the Poles who desired to settle, the holdings were given too small an area. Further, in assisting the work of the Polish undertakings the Commission was acting contrary to the intentions of the Colonisation Commission the object of which was to increase the German population in these parts For these reasons, after 1895, the Bromberg General Commission only intervened on the single condition that larger holdings should be formed and that in their distribution Germans should also be considered. Besids. it became more exacting in regard to the regulation of the position in public law. Consequently, its co-operation with the Polish colonisation banks gradually ceased. The Bank ziemski has, in consequence, mon especially devoted itself to trade in land and mortgage operations, and, or occasion, it has not hesitated to transfer to other proprietors parcels the situation of which was little satisfactory.

In addition to it, in 1894 and 1896, two other large societies for subdivision of land began to work in the Province of Posen: the Spolka rolm-kow parcelacyna and the Bank parcelacyny. Whilst the Bank ziemski was principally concerned with the subdivision of land belonging to the nobility (Rittergüter) and founded entire colonisation communities, these new societies devoted themselves principally to a more simple and lucrative work that of the subdivision and grant of lots to neighbouring land holders (Anliegerparzellierung). They have subdivided and reduced the size of holdings of average area, and small holdings in order to transfer the parcels to small farmers already installed on the neighbouring farms. In view of the large number and scattered position of the very small Polish farms, the work has been very easy. It allows of the transformation of a large number of small holdings into important farms by means of a further purchase of land.

This subdivision requires a period of time of more or less length.

This subdivision requires a period of time of more or less length, capital for purchase of land and credit for the portion of the price not paid up or for second mortgages. The two societies derive the funds required for these operations, principally from the amounts deposited with them.

iniston co-operative societies. They also chiefly concern themselves with inister parcellierung, which is most advantageous from the financial cant of view, for it is not affected by the strict rules laid down by the law i 1804 on the foundation of farms. Their action finds support also in the mellently organized Polish popular savings deposit system. The Polish casants and labourers deposit very considerable sums with them, nor is he effectual support of the Polish People's Banks tacking, as the societies re in constant relation with them. Even with the small means at their isposal, they sometimes attain surprising results. However, their system intiaulitiess. Indeed, their advance may be hindered by a great obstacle, heincrease of the value of the soil.

## B. — Societies of Public Utility undertaking to form Holdings for Independent Colonists.

Although the action of the general commissions has contributed to not private colonisation on the right road, it has given proof of its insuficiency. So, finally, special societies of public utility have been formed for he purpose of home colonisation with no intention of seeking profits, but if serving the interests of the community. In a few years these societies are assumed continually greater importance and have given the colonistion system a new and wider basis. And as their organization improves in their commercial and technical experience increases, gradually they are rendering the assistance of the general commissions superfluous, even fonly partly so.

An examination, however rapid, of the duties performed by these pubisocieties shows at once that their intervention in the work of home comisation represents an effective progress.

In the first steps that have to be made in starting a colonisation undersking an important quality of their organization makes itself apparent. They have not to wait until a proposal is made to them for the subdivision if a farm, but they can buy land for their own account, as soon as they see hat its colonisation is desirable or advantageous. They are therefore in much better position than the general commissions to conduct the business t home colonisation in a methodical manner in districts in which too large seas are occupied by large estates. Further, as they devote themselves ermanently and exclusively to the work of home colonisation, they soon btain far greater practical skill and certainty in the calculation of the value the farms on which it is intended to establish colonies than the Government uthorities can, as home colonisation forms, only a part of the duty of he latter. Usually, the employees of the General Commissions have no brough knowledge of the valuation of farms while it is just that which hould serve as a basis for establishing the fair price of a holding. Besides, appears from numerous examples, this cannot always be satisfactorily emedied by recourse to agricultural experts, chosen by the district authories. Now a number made under these disadvantageous conditions

The society has another advantage, also of importance, in being able to purchase the necessary land for its own account. This means, from the first, the elimination of all intermediaries, who often impede the work, whe ther they be the former landowners, or private speculators more aurious about their own profits than the common interest. It is natural that all the improvements needed for the future prosperity of the colonists may be realised far more easily if a society of public utility deals with the matter, whether alone or together with the General Commission. The principal object of such as ociety is the permanent prosperity of the colonies it founds, whilst private in dividuals, who would have to occupy themselves with most of the details of the organization of these colonies and even bear at least part of the cost, in the first place have not the necessary competence, and then, principally, consider their own advantage.

Again societies seem best suited to settle the plan of subdivision and to accomplish the other acts preparatory to the sale, which are complicated by questions of surveying and administrative technique in the first place and then, in the particular instance, by those of general agricultural interest. They may, in fact, dispose of a body of competent employees, well acquainted with the objects and requirements of home colonisation, whilst private persons are little experienced in such complex problems, although in this point they may have the assistance of the General Commission. And it just in the establishment of the plan of subdivision and in the settlement of the price of the lots that errors leading to serious consequences may be committed. Now the General Commissions leave the colonisation societies full liberty in this respect. They only reserve their right to approve.

Also with regard to the recruiting of colonists and the passing of contracts with them, a society, employing skilled agents for the work of sale is in a position of superiority as regards private organizers of colonisation. The fact that the temporary working expenses to be supported between the date of purchase and that of the division of the land may decrease considerably if the sale of the lots is rapidly completed has a great influence on the success of a colony.

The societies of public utility may also render important services in the construction of buildings. They have special employees for the preparation of the plans and the organization and supervision of the work And they may also exercice an influence for good as regards the improvement of the systems employed in rural construction.

There is one point it is well to consider in which the superiority of the colonisation societies over private organizers appears, that is in relation to finance. Even persons who are exceptionally fitted for the organization of colonisation, find it a cause of serious difficulty that they have not all the experience necessary. But what such persons above all want in most cases are funds to meet the requirements peculiar to undertakings of the character, for, as a rule, it is their great need of money which drives them to undertake the subdivision of their land.

It is not only on account of the technical difficulties of home colorisation on which we have dwelt, but especially on account of the need of work g capital that these colonisation societies have been founded. It is true the inichemental granted since 1900 facilitates the work of colonisation, but, 1 most cases, even since its application was extended in 1910, it is still far so than is needed; it cannot suffice for the extinction of debts and charges or to cover all the other considerable expenses involved in a work of this horacter. The same holds with regard to the State Ueberkredit; it coniderably facilitates the purchase of land, but it is insufficient to meet these eds.

From all we have said it may be concluded that it is very important for clorisation societies to have a solid financial basis and derive their capital from the contributions of their members or from State subventions, as has seen confirmed by the first practical experiments of societies, of this

haracter.

Opinions were long divided in regard to the form most suitable for planisation societies. This was one of the points most fully discussed at the Conference held on June 14th. and 15th., 1909, assembled to discuss the organization of home colonisation. The Minister of Agriculture, von Amim Criewen presided, and the Conference was attended by a large number of deputies of the Landtag, representatives of various public offices and monisation societies and the most conspicuous partisans of home colonisation. All were fairly agreed, it is true, in recognising that it was not very advisable to entrust all the work of home colonisation in the other provinces to organizations dependent solely on the State, such as the Commission for the Colonisation of West Prussia and Posen. This Commission has indeed done excellent work from the technical point of view and founded colonies in a way that might serve as an example, but it entails too great surfices for the State.

Besides, a Government organization thus constituted has not generally that freedom in the conduct of business so necessary in order to profit by every good opportunity and obtain the greatest possible advantages with the most limited resources. Further, it may give rise to another difficulty. It is a representative of the Department of Finance said at the Conference, when the State undertakes a work, an Allgemeiner Raubzug, a general greetiness, usually declares itself; sellers, colonists, commissioners, and institutions in public law, all advance much larger claims than if they had to do with a private contractor. It is because these defects were recognised as inherent in colonisation carried out by the Government authorities, that, since 1909, greater freedom of action has been accorded to the Presidutof the Colonisation Commission of West Prussia and Posen.

In order to be sure that the means placed at the disposal of home comisation by the State are employed in the most useful manner possible, has seemed consequently preferable to choose a form of greater freedom, which, together with the principle of public utility, sufficient account will so be taken of the importance of the economic results to be obtained. The discipal point to be considered was, whether a society in public law or a city in private law would be best able to satisfy these two requirements the same time.

Those in favour of the form of a society in public law, amongst whom Herr Kapp of Königsberg, General Manager of the East Prussian Land. schaft was specially prominent, declared that the object aimed at by the State in its work of home colonisation would only be fully attained when in every province, the mission was entrusted to autonomous administrative bodies, of general agricultural competence, that is to say the provincial administrations, the consortiums of communes formed by districts and the Landschaft. A society in public law, formed by such institutions, must with the intervention of the Chambers of Agriculture and the large central agricultural federations, occupy itself with colonisation as one of the very purposes of autonomous rural administration, As sacrifices would have to be made for an object of such great social and national importance the funds should be furnished without interest by the autonomous instit. utions and the State. The surplus profits must be used for social agricul. tural institutes. While contributing largely to the formation of the necess. ary working capital, the State must not in any way take part in the administration of the undertaking, but only exercise its general right of snpervision over it.

However, this proposal was not accepted by the Government. Similarly, the majority at the Colonisation Conference showed themselves rather inclined to accept the form of a society in private law, which, in fact, had meanwhile been introduced in the foundation of the Agricultural Society of East Prussia (Ostpreussische Landgesellschaft). It was alleged, that like a state institution, a Society in public law would be trammelled in its action.

Besides, many were afraid that it might be prejudicial to the progress of home colonisation to leave the work in this way at the mercy of the large land holders. Most of them are only in favour of a system of colorisation not carried beyond certain limits, for in a very extensive division of the landed estates they see a menace to their economic, social and political position, and a reduction of the number of offices the rich owners of large landed estates are called on to fill as promoters of agriculture and representatives of the farmers. According to their view, the number of small holdings of average area should be increased by, as far as possible, convering to the purpose remote farms and parts of farms in a disadvantageous position, for the separation of such portions of farms would not injure, but on the contrary benefit, the rest of the farm from which they are detached. By such a system they would avoid the continual increase in the value of land and the variability of the position of the farms, which are the frequent and natural consequence of the continual subdivision of all landed estates. However, to take the opinion of those who know the situation best, if these criteria were accepted, it would be only exceptionally that results could be obtained in the field of home colonisation.

While pursuing public utility as an end in home colonisation, it is therefore necessary to proceed in the matter in accordance with commercial principles. It is also desired to prevent hostile groups exerting a hutful influence and to render realisable the co-operation of all partisans of colon-

ution. This has caused the foundation of societies of home colonisation with limited liability. In some provinces in which organizations with less sources and constituted less strictly may suffice; it has been considered mough to found simple co-operative societies.

First of all, the State, the Province and the administrative districts lave undertaken the foundation of these societies. In Brandenburg and fanover many towns even have concerned themselves in the matter. But heir form of private societies allows of private individuals, societies and elevations of private character associating in the work, so that all the forces lisposed to act in the domain of home colonisation may be invited to take part. The predominant power of the State and that of the other institutions of public law subordinate to it are there to provide that no private interest exert an injurious influence, and on the other hand this is also provided against by the limitation of the dividends, as they can not by the rules

emore than 4% or 5%. As it is still necessary for the societies to have some rout, however small, and as they are independent commercially and finan-

ially, they are managed on really commercial principles and the means at heir disposal are employed by them as best may answer their object.

These limited liability societies have as executive authorities their members' meetings, a council of supervision and their managers. The atter are anthorized to take decisions on their own initiative in most are. By the rules they are in fact given very ample powers so that they may not be impeded in the execution of their task by finding themselves

n too subordinate a position. The council of supervision must only be sterred to on serious occasions. Thus it is for that council in each case to approve the plan for the utilisation of the landed estates to be divided, and to decide on the general lines in accordance with which the work must be carried ont.

The first president (Ober präsident) of the province represents the State

m the Board of Supervision. In accordance with the general rules for limtel liability societies, the influence of the State is in proportion to its share not the capital. This influence is, however, very much limited by the rules, o as to prevent the State, by means of a large number of votes controllng the decisions of the council of supervision or the members' meeting. In this way it happens that not only the general interests of the State, but the local interests are given fair consideration and protection. The financial assistance the State grants these societies of public utility

is colonization is quite considerable. Besides a permanent contribution in their share capital, generally equal to the amount paid up by all the other members together and now amounting to 10,000,000 marks, the solicities receive in East Prussia, Brandenburg and Pomerania considerable initial subventions in proportion to their activity, under the form of matributions to the Ausgleichsfonds and supplementary payments for areas polonised and new holdings formed. The Ausgleichsfonds is a special reversionate to be distinguished from the general reserve fund. It is intended, we used in accordance with the advice of the council of supervision, to neet eventual deficits in connection with colonisation due to the institu-

tions and works of collective interest in public law, (communes, benevolent institutions, ecclesiastical and scholastic organizations, road construction trenchin etc.) entailing greater expenditure than was foreseen at the date of purchasing. Amounts cannot be withdrawn from this fund under the final regulation of the accounts of the various colonisation under takings. The annual contribution of the State to this fund corresponds, a a general rule, with the amount contributed by the society itself, in conformity with its rules, out of its profits. In 1911, the "Society for the Colonisation of East Prussia" (Ostpreussische Landgesellschaft) receive 65,000 marks. The Society for the Colonisation of Pomerania (Pommersch Ansiedelungsgesellschaft) 7,000 mks.

The supplementary contributions paid by the State for areas colonise and holdings formed also go to increase the Ausgleichstonds. amount to 10 marks per hectare colonised; 800 marks per holding a less than 11/2 hectare; 600 marks per holding between 11/2 hectare and 11 hectares in area; 400 mks per holding of larger raea. But these contrib utions must not be made use of in accordance with a purely mechanical system. They must only be used in case of real need to make up the difference in expenditure between some colonisation undertakings and others. There are some in which the purchase of the farms is made under advantageous conditions, the temporary administration is conducted in a really enlightened fashion, the subdivision is rapid, the lots easily find our. chasers and there is less expenditure on the institutions of public character: these are undertakings in which everything is carried on under quite On the contrary, there are others, in specially advantageous conditions. which, in this respect, the results are far less satisfactory. Hence the difference to be made up. In 1911, the amount of these funds not to be repaid furnished to the three colonisation societies for East Prussia, Pomerania and Brandenburg was 545,099 mks., of which 339,809 mks. were for East Prussia, 161,660 mks. for Pomerania and 43,630 for the Govern ment district (Regierungsbezirk) of Frankfort on Oder.

We must further add to this the Zwischenkredit from the Rentenbunken and the Ueberkredit from the State which, since 1911, has only been granted to societies for colonisation of public utility, whilst at first it was also granted to private colonisation undertakings, such as those of the Landbank. At the end of 1911, there was an amount of 4.745,000 mks. to be administered by the Seehandlung for the realisation of the same object. The 'Zwischenkreld granted by the Rentenbanken amounted at the end of 1911 to 12,800,000 mks.

Finally, we must also mention the fact that the State again invest in favour of home colonisation the dividends it receives from the net income of the colonisation societies. In investing these amount, and will ising them it conforms to the wishes of the societies themselvess.

.\*.

After having thus shown the essential elements constituting the colonisation societies of public utility we shall now sketch in outline their total development.

The first society of this character, was founded entirely by private mitiative in 1898 under the name of the German Colonisation Society Deutsche Ansiedelungsgesellschaft). Its founders have the merit of having inst realised the idea of colonisation societies of public utilty. Among hese founders, were Herr Thiel, Manager in the Department of Agriculture. who deserves high praise for his work in behalf of the German agricultural resents and labourers; Baron Wangenheim, the indefatigable partisan of home colonisation; Professor Sering, representing agricultural politics at the University of Berlin; and, finally, Herr Metz, who was at the time president of the Frankfort on Oder General Commission. For the field in which to recise its action, this society chose the province of Pomerania, which; after the provinces of West Prussia and Posen is the most important in Prassia for the purposes of home colonisation. It proposed in the first place to oppose the subdivision of the soil for the realisation of profits by means of colonisation methodically carried out for the general adventage. However, after two years' work productive of good results. it was forced to go into liquidation for want of funds. Its capital was only 25,000 marks, and, naturally, so small an amount must be insuffrient for the heavy task of founding self supporting rural communes. Indeed, the founders had counted on the State supporting their efforts by the grant of State lands and large credits. But their hopes were realised in a very insuffcient degree. Still, during the short period in which it was able to work, it divided 3,750 hectares and formed 513 farms, 35 of them for labourers.

In 1903, as a successor to it, the Pomeranian Chamber of Agriculture lemded the Society for the Colonisation of Pomerania, a registered limit liability co-operative society (Pommersche Ansiedelungsgesellschaft e. G. m.b.H.). It began to work with a capital of 2,200 marks, and, as a further guarantee, to enable it to apply for credit, it also engaged to pay a sum ten times greater than this. Credit was specially granted to it by the Pomeranian Agricultural Society Bank (Pommersche Landesgenossenschaftskasse). In 1905 the value of the shares was raised from 100 marks to 1,000 mks.

There adhered to it, besides, as members, the State, the Province, the Chamber of Agriculture, a large number of the rural districts and gradually also a large number of persons of the various professions. This society received at first very large assistance from the Government, which did not limit itself only to taking shares in the society, but also accorded it \*Ueberheredit\*\* and subventions out of its fund of two millions. At first, as its capital was not very large, it worked principally in accordance with proposals made by the landowners. Later on, it bought landed estates for colonisation on its own account and in accordance with its own principles it conducted its work of colonisation in agreement with the Frankfort on Oder General Commission.

The number of its members was 241 at the end of 1910. They had altogether 725 shares of 1,000 marks each and had assumed liability for a corresponding amount. The reserve fund accumulated at this date was 490,000 mks. It had therefore already considerable means at its disposal

These means were however still far too small for the work to be accomplished the rather as a large part of the landed estates had been mortgaged for the amount of the balance of the purchase price (Resthypothek) and, in terms of the law on Rentengüter, repayment could not be claimed for ten years. It is only with difficulty the farms can be transferred or given as security For this reason, as we shall see hereafter, the society, like the East Prussia Colonisation Society, at the end of 1910 transformed itself into a Society with a much larger capital. While it lasted, from 1903 to 1910, the Society for the Colonisation of Pomerania provided altogether for the colonisation of 60 landed estates covering about 32,000 hectares and formed 1,278 farms Of this number, 25 are holdings of more than 100 hectares, 123 of between 25 and 100 hectares, 694 of between 10 and 25 hectares, 167 of between 11/4 and 10 ha., 70 of between 5 and 7 1/2 hectares, 57 of between 2 1/2 and 5 hectares and 142 of less than 2 1/2 hectares. Small peasants' holdings therefore, of between 5 and 25 hectares, form about two thirds of the total number. Most of the colonists, that is 1,006, are of the province itself If we take into account colonisation undertakings recently terminated the number of the Rentengüler founded by the society amounts to 1,306 and to this figure we must add 125 founded by means of the addition of parcels to holdings already existing (Zukaufsrentengater).

In order to realise home colonisation in the Province of East Prussia the East Prussia Colonisation Society, Ltd, (Ostpreussische Landgesellschaft, m. b. H.) was founded at Königsberg in 1905. Its founders were the State, the Agricultural Bank (Landbank) of Berlin, limited by shares; and the East Prussia Provincial Society Bank (Ospreussische Provinzialgenossenschafts kasse) of Königsberg, working as a clearing house for the agricultural co-operative societies for purchase and sale in the province. They contributed 600,000 mks. each. The agricultural bank and the provincial society bank of the co-operative societies had a right to a dividend not to exceed 5%, whilst the State renounced all claim to any interest on its capital. The agricultural bank could further ask that not less than 2,500 hectares of land should be given to it for colonisation in return for payment to be established in advance, but not to exceed 75 % of the total purchases made by the society. In return it renounced all right to make purchases directly for its own account in the province and placed its practical experience and its assistance at the disposal of the society. However, this co-operation of a private society formed with a view to profit with the colonisation society which is one of public utility, occasioned no little ill humour in agricultural circles in the province. And in 1903 the agricultural bank withdrew from the society.

Shortly after, also with a view to increasing the amount of capital provision was made for the complete reconstitution of the Landgesellschaft with regard to which already there had been pronounced differences of opinion in the circles of the province interested in home colonisation. These differences were caused first of all by the colonisation proposals of the East Prussia Landschaft. In the proposal made by it to the Government of January 11th., 1908, with regard to colonisation and the establishment of

gricultural labourers, the Landschaft had first of all prepared a plan in accordance with which home colonisation in East Prussia should be directly metricken by it, with the help of an affiliated society receiving financial ssistance from the State. Then, as the State did not authorize this, in famiary, 1909, it made a report on homec olonisation in East Prussia, in which it recommended the foundation of a society in public law. But. thether on account of reasons of the general character we have already even or because a limited liability society in private law would have greater willty in continuing its current business as well as a possibility of a larger number of members, the State gave it the latter form. Thus there partiinated in the formation of the new society, the State, with a capital of 3 1/4 million mks, the province, with 2 million, the Chamber of Agriculture, the Fast Prussia Provincial Society Bank at Königsberg, the Wormditt Central tericultural Bank and the Central Agricultural Credit Bank of Germany, with headquarters at Berlin (Landw. Zentral-Darlehenskasse), each to the atent of 150,000 marks and all the agricultural districts of the province ach to that of 30,000 mks., or altogether 1,050,000 marks. Besides, a nivate member contributes an amount of 1,000 marks, so that the capital the Society is now 7,151,000 marks. This capital will be completely paid m by means of instalments on July 1st., 1914. The Landschaft, which had been invited to adhere, abstained, as its proposal to give the society he form of a society in public law had not been accepted, and also because t did not completely approve of the objects of the Landgesellschaft. In act, the latter has not only to provide for the increase of the holdings of the agricultural labourers, but also, with the assistance of the agrialtural co-operative societies, it has to concern itself with the dismortsging of the holdings already formed. The Landschaft had already underaken this same work on its own account as far as medium sized and large inded estates were concerned, so it considered it unnecessary to extend in ther the field of action of the Landgesellschaft to the point of entrusting talso with this task. It is also of opinion that it is doubtful whether the association of co-operative societies in the work is advisable, for, according bits view, it would be little in agreement with their nature to guarantee ong term loans for dismortgaging; on the other hand, it considers that tis the special duty of the Landgesellschaft to provide for colonisation by abourers, whilst, according to the existing organization, this is a duty aimsted principally to the local districts and societies.

The composition of the board of supervision of the Landgesellschaft regulated so that a contribution of 150,000 marks to the capital of the week gives right to the appointment of a member, and every contribution 1900,000 marks gives right to, the appointment of two members. The ward is therefore composed of two representatives of the State, two of the rovince, two of the raral districts, one of the Chamber of Agriculture, one of the Provincial Society Bank and one of the Central Agricultural Credit had of Germany. Besides, it is provided that the majority of the members the board of supervision must have their residence and be landed pro-

printors in the province, and be occupied there or have been occupied then as farmers a state of the farmers and the state of the st Up to the end of 1912, that is to say in about seven years' existence the East Prussia Society of Colonisation had founded 1,194 holdings a altogether 16,803 hectares; 269 holdings of 3,711 hectares altogether being formed in the last year. Of this total 28% are labourers' and artisans' hi dings of less than 21/2 hectares. Then, 63% are peasants' holdings of between 2 1/2 and 25 hectares; 9 % are larger peasants', holdings and Resigner of over 25 hectares. Two fifths of the colonists come from the province itself and about 200 are Russians of German origin and now returned to Ger many. Sometimes the Landgesellschaft also undertakes to subdivide hold ings in communes already formed, so as to hinder subdivision on a ba system. In such case it habitually enters into partnership, with the run credit bank of the locality and the landowner. In virtue of this contract the subdivision is carried out by these three partners collectively. Un to the present there have been five cases of such subdivision of land of a total area of 397 hectares. Thus 17 new colonies have been formed and a already existing ones enlarged. With regard to renting landed estates by means of dismortgaging (Besitzfestigung) the Landgesellschaft has not as ve obtained any positive success, for it has not had the funds for second mort gages. The loans of the Provincial Subsidy Bank (Provincial hillshasse granted on mortgage as security, and passed under the form of provincia credit securities (Provinzialanleihenscheine) did not suffice to attain this end, for, as these securities were quoted at a fairly low rate, the cost of the credit was too high. In future, the State may perhaps provide the Society with the necessary funds in conformity with the law of June 26th., 1912 to the reinforcement of the German element in certain districts. By this la a sum of 100,000,000 marks was placed at the disposal of Government h the consolidation of landed property in certain parts of East Prussia, Po

merania, Silesia and Schleswig-Holstein. To show more clearly the financial conditions of a large colonisation society, we reproduce from the report of the East Prussia Agricultur Society for the year 1911-1912 and its balance sheet dated March 31st 1911, the details of greatest interest for us. At that date, of 7.151,00 marks of share capital, 5,053,750 marks had been paid up. In rou numbers the net profit for the year appeared as 330,000 marks. The reserve fund, on March 31st., 1912, was 83,000 marks, increased by 33,00 marks by means of payments made out of the net profits of the working year. In addition there were special subsidiary funds for a total 110,000 marks and a dividend reserve fund (Dividendenu uchlagelond of 26,000 marks raised to 45,000 marks. o From the amount of net profi 85,000 marks was also deducted and added to the Ausgleichsjonds with already on March 31st., 1912 amounted to 944,000 marks. The funds place at the disposal of the society under form of loans, consisted of 5,8990 marks in loans on land from the Königliche Sechandlung, 167,000 mar derived from the Zwischenkredit on State dividends, 583,000 marks deb in current account and 480,000 mortgage debts. The principal inve

ments were: in bills (above all Rentenbriefe issued by the Rentenbanken) of the amount of 2,341,000 marks; in mortgages for the amount of 836,000 nis; in amounts still due on purchase price of farms (Resthypothehen and Retrienten) for the amount of 3,221,000 marks; in purchase of holdings for hat of 5,247,000 marks; in loans for dismortgaging, 310,000 marks; in loans on mortgage, 180,000 marks; in advances in current account, 59,000 marks and in credit to the Provincial Society Bank of the Co-oprative Societies of East Prussia, 853,000 marks: The total amount hown on the balance sheet was 13,757,000 mks; the total business done in 1911-1912 was 101,000,000 mks, and the cash business amounted to 1200,000 mks.

In the same way as the Society of East Prussia, so also after discussions asting from 1908 to the end of 1910, the Society of Colonisation for Poperania was transformed into a new society called the Pomerania Commerciation Society (Pommerische Landgesellschaft). It began working on

lanuary Ist., IQII.

Its initial capital was 4,800,000 marks. The State contributed half, of 2,400,000 marks; the province, 2,000,000 marks; the society of colonkation for Pomerania, which still exists and the object of which is the sethement of labourers, 250,000 marks; and the Agricultural Society Bank of the Pomeranian Co-operative Societies, 150,000 marks. In the course of our most of the agricultural districts of Pomerania declared themselves bady to adhere. In consequence, the members' meeting of December 13th, 1911 decided on raising the capital of the society to 6,000,000 marks. The State raised its contribution to 3,000,000 marks; the 23 districts adhering to the society furnished 448,000 marks, and the Agricultural Society Bank of the Pomeranian Co-operative Societies increased its contribution by 152,000 mks. The Council of Supervision is composed of two representatws of the State, two of the Province, two of the Society of Colonisation for Pomerania, and one of the Agricultural Society Bank of the Pomeranian O-operative Societies. In the two years 1911 and 1912, the society bought 519 hectares at an average price of 1,036 marks.

A third large colonisation society was founded for the province of Branching at Frankfort on Oder, on June 28th., 1910, under the name of Own Lot." Colonisation Society (Landgesellschaft "Eigene Scholle"). This society owes its foundation above all to the Government President (Regionagepräsident) von Schwerin, who saw that, in view of the two circumstances, a small population and a large immigration, making their appearance equally in his district (Frankfort on Oder) and in other Eastern districts of Prussia, it was necessary to adopt the same measures to meet them. In spite of the indifference at, first shown among agricultural circles of the province in regard to home colonisation, after 22 preparatory meetings, asociety was founded with a capital of 3,594,000 marks. The State adhered from the start and subscribed 1,000,000 marks. In 1911, the capital of the Society amounted to 8,287,000 mks. Of this amount, 4,436,000 marks are not yet invested on June 30th., 1912: At that date, the society had at its disposal 4,435,000 marks lent it by the Sechandlung. The

capital is provided by the State and, in addition, by the Provincial Federation (Provincial Verband), a large number of districts and towns of the province, many corporations, banks and industrial societies, limited by shares, and also more than 200 private persons. The Board of Super vision, as in the case of the colonisation societies of East Prussia and vision, as in the case of the colonisation societies of East Prussia and vision, as in the case of representatives of the State, the Province, the Pomerania, is composed of representatives of the State, the Province, the districts, towns, and other members of the society. In the meetings even districts, towns, and other members of the society. In the meetings even of the same character, no member may dispose of more than a third of the total number of votes.

In the early years of the society (between July 1st., 1910 and Jun 10th., 1912) it bought 21 landed estates covering 8,958 3/4 hectares in orde to form peasant holdings. It has, besides, bought 118 1/2 hectares in orde to establish colonies of labourers on them. During the same period i settled 238 families on these new holdings. There were besides 86 families formerly occupying the position of Hausler, a sort of colonists renting: small holding and obliged to work on the landlords' farms, who now becam independent farmers on small farms of their own. Of these 138 real new farms, 98 were of an area of from 10 to 20 hectares, 29 were small peasant holdings of from 8 to 10 hectares, 18, artisans' holdings of from 1/4 he tare to 5 hectares, 113, labourers' holdings of from 1/8 hectare to 1 1/2 he tare in area. In the field of workmen's colonisation pure and simple th society undertook to found 14 colonies for industrial workmen and agri cultural and industrial workmen. The Landgesellschaft "Eigene Scholle also intends, with the help of the Federations of the Agricultural Co-oper ative Societies to occupy itself with the dismortgaging of peasants' holding without, however, having directly to furnish the amounts required for secon

There are other provincial colonisation societies, in Hanover, founde in 1907, in Schleswig-Holstein in 1909, and in Hesse-Nassau in 1911. The are less important, for, as the land is better divided in these provinces, it intervention of societies of this character is not indispensable. They may however, exert a very beneficial action by substituting the profession intermediaries, when the subdivision of landed estates becomes necessal through the bad economic situation of a farm, or when capital must be soul for improvements or alterations, as, for example, the increase of the liv stock, or again when the labour supply is inadequate, or additions mube made to the necessary farm buildings, or when special circumstances may the necessary for the landowners. These societies may also be useful while the peasant holdings already existing have to be given a more solid position by means of dismortgaging and when provision has to be made for colo isation by means of the installation of labourers on the farms.

The Schleswig Holstein colonisation society of public utility and the Hanover one of the same character are registered limited liability co-operative societies (Genosenschaften). The Hesse-Nassau colonisation society at Cassel, is a limited liability society (Gesellschaft). All three have members the State, the Province, a large number of agricultural districtions.

d other societies of public law, as also many individuals, private associaps of commercial character and benevolent societies. The agricultural operative societies above all support these colonisation societies very dently. The colonisation society of the province of Hesse Nassau even jefty owes its existence to the Federation of the Agricultural Co-operative ricties of Hesse.

Up to the present, very little has been done for home colonisation in the swince of Silesia, although the land there is very badly distributed. Only is year the limited liability society for the colonisation of Silesia (Schlesike Landgesellschaft m. b. H.) has been founded, to concern itself equally ith dismortgaging and the formation of new peasants' and labourers' holdigs. Its initial capital is 5 ½ million marks. It has as members the late, the Province, the Landschaft and three co-operative banks of Silesia. At present efforts are being made for the formation of a colonisation sixty of public utility also in the Province of Saxony. The Province is

riety of public utility also in the Province of Saxony. The Provincial sembly (*Provinziallandiag*) has already granted 2,000,000 marks for the propose, on the proposal of the first president of the province.

## The Foundation of Holdings for Agricultural Labourers in the Public Interest.

Colonisation by agricultural labourers has only assumed considerable mortance in Prussia in recent years. It has now become a special branch flome colonisation. The Colonisation Commission and the General Comissions were already previously disposed to found labourers' holdings when my were engaged in the settlement of peasants. But this form of labourers' homisation, can only be carried out to a limited extent. In general, in the we colonies there is no need to find constant occupation for a large number fural labourers, for the farms are, generally, of an area corresponding the working capacity of the landowner and his family. However, the efficiency of agricultural labourers is a phenomenon manifesting itself in the parts of the country and quite specially also in districts where there re large peasant holdings. And the difficulty has to be met even indemently of colonisation by means of peasants.

To encourage the colonisation of labourers, the Government has taken arious measures, the effects of which are already beginning to make thematous felt, although, in most of the provinces, the question has hardly en touched. First of all, in conformity with the resolutions of the Chamer of Deputies and the College of Rural Economics (Landes Ockonomic-lollegium), the minimum area of the Rentengut has been reduced to 12  $\frac{1}{2}$  to by Decree of Jannary 7th. 1907 (1). In this way, the General Commissions have been enabled to co-operate to a larger extent than previously the formation of labourers' colonies. In conformity with the Decree

<sup>(</sup>i) The decree also permits of the formation of colonies of industrial workmen, with a assistance of the Reviewbankon. Various special regulations have been established in anortion with this matter.

of November 16th., 1861, their intervention in the work of home colonation was only allowed when holdings of average and small area were to be formed, presenting conditions at least guaranteeing the owner living a his Rentengut the necessities of life. In accordance with the principle ruling at the start, the advantages of the law on Rentengater could only be granted to small holdings with a single house surrounded by a certain and of kitchen garden.

Exception was only made for holdings intended for artisans consider as indispensable for the life of the rural community it was intended to form

By Decree of August 10th, 1909, State subventions were granted in the various provinces for the formation of labourers' Rentenguler. The grant of these subventions was subject to certain rules, the object of which we to hinder their being used for other purposes than those of the general interest. Thus, they can only be granted through the medium of district and colonisation societies of public utility with a sphere of action limited a small district. Only such institutions can pass contracts with the colonist for the constitution of holdings. The registration of any real charge on holding in favour of an employer of agricultural labour is forbidden. I after the loans granted by the Rentenbank, a mortgage has to be registern for the balance of purchase price due (Resthypothek) it may only be regis tered to the credit of the district, the colonisation society or an institut of credit of public utility. The object of these provisions is that the lab ourer shall not, as a result of purchasing a holding, become economical, dependent on an agricultural employer and shall not in any way be bomi in respect to the offer of his labour. On the other hand it is not only permitted but also very much desired that the landed proprietors should aer themselves in favour of the establishment of labourers in the country In this case their co-operation may take various forms: they may grant suitable land at a low price, advance implements or building materials, offer to stand security with the districts or colonisation societies, or leaf the money required for Resthypotheken and Restrenten. Independently of the contract for the constitution of the colonising labourer's holding the agricultural employer may also make an additional contract with him to assure himself of his labour in return for special advantages, such as, in grazing, the use of meadows etc.

Further, it is required as specially necessary that the demand for labour shall be constant and not merely on the part of a single landholds. The area of the parcels must not be more than 1 ½ hectare. To assure the preservation and especially to prevent their sale to the large land holds in the neighbourhood, stipulation must be made for a right of repurchs by the colonisation society, transferable to the State on demand. The contributions granted by the Government on these conditions are 800 marks pholding in the provinces of East Prussia, Pomerania and Brandenburg and 500 marks in those of Hanover and Schleswig-Holstein, and, in addition, I marks per hectare. These contributions are assigned to the constitution of an Ausgleichsfonds. Each district and each colonisation society ms

we such a fund; it can only be used for labourers' colonisation; above all cover the expenses of the regulation of the position in public law.

For the provinces of West Pressia and Posen, which come within the partners of the Colonisation Commission, the Decree of September 10th., no lays down Similar conditions. The districts, colonisation societies in the communes, receive subventions out of the colonisation funds the amount of 1,000 marks per holding duly formed. Up to the end of 12, the Colonisation Commission had assigned 285,000 marks in prizes these two provinces, 273,000 marks in the province of Posen, and 12,000 that of West Prussia.

The State lands and forests must also derive some benefit from labourers' plonisation. As a rule, here also it is for the districts and local colonisation science to make provision.

If they do not, the forest administration may concern itself in the mater as authorized by the Minister of Agriculture on September 15th., 1909. It is ease the necessary funds are lent by the Rentenbanken as in the case fother colonisation work, or furnished to the buyers by the State, with try of a charge in the land registers, to be paid off in instalments.

In conformity with the provisions now in force in regard to labourers' blonisation, landholders who desire to establish labourers on their farms on heir own account receive no subvention from the State. Yet they are always able to obtain, by virtue of the Decree of January 8th., 1907, the assistance of the General Commissions and of the Rentenbanken, if their object is of to make a profit and if there is no doubt as to their good character and nancial position.

However, to obtain the State subvention they must apply to the district ouncil or the colonisation society authorized in the district. The practical sults of the decree of 1909, therefore, depend essentially on whether a sufcient number of colonisation societies of public utility have been formed whether the districts are disposed to undertake labourers' colonisation.

Up to the present, few districts have occupied themselves in the matter, it some have done excellent work. This is above all because most of the mers are not yet sufficiently prepared to understand the importance of easures of this character. In particular, on many sides, objections are ade against labourers' colonisation.' They say it requires sacrifices and intended to be built and benevolence to be exercised, without in any way king into account that the labourers will actually place their work at the sposal of the farmers and will no longer go to seek employment in the eighbouring towns.

The societies for small colonies (Kleinsiedelungsgesellschaften) have for a most part taken the form of co-operative societies (Genossenschaft), bey are above all numerous in the Province of Posen. In the number of the rhiv fiv innere Kolonisation for September, 1912, we find that in 1911 societies of this character were at work devoting themselves to agginutual and industrial workmen's colonisation. They owe their origin artly to the public authorities. Among their members we find farmers

as well as many merchants and manufacturers. And since their object must not be to make profits, but only to act in the public interest, the interest on shares must not exceed a maximum of from 4 to 5 %.

The most important colonisation society of this character is the German small colonisation society of Ostrowo in the province of Posen. We shall give a short account of it here, as an example. It was founded in 1006 by the committee of assistance for Germans returning to the Fatherland founded itself the year before in the same city. It proposes principally to offer peasants and labourers of German origin leaving Russia, in consequence of the political disturbances, the possibility of establishing themselves on German soil, when the limited means at their disposal would not allow of the Colonisation Commission and the General Commissions establishing them on a farm properly so called. The shares of the society are of 300 marks value. The maximum dividend is fixed at 4 %. The society also issues bonds of 30, 100 and 1,000 marks at 4%. At the end of 1910 there were 887 members possessing 1,300 shares. The amount of the bonds issued was 245,750 marks. Up to the end of 1910 the society had bought 584 hectars and sold 225 parcels of an area of 460 hectares, but chiefly to workmen not engaged in agriculture. In 1911 it founded branch associations for a part of its original field of action, since the State subventions granted to it were only for four districts.

The fact that labourer's colonisation is entrusted to districts and to local colonisation societies and new provincial colonisation societies is due to the special conditions of this branch of colonisation, differing completely from that for settling peasants. When large estates are transformed into small farms, the serious problems with regard to their valuation and intermediate administration, the plan of subdivision and the preliminaries of installation, as also the financial problems, are more easily solved by large central institutions of both technical and financial solidity. In the case of small settlements all this work is very simple or has not to be done at all. On the contrary, a very accurate knowledge of the local conditions is required, for, in every instance, a minute and thorough examination must be made of the conditions of labour and their relation to the wages, the possibility of obtaining the necessary land, the position and size of the parcels and the cost price of the buildings. A society with a very extended field of action would therefore have to have a very costly administrative system with many ramifications. Whilst the representatives of the district or the managers of the colonisation society resident on the spot or in the neighbourhood could form an idea of the position personally and judge of it much more easily.

However, the large provincial colonisation societies have an important task to accomplish also with regard to colonisation by labourers only. In this case, they may and ought to aid the districts and small colonisation societies in their efforts until these institutions have had sufficient experience of their own. They will assist actively and with advice in the development of their colonisation work. The "Eigene Scholle" Colonisation Society has appointed agents for the purpose in certain districts. In East

russia the State has also entrusted the large colonisation society with the suervision of the labourers' holdings formed and the concession of Governnent subventions, whilst in other provinces the General Commissions have en charged with these duties.

In Posen and West Prussia, labourers' colonisation has recently been egulated so that the Colonisation Commission advance 75 % of the value of he landed estate with the help of banks for hiring land, namely the Deutsche littelstandkasse in Posen and the German Agricultural Bank for West russia at Dantzig, (r) on the security of the colonisation society. If he whole of the purchase price is not paid at once by the purchaser, he is ranted a loan for the balance by the bank for the consolidation of real state, on the security of a Resthypothek. These loans granted by the blonisation Commission are not extinguished in the same way as the harges (Renten) to be paid on the Rentengüter formed by it. They are paid in the period of 60 1/2 years in regular instalments of 4 % per ann. sin the case of loans granted by the Rentenbanken. Since the loans are sade in cash, in this way the loss is averted that might result from the mbloyment of bills of the Rentenbanken (Rentenbriefe), a loss which onsiderably increases the cost of the holdings founded with the interention of the General Commissions. On the other hand, advantage annot be taken of the Zwischenkredit granted on cheap terms by the ichandlung whilst the banks for the consolidation of real estate only rent credit for the formation of colonies at a very high rate.

In the other provinces the financial question is also of greater importance. It is only rarely that the districts, societies for small colonisation or the organisers of colonies are in a position, with their own capital, to form abouters' holdings or even merely to advance the balance of the purchase rice of a landed estate, after the loan has been granted by the Renierbanken. The subventions granted them by the State must not be used for this purse, but are only intended for purposes not forming a charge against the time colonists. There are, however, various sources from which the messary funds may be obtained. Here there is a profitable field open of the activity of the savings banks, agricultural credit institutes and there credit institutions of public utility of the same character.

One of these sources which deserves especially to be mentioned is und in the Provincial Insurance Institutes (Landesversicherungsanstalm) against disablement. These institutes are authorized to invest a part ither capital, from 1899 a half of it, in undertakings exclusively or mainly a the advantage of the persons for whom insurance is compulsory. It is specially permitted to them to accord credit to societies of public utility, soperative societies and agricultural employers for building dwelling buses for the people. For a long time, however, the subvention has only ken granted to co-operative building and dwelling house societies in towns, thich aim at improving the conditions of the life of the workmen in urban

<sup>(1)</sup> See 1st. part of this Article, § 6. Bulldin of Economic and Social Intelligence. De-

centres; in appropriate form must be found in order that the money may also profit agricultural labourers, for the foundation of co-operative building societies was only adapted to the country districts in exceptional case. One of the first successful efforts has been made in Pomerania.

There; the Baltic Society for the Building of Rural Labourers' House (Baltische Buigenossenschaft für ländliche Arbeiterwolmungen) was founded in 1902, at Anklam to serve as an intermediary credit institution of the Landeswissicherungsanstalt. In addition to it, since 1903, the Pomeranian Colonisation Society has also begun fo interest itself in the matter. Up to the end of 1910, with the funds supplied to it by the disablement insurance institute it had built 147 houses for 482 families on various points of the distinct in which it works. The total amount of credit granted for the purpose was 1,505,225 marks; so that the average loan granted per dwelling house for a family, to which is attached about 1/4 hectare of land, is about 3,119 marks. In 1911, the society provided 39 labourers' houses for 138 families at a cost of 482,200 marks, and in 1912 it provided 19 for 61 families at a further cost of 187,500 marks. The provincial insurance institute every year places at its disposal a maximum of 200,000 marks, and it gives it credit to the amount of 75 % of the value of the buildings.

In Schleswig-Holstein, as a result of the agreement entered into on April 25th, 1904 between the Provincial Insurance Institute and the Federation of the Agricultural Co-operative Societies of Schleswig-Holstein the members of the societies affiliated to the Federation, whether agricultural masters or labourers, receive, for the building of houses for agricultural labourers, credits, amounting to 66 2/3 % of the value registered according to the valuation made by the management of the co-operative society. Applications for credit are only accepted if the Landesgenossenschaftslasse of Schleswig-Holstein makes no objection to the guarantee being undertaken by the Rural Credit Bank. Up to October 15th., 1911, in this way, through the intervention of 32 rural banks, 65 loans were made for between 1,000 and 5,000 marks and 2 for 6,000 and 8,000 marks, making Relations of the same character have been a total of 194,950 marks. entered into between the Provincial Insurance Institutions and the Provincial Federations of the Agricultural Co-operative Societies in other provinces, as in Hesse-Nassau in 1898 and in Silesia in 1907.

The investment of the Resthypotheken of between 75 and 90 % of the value presents quite special difficulties both in the case of colonisation by agricultural labourers and in that of peasant colonisation. As a rule, the labourers, can not offer to pay more than the 10 % prescribed, for their installation on their farms causes them in itself considerable expense. Or this side also, the services rendered by the Provincial Insurance Institute are valuable. The Hanover Institute; in agreement with the General Commission, also attempted in 1907 to grant directly, in addition to the load granted by the Rentenbanken, also 3/5ths. of the last 25 % of the purchast price at 3 ½ % interest or against annual instalments of 1 ½ %. This was not, however, agreed to by the Imperial Insurance Bureau, for the operation seemed to be too unsafe. In almost all the provinces, however, loans of

his character are authorized on the security of the district. The rate interest was at first as a rule 3 %. After May 1st., 1910, in consequence a decision taken by the Imperial Insurance Bureau, the minimum was red at 3 1/2 %. As a rule, 1% is added for the extinction of the debt to e completed in about 44 years.

Thanks to the considerable support granted by the Provincial Insurance estitutes, the financial question is no longer an obstacle to the efforts made in labourers' colonisation, provided the districts and special social socities are ready to assist in the grant of loans. However, except in the proinces of Posen and West Prussia, the number of the societies formed for the misation of this end is up to the present, very limited.

The question of finding land well suited to the purpose presents difimities of another character. If a landholder does not occupy himself ersonally with the labourers' colonisation and does not place at the disposal if the organizers the necessary land, or if, as may happen, the labourer imself has not selected the lot on which he intends to establish himself. the district or the colonisation society must take the initiative on its own mount, in order that the results may be satisfactory. But often their forts are powerless through the imposibility of buying suitable land and attracting colonists really endowed with the qualifications required-In order that the labourer settled on his own farm may thrive on it, commically and socially speaking, it is not enough that there should be requent opportunities for labour in the neighbourhood, but as far as possible he must fix his residence in the village, so that he may actually himself a member of the community and take part in the common life. and often this condition is not realisable, for the peasants are far from being inclined to part with any portion, however small, of their holdings. The abourer will the more readily buy a small farm, if the annual rent is covered. by the yield of the soil, including the renting value of the house. Thus the price of the land is no inconsiderable matter. In general, according to the the of the house and the area of the land; a labourer's holding costs from 5,000 to 8,000 marks, from 4,000 to 6,000 marks of which are represented by the value of the building. Some small colonisation societies, in order b avoid the difficulty of obtaining lands, purchase, when favourable opportunities present themselves, entire peasant holdings, from which, when they sell them again, they detach small portions to found labourers' colonies. & they obtain the land they require easily enough at a low rate.

The maximum limit of area of labourers' holdings is about 2 1/2 hectares. his is enough to provide the labourer with food and also to allow him to hep some livestock, including a cow, without his being obliged to devote imself exclusively to working his farm to the loss of his wage wage labour. However, on the other hand, a large number of labourers are not disposed to establish themselves in a locality, if the conditions do not allow of their gradually enlarging their holdings by further purchase of land, permitting of their acquiring an independent position. Consequently, in practice, attempt must be made to reconcile opposing interests.

# (D) Limitation of the Functions of the General Commissions through the Existence of Colonisation Societies.

As long as the colonisation societies were still without capital and experience, they were dependent on the General Commissions which occupied towards them a position similar to that they occupied towards private organizers of colonisation. But when their situation was strong enough for them to carry out the work themselves, they endeavoured to emancipate themselves from this tutelage and obtain a liberty and independence in their colonisation work really corresponding with their financial responsibility. A more ample autonomy was accorded them by the General Commissions. However, in certain provinces, this evolution has already so far progressed that now the influence of the colonisation societies of public utility is predominant. The General Commissions have there only a secondary part to play.

The Government regulations for East Prussia in this connection are above all worthy of note. In that province, a General Commission was formed at Königsberg, but only in 1896 and principally for the purposes of home colonisation. Recently, its work in this field has been so much reduced, that its suppression is contemplated. The Decree of December 13th., 1911, of the Ministers of Agriculture, Finance, Home Affairs and Justice, regulating the co-operation of this Commission with the Colonisation Society (Landgesellschaft), provides in fact that in future it is the latter which is charged with all duties in connection with colonisation, including the regulation of the land registers (Grundbuchberichtigung) on its guaranteeing to assure the vitality of the holdings it constitutes. With this end in view, it is allowed to start for its own use a Survey Office (Vermessungsableilung). To meet the expenditure, the State grants it an annual contribution. The General Commission must limit itself exclusively to the grant of Zwischenhredit and to intervening to obtain from the Rentenbanken credit for rents fixed by agreement between the Landgesellschaft and the purchasers of Rentengüter and to obtain the grant of loans to cover the expenditure on buildings. It has therefore not even the smallest influence on the manner in which the colonisation is carried out, since all the proceedings in the matter, the purchase, the subdivision and the sale of the holdings is exclusively managed by the Landgesellschaft.

In West Prussia and Posen, the intervention of the General Commission has been still further reduced. As for a long time peasant colonisation there was almost entirely in the hands of the Colonisation Commission, it also charged itself with labourer's colonisation in the district in 1910.

The Bromberg General Commission flow dissolved had previously obtained good results with the assistance of the small colonisation societies. Now, labourers' colonisation in the district has been entrusted to the Colonisation Commission and the Mittelstandskasse in the Province of Posem and to the Deutsche Bauernbank at Dantzig. A General Commission may only still intervene when a scheme for labourers' colonisation is carried out without the co-operation of a small colonisation society. In Pomerania

he powers of the General Comission are not limited to the same extent in East Prussia. Yet there also its important right of intervention or the definite establishment of prices has been officially abolished. In Brandenburg the functions of the General Commission have been educed. In the Decree of August 10th., 1909 on labourers' colonisation arried out by the district administrations and local societies, it is said, mongst other things, that the action of the General Commissions must greduced to what is strictly necessary.

And after this, it is not to be doubted that the work of the General commissions in regard to home colonisation will slowly but surely be completely eliminated. Probably the matter will be settled when the reform of the suppression of the General Commissions, which has been contemplated for many years, has to be dealt with. These institutions have now, subtantially, above all in the eastern districts of Prussia, accomplished all the work they had to do; so that on many sides their dissolution is proposed as well as the transfer of their functions to the ordinary courts or administrative bodies in public law, or else their transformation into organisations coupying themselves with the progress of the national agriculture generally. But on this point there is still very little agreement.

(To be continued).

## FRANCE.

## AN ENQUIRY IN REFERENCE TO METAYAGE

#### SOURCE:

RAPPORT DE LA COMMISSION D'ENQUÊTE SUR LA SITUATION DU MÉTAYAGE EN FRANCE, : (Report of the Commission of Enquiry on the Situation of Metayage in France), instituted by the French Farmers' Society (Supplement to the Bulletin of the Society April 1st., 1913. 1st. Number).

At the last general meeting of the French Farmers' Society, held Paris from the 17th, to the 22nd, of last February, R. Ratonis de Lim presented the Report of the Enquiry in reference to Metayage institut in the previous year.

One hundred and nine answers were received from 41 departments the list of questions forwarded to the presidents of the associations a filiated to the society in the districts in which this method of leasi land is in force.

Let us say at once that everywhere a general tendency is observab on the part of the land holders to give their metayers appreciably bett conditions. Quietly, by the quite simple action of enlightened sel interest, metayage has been able to solve the problem of agricultur credit, by placing, at the disposal of farmers, lots valued at tens of thou ands of francs and capital under the form of livestock and farm requisit of the value of some hundred thousand francs.

## § 1. DEFINITION OF METAYAGE AND LEGISLATION ON THE SUBJECT.

Let us first briefly consider what metayage is from the legal poir of view as well as its economic and social character in the sphere of practical agriculture.

Metayage or partial metayage is the result of an agreement of contract by which the lessor, the land holder, the usufructuary or to ant farmer of a farm gives the metayer or a partial metayer, who give his labour, the temporary enjoyment, under his own direction and super ision, of the land, buildings and all or part of the livestock; and farm equisites; and shares with him the eventual produce in kind and money, the there equally or in some other proportion, and under certain other pecial conditions. This half or other portion of the produce is the see's remuneration.

The law of July 18th, 1869 instituted a special contract, for measage, with a character of its own, resembling at the same time that I lease and that of partnership, subject to certain special regulations in sential points and for the rest subject to the special conditions of the greenent and local usage.

This law is quite wide enough to apply to any metayage contract;

morking of a partial metairie.

The contract of metayage or partial metayage, says the first article of the law, is that by which the possessor of a rural holding leases the same for a time to a tenant who engages to cultivate it and share the produce with the lessor.

Article 2 adds that "the produce is divided equally, unless there

bestipulation or usage to the contrary".

Article 5 specifies that "the lessor shall supervise the work and the general management of the farm; both in respect to the mode of cultivation and the purchase and sale of livestock", and article II lays down that each of the parties may ask for an annual settlement of the counts.

Liberty is of the very essence of this contract, which is always asy to break, and has to be adapted to the necessities of every locality, wery holding and the most various circumstances, lending itself to a thousand conditions according to the parties contracting. Thus, practically, metayage cannot be limited by absolute regulations and its forms mexcessively varied.

## § 2. METAYAGE FROM THE ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL POINT OF VIEW.

From the economic and social point of view, metayage is the association of capital and labour and the union, in mutual fellowship, of the proprietor; who lends his capital, represented by the land which is to bear the produce shared, the buildings, livestock, implements, manure, this, fodder and cash for purchases, and the farmer, who gives his labout, and who, in accordance with the well known phrase, undertakes to tot, as regards the farm as a good father of a family for the advantage of both parties. There is a close bond of union between the two as they have the same interests.

Is is not only the closest bond of union between the owner and the farmer, who have to concentrate their efforts, to aid each other in had seasons and in times of epidemies among the divestock, and to share in good and evil fortune; and not only the union of the contributions of each, labour, capital and intelligence; it is also one of the most efficacious means of promoting morality and agricultural progress and social peace and harmony.

But one of the first things necessary for the life and success; metayage is mutual confidence. This is a thing that cannot be measured; it either exists or it does not, and when it does, it must be absolute. Mutual relations are certain and easy when there is reciprocally all the bonds of union them are solid, and being solid are durable to the solid are durable to the

Association cannot last or at least it is difficult and imperfect if the appear grounds for distrust and suspicion necessarily leading to discounagement. Under such conditions there is nothing to be done but t

terminate the contract as soon as possible.

The peasant, through an instinct, developed in him by the circun stances of his environment, from the first is on the defensive. It is by frequent contract, by kindness and good council and by persuasion that the proprietor, personally, with no go-between, may inspire on fidence in the metayer and develop his energy, and excite his ambition to do better and better, and bestow on his work the jealous care of proprietor for his own property.

Without doubt — the law is precise on the point — the lessor the head of the business; he has the general management and supervision of the work. By residence or frequent visits he will secure out on the farm, will regulate the rotation of crops, select the seed and it manure, indicate the direction to be given to livestock improvement

and preside over the business of purchase and sale.

But he will leave the details of the work to the metayer, will alone has authority over his family and servants and the day labour whom he hires and pays. In many cases he will trust to the good sens prudence and experience of his partner who has a more intimate a quaintance with the farm he works and the livestock he tends, he we consult him familiarly without too brusquely imposing his own will he will insinuate his ideas little by little. Without bargaining, he we advance the sums necessary, relying on the honesty and industry of to other, and will attempt to take the steps required and make the necessary changes in advance without waiting to be asked. And it is the metayer will be encouraged in his work and will readily follow to advice or the orders given. The success of the metairie depends this, and no clauses of any contract, however precise, will necessar lead to it.

Metayage, easier than direct farming, which, owing to the deman of the labourers, has become, so to say, impossible in certain region presents itself in different points of the country as an excellent institution the hands of one who knows how best to use it for the promotion the interests concerned and for the benefit of agriculture generally,

The discredit in which formerly the farming profession and t agricultural life were held among the well to do classes, no longer exis

ins, since 1880, in the departments of the Centre, where farming by enant farmers was unsuccessful, a large number of farms have been agen in hand by capable and active landholders who have raised the contition of the metayers, interesting themselves personally and continually their farms. Success has crowned their efforts and this success has reduced imitators. Assuredly, metayage cannot escape all criticism; that its own difficulties, its exigencies, its weaknesses. The adoption of this method of farming is, besides, not a matter of caprice, but of gressity, determined by the special conditions of seasens, residence, fortine, and aptitude of which each is by himself the competent judge. Ill methods have their defects and their advantages and most usually heir advantages depend on the character of the men, by whom, and the miditions of time, place and other circumstances under which, they are put in practice.

## § 3. FARMERS GENERAL.

This leads us to say a few words on a system that has been called a hybrid and parasite of partial metayage, which placed an intermediary between the landowner and the cultivator, set the former at a distance, essayed the latter and impoverished the soil. This system at one time, with the principle of metayage in a most regrettable manner. We mean that of the farmers general.

These real intermediaries, often occupied in various trades, or without any interest in agriculture, united under their management a large number of landed estates, rented on excellent terms for themselves, the proprietors of which were unable to occupy themselves with them for various reasons, through residing elsewhere, or through want of sufficient working capital and the intermediaries worked the land by means of mtayers who were really servants. Many imposed heavy burdens on their metayers such as the transport of an excessive amount of wood or grain, if they were timber, or grain merchants. By methods contrary to the principle and spirit of metayage, they endeavoured to profit by the labour of the metayers, so as not only to reimburse themselves for the amount of rent they paid the land owner, but also to assure themselves of as large a personal profit as possible. The consequence was the metayers were in a miserable condition and could not hope for a legitimate reward of their labour or of that of their family. Before the lease terminated the farmer general endeavoured to derive all the profit be could from the land, while exhausting the soil. He then asked a reduced rent from the landowner, who being an absentee or being anxious to be relieved of the management of the estate, preferred to receive somewat less revenue from his land and to continue renting it to a solvent person, or even consented to a demand for new buildings, without increase of the rent.

When disputes arose at the termination of a lease, as the expert, appointed by the court were generally farmers general, they combined in their mutual professional interests, and the just rights of the landowners were sacrified.

For all these reasons, in the middle of the last century this system generally contributed to the unpopularity of metayage and the position

of inferiority in which it was held.

· But the number of farmers general decreased in proportion as the landowners were induced to undertake the management of their lander estates or to choose as tenant farmers metayers who had saved something

The farmers general are now scarcely 1,300 or 1,400 in about twenty departments. The department of Allier alone has from six to seven hundred. Haute-Vienne from 120 to 150, Indre about a hundred, Cher, Vienne and Saône-et-Loire from 50 to 65, Loire-et-Cher, Mayenne and Charente about thirty each, Nièvre from 12 to 15, Loire, Ain and Vendée, about 10, Creuse, Maine-et-Loire, Puy-de-Dôme and Lot-et-Garonne, a few or only one.

Except in Allier, Haute-Vienne and Vienne, the number of farmers general is nearly every where decreasing. In some departments their place is taken by business men, which is not precisely an advantage for me tayage, or by stewards, who, in order that the advantages of metayage may not be lost and in order not to create ill-will, must avoid imperative orders and unreasonable exactions. The want of tact of intermediants puts the proprietors and farmers into false positions with regard to each

other.

#### § 4. DISTRIBUTION OF METAYAGE IN FRANCE: ITS EVOLUTION.

According to the Official Statistics, (1) there were eighteen departments, including Corsica, in which the number of metairies or partial metairies was superior to that of farms leased whether by written or verbal contract.

The department of Landes came first with 19,100 metairies or partial metairies: then Dordogne and Allier with 17,200 each; Gironde with 15,500; Tarn, with 13,100; Haute-Vienne with 12,400; Charente with 11,900; Basses-Pyrénées and Haute-Garonne with from 10,000 to 11,000; Corrèze, Corsica and Gers with from 7,500 to 9,000; Tarn-et-Garonne, Ande Indre, Ariège and Lot with from 4,500 to 5,000; Creuse with 3,400.

In other departments, where rented farms are more numerous, me tayage is also very important. Thus, there were 12,500 metayers in

<sup>(1)</sup> Agricultural Statistics of France published by the Department of Agriculture. General Results of the Ten Years' Enquiry in 1892. (Published in 1897). Since 1892 the Department has held no December Statistical Enquiry

Vendée, 10,900 in Loire-Inférieure and between 6,500 and 4,000 in Vienne, Mayenne, Cher, Maine-et-Loire, Morbihan and Vaucluse.

We may say that Bourbonnais, Périgord, Limousin, Berri, Anjout, poitou, Angoumois, Languedoc, Gascogne and part of Bretagne remain the principal centres of metayage.

According to the economic conditions and the special conditions prevalent in a department, an arrondissement or a canton, according to the convenience, the personal aptitudes and interests of the owners of the soil and according to the difficulties in connection with labour, metayage has lost or gained ground.

The answers given to the questions sent out by the Commission on this point and on others give us hints and even certainty, especially when a single commune is being considered.

Metayage seems to hold its ground in Vendée, Landes and Dordogne, especially in the region called «Nontronnais», in Limoisin, except for a part of Corrèze where it would seem to be giving way, in Haute-Garonne,

Basses-Pyrénées and Tarn, Mayenne, Berri and Bourbonnais.

It would seem to have lost ground in Var, Puy-de-Dome, Indre-et-Loire, Loire-Inferieure, Morbihan and Lauraguais. In some departments owing to the division of large and medium sized landed estates and the subdivision of land sold to speculators, the number of small proprietors themselves cultivating their holdings has appreciably increased, and that of the metayers decreased.

Former metayers, and in large numbers in Bourbonnais and a part of Berry, have become proprietors. The direct working of large estates by the owners is becoming more and more difficult and exceptional.

As a general rule, except in a few departments, such as Doubs, where metayage has been recently introduced, the traditional conditions of the metayer remain at any rate, fundamentally, unchanged; but in practice new agreements or rather modifications of details, varying greatly with the ambient and the time, have been introduced without its being possible to say precisely when. They are the logical consequence of agricultural progress and economic evolution. Tradition in no way excludes progress and the institution has escaped from the trammels of routine whenever it has advanced and been well directed and supported.

The rotation of crops has been modified, the use of manures and fertilisers has been generalised, the formation of meadows and the continually greater production of artificial fodder have led to the development of livestock improvement. Machinery and implements of every kind taking the place of manual labour have increased the forms of labour

a hundred fold, while permitting of its improvement.

As to the sense in which the conditions of metayage have been modified, the opinion of the correspondents in the enquiry report is very clear. The modifications have been made to the advantage of the metayers. Here, there is the decrease or even the suppression of the payment in money; there, the landowner now pays the whole income tax; elsewhere, he freely lends improved implements, undertakes himself two

thirds of the cost of selected thoroughbred breeding stock and pays half the expenses formerly borne by the metayers: he gives prizes in money for crops requiring repeated and difficult dressing, such as beetnoot and carrots for fodder. Finally, the landowner is treating the metayer more and more as a real partner and is trying to give him an interest by means of longer leases in improvements by which the crops and the livestock will benefit.

### § 5. SHARE OF THE LANDHOLDER IN THE PROFITS AND WHAT HE RESERVES FOR HIMSELF.

Altogether and in principle, metayage is always an association for the division of the produce of the land farmed, or collected, in accordance with the agreements: but we do not always find an equal division of all the natural and industrial produce of the landed estate.

The fertility of the soil, the facility of cultivating well arranged farms, the area of the meadows, a thousand local circumstances and special agreements may intervene to make the metairie a farm in which the costs and the produce are shared in proportion.

Secondary circumstances due to agreements or local usage, decide the just proportion in accordance with the special situation of the holdings under consideration. There are inequalities of distribution which are only apparent and in every case we must understand and weigh all the circumstances carefully before passing judgment. Real inequalities, necessitated by special uses and circumstances, most often make up for dissimilarities at the start and unequal investments of money. In many cases, the metayer, when all the accounts are strictly settled, will only lose by a rigidly equal division of the profits.

Generally, in the forty departments considered, the grain is equally divided after threshing, by weight or by double decalitre. In some regions, the lessor has only a third of the wheat and rye and a fourth of the oats and barley; the metayer then provides all the seeds and two thirds or three fourths of the manure.

The seed of artificial fodder, less the amount required for sowing,

is divided equally.

Pctatoes belong almost always entirely to the metayer who utilises them both for his personal needs and for feeding and fattening livestock In Périgord, however, part of the potato crop, not exceeding one third, belongs to the land owner. In the South, dry pulse is shared in varying proportion.

As a general rule, all kitchen garden produce is the metayer's. The same is the case with milk, butter or cheese made from the milk of a single cow of the stock reserved for the use of the metayer, or cows the calves of which have been sold or weaned. This is an appreciable advantage, for, although the sale of dairy produce is forbidden in the conuset, it is very difficult to exercise strict supervision and infringements of the rule constantly occur.

The metayer's wife has all the profits from the fowls, ducks, guinea fowl and pigeons. Only the profits from the geese and turkeys are shared entially, but the feathers belong to the metayer's wife.

Fruit is generally left to the metayer. Nuts and cider apples are

shared equally.

In the case of animals and livestock produce and asses, an equal division is made in money. The owner receives and banks the produce of sales of livestock and it remains to his account until the settlement; the profits from these sales, after deduction of the costs, are shared equally.

Special agreements are made with regard to pigs. If they are bred the produce of the sale is divided; but the landowner provides the metayer with a certain amount of mast to help him rear them and allows him one or two of the sucking pigs to be fattened and eaten on the farm, according to its greater or less area. If they are fattened on the farm, the expenditure and revenue are divided equally. In view of the importance of the development of the production of pigs in many regions and the great care required in their maintenance, some proprietors, in order to encourage the production, guarantee their metayers an entire amount of from 10 to 20% when the profits exceed a certain figure, which is equally divided.

In addition to dues in kind called "small contributions" and some charges for carting demanded by the lessors, the metayers in some departments or portions of departments have to pay a "yard tax" in money, called; metairie tax

According to the report of the enquiry we have summarised, we may in some degree consider the metairie tax as an indirect equivalent of the land tax the proprietor pays in full and of other benefits enjoyed by the metayer, such as dwelling house, garden, wood, various vegetables and poultry yard. We must also take account of the legitimate causes which urge a metayer to offer the landowner a certain sum of money to obtain preference and have the chance of keeping a good holding and remaining on it a long time. Finally, the metairie tax is sometimes very maturally due to the abandonment by the land owner of a field, a meadow; or pasture ground, or vineyard belonging to his reserve, the use of which the metayer has desired. Having proposed to the proprietor to compensate him for the cession by an annual payment of a certain amount, the metayer finds he has incurred the burden in question.

Let us add that the report does not hide the fact that in certain regions the claims of the lessor and above all those of the farmer general lave been abusive. But we must not forget that this metairie tax is so far from general, that, in one hundred and fifty answers rendered to the Enquiry Commission, only thirty indicated its existence, in Bourbonnais, Beri, Limousin and in a part of Gascogne and Poitou. Besides, it is difficult to distinguish between it and the payment of the whole or only

the half of the land tax, or again other burdens of small importance. Its amount varies with the regions: in Bourbonnais, it varies between 5 and 15 frs. per hectare, seldom exceeding 10 frs.; in Limousin, it is from 5 to 10 frs. a hectare, and in other districts between 1 and 5 frs. a hectare.

The counterpart of the metairie tax is found in the districts of extensive farms in the departments of Indre, under the form of an annual bonus, guaranteed by the land owner and paid in money into the hands of the metayer in addition to his half of the profits.

This is a new way of regarding the obligations particular situations create for proprietors and which allow of certain charges being equitably

balanced. Some explanations are necessary here.

In the large plains of Champagne and Berry there are landed properties with an area of 150 or 200 hectares and more of arable land, most frequently of inferior quality, yet suitable for the cultivation of grain, which is highly developed in the region and for that of artificial fodder essential for sheep farms. There are so to speak no meadows, and on a farm of 200 hectares there are only from 8 to 11 hectares of poor grass land.

On these farms the type of metairie contract is as follows: the landholder receives a third or a fourth part of the grain free of all ex-

pense except a third or fourth part of that for threshing.

The unequal division of the produce and the apparently large contrib ution of the metayer to the expenditure make an unequal contract. The metayer proceeds according to his own judgment; he avoids all expenditure of which he has to bear two thirds or three quarters. The crops are consequently as a rule poor. In view of these conditions, clearsighted people decided a few years ago to adopt on farms of 180 and 200 hectars or more the principle of equal division of the produce, allowing the metayer hy way of compensation for the larger share he has in the expenditure on the seed, a bonus of from ten to fifteen francs per hectare paid into his hands every year. This bonus represents with nearly mathematical accuracy the excess revenue the partial metayer might have if he saved the two thirds or three quarters of the cost of the seeds; but it has the great advantage of a quite safe insurance, above all to be ap preciated in had seasons. It assists the metayer to pay the very heavy expenses in connection with the numerous staff the successful working of these large farms calls for, in addition to his own family. Further under the enlightened direction of the landowner, the yield increases and the livestock benefits by the more intensive system of farming. Both parties profit by the change. These large landed estates are leased for periods corresponding with that of the rotation of the crops, that is for four years, and the lease is then renewed by tacit agreement.

The net profits of the farm consist in the difference between the revenue and expenditure, after deduction of the interest on working capital, calculated at 5%. The lessor succeeds in realising 50 frs. per hectar when the lease of the soil is not more than from 30 to 33 frs. the hectare. The value of the leased farm therefore exceeds that of the metains

by from 30 to 35 %. The value of the soil itself seldom exceeds 750 frs. the hectare; the working capital per hectare is from 100 to 125 frs.

This example shows how metayage adapts itself to manifold combinations for the equitable distribution of burdens.

## § 6. DURATION AND FORM OF LEASES.

Annual leases, terminable as a rule at six months' notice, are still the

most frequent.

There is however a generally increasing tendency in favour of three years' leases with tacit renewal, and three, six or ten years' leases, terminable at the end of each three years' period on notice of ten months or a year, as well as leases for the period of rotation of crops adopted by the lessor for his farm. Four or fine years' leases are also renewed by tacit agreement. This tacit renewal becomes in the minds of those concerned a corrective of the brief term of the contracts and is the natural result of good relations between landlord and tenant.

Verbal contracts are still in use but are growing rarer. We hear of a verbal contract in Indre-et-Loire, at Bourgueil, which has been in force for a hundred and twenty years. This example shows that the term of a lesse is of small importance; good relations, mutual esteem and confidence constitute the real bonds of union between landlords and metayers, far more securely than legal written agreements.

It is very difficult, if not impossible, to discover the proportion of contacts passed by private deed, formal or verbal contracts. For about 15% of verbal contracts there are from 45 to 55% passed by private deed and from 30 to 35% entered into before notaries.

## § 7. AREA OF LANDED ESTATE.

The area of the landed estates cultivated by metayers varies with the region, the fertility or poverty of the soil, the density of the population, the size of the farm buildings scattered more or less widely over the territory, finally, with special circumstances of property and of family, independent of the interests of agriculture.

In the alluvial plains of the Allier the metairies are not larger than 30 hectares, while on the granite mountains and in a part between the

Loire and the Allier, their area is 70, 80 or 100 hectares.

On an average the farms are from 50 to 60 hectares. This is the most usual area, in Cher, Vienne and Indre, although there are in these regions metaines of 100 hectares and over. In Dordogne, Creuse, Haute-Vienne, Mayenne, Maine-et-Loire, Tarn, Loire-Inférieure and Haute-Garonne, the farms vary generally from 20 to 50 hectares in area. Evidently, in con-

sequence of the rural exodus and the deficiency of labourers, and the dispersion of families, large farms are becoming more difficult to work Very often two farms of 50 hectares yield more than one of 100 hectares.

## § 8. RULES FOR WORKING FARMS.

As, in conformity with the law, in the contracts the general management and supervision of the farm work is reserved to the landowner, it seems at least superfluous to insert clauses with regard to the crops, their rotation, the livestock, and the use of manure, except in the case of an innovation interrupting the traditional use. In practice, it is prudent not to set aside local usage too much as, in the settlement of a large number of disputes, it may be found to have the force of law. But with economic advance some of these local customs have fallen into desuetude. It is therefore advisable to insert in the contract certain regulations, as results of such progress; and to set aside uses now out of date.

With regard to the maintenance of ditches, hedges, enclosures, farm roads and pruning of trees, it is well, in order to avoid difficulties at the termination of the lease, to specify clearly the obligations the metayer contracts conformably with local conditions established at the start.

The answers to the questions in relation to the rules for working the farms give interesting information with regard to liming and mark-

ing now in common use.

As the results of liming with large quantities of lime are not immediate, but affect the crops for several seasons, in some contracts, it is provided, for example, that the lime, the cost of which is shared, must be supplied for four years and that, if the metayer leaves the farm before the expiration of these four years, the owner of the land must repay him one eighth of the total price of the lime for each year after he leaves the farm; or else the lime must be entirely provided by the owner, the transport and spreading of it forming a charge against the metayer; the same rules hold good in the case of marl.

The cost of chemical manure is also shared in equal proportions. Sometimes, in the contract, the lessor indicates the amount of manure

the lessees must use for winter and spring grain.

The proprietor selects and purchases the manure. He advances it.

Threshing is charged for either by the day, the lessor undertaking to pay the hire of the machine, including the wages of the three men who work it, and the metayer paying the labourers and providing food for the men; or by the piece, at a price fixed by agreement per double decalitre or by weight. In this case, landlord and metayer share the costs, at the settlement of accounts. The metayer, as a rule, feeds the three men who work the machine.

Finally, there are regions, in which metairies of average size being near to each other, and the metayers' families large, the necessary lab-

our for threshing is assured by the mutual interchange of neighbourly services.

The cultivation of hoed crops, such as beetroot and carrots for fodder requires much care if it is to be done well. And the landlord, in view of this kind of farming and its utility for livestock production, does not hesitate to compensate his metayer for his expenditure for special labout required for the second dressing. Thus we find prizes given of from 30 to 40 frs. and more per hectare of beetroot and carrots for fodder, or 1 fr. per 1,000 kgs. of beetroot gathered, 10 frs. per hectare of postatos and Jerusalem artichokes; and 5 frs. per hectare of cabbages.

The conditions for the plantation of fruit trees are fixed by rules inserted in the contract. The proprietor supplies the plants, the metayer helps in planting and tending them; the produce ultimately is divided

or left to the metayer.

Improvements of the soil are the affair of the landowner. If the metayer is asked to contribute supplementary labour, it is absolutely necessary that it should be specified in the special agreements freely arranged, landlord and metayer being both interested in the improvement of the soil. The metayer will be the more bound to assist the landlord, as far as his strength and his time permits, as he may one day profit by these improvements, if the occupation of the farm is assured to him by a long lease or by the confidence inspired by his good relations with the lessor.

If a farm can be transformed into meadow land, the proprietor has it levelled, ditches and trenches dug, or fences erected and the metayer keeps them in order; he provides the seed and the manure, at least the first year. The metayer uses the farm teams for the transport, prepares the earth, manures it and sows the seed. If the land has to be cleared, the metayer assured of a long lease co-operates with the owner in the work indifferent ways, and the owner sometimes leaves him the whole of the first crop.

In the case of deep ploughing and steam ploughing, the metayer sometimes has only to arrange for the transport of the machine and plant to the fields, to provide the water for the plough and food for the two or three men working it.

In the case of land reclamation, drainage, utilisation of springs, and injection, the proprietor arranges for the important earthworks at his own ost and buys the pipes, and the metayer undertakes the work, all the utility of which he understands and from which he knows he must derive benefit in various ways.

If misunderstandings arise, or in case the conditions of the lease are not carried out, the only remedy is the cancellation of the contract.

## § Q. STAFF.

The number of the employees necessarily varies with the size of the families, the crops cultivated and the livestock bred. The cultivation of cereals and hoed crops demand more labourers and the labourers may be reduced on farms plentifully provided with meadows and pasturage

Generally, it is reckoned that a metairie of 50 hectares, with 15 hectares of meadow land, requires as a rule the work of three men, two women, a cowherd and a small boy as a herd. At harvest time additional

assistance is required.

In one place they calculate a man is required per 8 or 10 hectares. in another per 15 or 20 hectares, exclusive of cow-herds and shepherds. Every thing depends on the composition of the farm and the proportion of arable land.

To ensure the most rapid execution of important work such as that of reaping, for which the family is not always large enough, the DIOprietor sometimes engages to provide a labourer to be fed by the me tayers, for two or three weeks, or else he gives the metayer a certain amount in money.

It is besides usually laid down in the contracts that the metaveris bound to have available the necessary staff so as to prevent any work being neglected or delayed. Hardly anywhere need the supplementary staff be approved by the proprietor; yet, if the metayer employs undesirable labourers, he may blame him and ask for their dismissal.

## § 10. HOW THE STOCK IS CONSTITUTED, AND THE CONTRIBUTIONS OF THE LANDLORD AND METAYER.

The livestock consists of animals of every kind; the dead stock, which may be called "iron stock", consists of ploughs, carts, harness, food racks for sheep folds, machinery, implements and moveables of the most various kinds.

The composition of the livestock varies with the farm. In Bourbonnais, a holding of from 50 to 60 hectares may support from 8 to 10 oxen, 6 to 10 cows, 6 to 8 calves of a year old, 6 to 8 sucking calves, 3 or 4 sows with their young, one or two broad mares, from 30 to 50 breeding ewes or sheep for fattening, and from 8 to 12 pigs for fattening. In Berri, on farms of the same size, where sheep are the principal animals bred, we find from 100 to 120 breeding ewes, from 12 to 18 head of horned cattle, from two to three sows and two brood mares; or horses take the place of oxen.

The value of the livestock is as variable as its composition. It may amount to 500 frs, or not exceed 100 frs. per hectare; it varies generally between 250 and 350 frs. per hectare. The same may be said of the dead stock which may be 25, 50 or 100 fr. per hectare. Everything depends on the character of the equipment and the special requirements of each setting term.

Of the livestock, the landlord always supplies the breeding stock, often only the half, the third or even the fourth part of the livestock in the stables, cowhouses, sheep folds and pigstyes. To the breeding stock, indeed, is added the young of this stock, half of which belongs

to the metayer.

In the same department, in the same commune, there are differences as to the amount of stock supplied by the landlord, very often the whole

amount, and that contributed hy the metayer.

The breeding stock may in fact be increased by the owner taking possession of all or half of the young at a valuation at the termination of the lease, the new lessee having nothing to pay to the former lessee; or else the new metayer pays his predecessor for the half of the increased stock which he takes over and thus acquires a right to the estimated value of the livestock; or else again the lessor places to the account of "advances to the metayer" half the estimated price and is reimbursed at the contract rate by the metayer.

In the districts where the metayers were formerly mere servants, to whom the landlords had to advance everything, and on certain farms, is a result of ancient use, the dead stock is provided entirely by the landowner. The lessees must keep it in repair and renew it. When they have, everything over and above what they received at first belongs to them. In case of a deficit, they must make up the difference in money.

Most frequently, in those departments to which the enquiry referred,

the dead stock is the property of the metayer.

As a rule, the metayers must undertake all the work in connection with harvesting and especially the reaping: they then have to buy the machines for mowing, reaping, binding, raking, etc. But, in practice, the landlords often make the necessary advances for the purchase of machines and the metayers reimburse them in the course of a few years, or else the machines are bought collectively, the maintenance remaining a charge against the metayers.

There are other improved machines, such as sowers and sifters, which the landlords, when they have a sufficiently large number of farms in a single locality, place at the disposal of their metayers, to circulate from one to the other in a fixed order.

The animals are not the only stock the profits and losses of which are shared. Manure heaps, old ricks, fodder and standing grass in artificial meadows, must also be considered under that head. It follows that at the termination of the lease the gain or loss on the valuation made at its beginning has in each case to he equally divided.

The landlord always controls the purchases and sales with or without the assistance of the metayer, since on principle and hy law he has the management of the farm. In practice, purchases and sales are

conducted in accordance with previous arrangements. Usage, which has the effect of law in business matters, only admits of a bargain being final, when ratified by the master.

Let us finally note that if the landlord and metayer generally have equal shares in the purchases and sales, there are cases in which the former undertakes, if not the whole, at least two thirds or three fourths of the cost, in case of heavy expenditure, such as for purchase of choice throughbred breeding stock.

#### § 11. CHARGES ON THE FARMS.

The land tax is either paid by the land holder (this is the most frequent case), the metairie tax sometimes compensating him for this payment and for other advantages conceded by him; or else it is paid half by him and half by the metayer.

As the situations of the farms are not identical and equally favourable to the metayers, if in certain cases the metayers may be fairly asked to contribute the balf of this tax, the obligation in other cases may be too heavy and not in proportion to the yield of the soil. And we could mention sufficiently numerous examples in which the metayer has neither

land tax nor dues in money nor metairie tax to pay.

Of the other taxes, the poil tax and tax on furniture, the tax on doors and windows of the house occupied by the metayer, and that on vehicles and dogs are generally paid by the metayer; services in kind, rendered with the help of the farm teams, are most usually at his expense. The buildings are insured against fire by the landlord. The metayer must insure at his own expense his furniture, and his plant and insure himself against tenant's risks, unless be is relieved from this by the landlord's policy. The expense of insurance of the stock, ricks, fodder and various crops harvested is shared equally, as well as is the cost of insurance of crops against hail, and that of livestock insurance.

In theory, repairs are at the charge of the metayer, in practice it is more often the proprietor who carries them out. In some departments and especially in Maine-et-Loire, Dordogne and Charente, the metayer pays from 5 to 10 frs. a year as a subscription towards the repairs made by the landlord. As a general rule, the lessees must fetch the material for repairs, whether considerable or small, for buildings and rebuildings, with their own teams and drivers from a distance not exceeding a certain number of kilometres. In case of fire they must bear the cost of cartage in accordance with the estimate of the experts called to estimate the damage.

The costs in connection with the contract are either borne entirely by the métayer or are shared equally. Eventual costs of deeds in relation to business of common interest are borne equally by the two parties

## § 12. DELIVERY OF FARMS THE PRODUCE OF WHICH IS SHARED.

Possession is taken of the farm on some popular festival: Martinmas November 11th.), Saint George's Day (April 23rd.), Saint John's Day June 24th.), Michaelmas (September 24th.), All Saints' Day (November 1st.); in Dordogne also on the 1st. and 8th. of September.

The day most usually selected is Martinmas (November 11th.). Each

the above dates is more or less suitable or inconvenient.

(a) On November 11th., the old metayer leaves the holding after owing his winter grain which he will come to harrow, and roll in spring and reap next summer.

The beetroot is left after valuation. The value in excess of that estim-

ted at his entry in possession is paid to the outgoing tenant.

The natural hay in the barns is kept intact, as well as the first crop fartificial fodder, until an amount is reached generally fixed beforehand. The livestock are fed up to November 11th. on second crops, after growth and meadow grass.

(b) When the metayer takes possession on April 23rd., suitably rade contracts give him the right to come in February to prepare a certain rantity of unplanted land and to sow grasses for artificial meadows, in the

min fields.

The metayer occupying on this date has every right to work the slow land for winter sowing; he reaps the artificial fodder taken over the valuation when ripe, as well as the natural hay left as a substitute.

He finds many manure heaps, the value of which is estimated, then he arrives.

He will have full enjoyment of the farm on September 20th., after threshing of the grain which the outgoing metayer supervises.

(c) The date of June 24th. presents less advantages for the prepartion of the soil; but the situation is almost the same with regard to odder, and the term before the full enjoyment of the farm is obtained reduced to a minimum, as, three or four months after June 24th., the outgoing tenant will have reaped the last crop he is entitled to.

In Haute-Vienne, delivery is often made in a very unsatisfactory may. The metayer, leaves the farm, where, most usually he has sown are lessly and presents overfed livestock for valuation. In most cases he incoming metayer receives the land sown by his predecessor with he obligation of leaving the same area sown when he quits the farm. Is sewhere the outgoing metayer returns to reap the harvest he has sown. The best arrangement would be a division by which the incoming and outgoing tenants should each receive a quarter and the landlord a half, it would thus be to the interest of the outgoing tenant to sow under troper conditions, and of his successor to take good care of the crop and troubles in connection with removal would be avoided.

The outgoing metayers' last crop is gathered by his successor on the farm with the help of the farm teams and their drivers. At the time of threshing the straw, the bales are placed in order and tied by the incoming metayer.

Within three months from his entry into occupation of the farm, the incoming metayer may demand from the outgoing one a report of the state of the fencing, of the condition of the hedges and trenches,

and of the repairs at his charge etc.

The stock is valued in the days preceding delivery, or even on the day itself. Most usually the outgoing and the incoming metayers each choose an appraiser. In case of disagreement, a third is chosen by mutual agreement, by the court or by the local magistrate. Against the decision of this third there is no appeal.

As a rule the valuation must be made at average rates, that is  $t_0$  say, if horned cattle is being sold very cheap at the fairs, at a higher

rate, and if it is sold very dear, at a lower one.

Generally, the proprietor furnishes half the seed, whether deducted at the time of threshing or furnished later by the lessor on the eve of soming. If it is necessary to buy seed the expense is equally divided.

In the case of land improvement, the parties proceed in accordance with the good father of a family clause, the condition of the land as shown at date of delivery of the farm, mutual agreement or the decision of appraisers. No provision is made in the contracts for compensation by the proprietor to the metayer for improvement of the land, nor by the tenant to him, for deterioration of the property. In case of the special class of work to which we have alluded above being decided on by mutual agreement within the period of the lease, special arrangements either verbal or in writing are entered into specifying the conditions under which it must be carried out.

#### § 13. Special viticulture by metayers.

Before the invasion of the phylloxera and cryptogamic disease vineyards were cultivated frequently enough on the metayage system in various parts of the viticultural districts where the wine had no sufficient fame, quality or value on the market to induce the landlord to reserve for themselves absolutely the whole produce and the personal management of the often delicate operations on a farm of considerably value.

The general conditions of metayage in this case scarcely differe from those by which it is ordinarily regulated. Equal sharing was the rule: but where the work was difficult, by reason of the condition of the soil, the metayers sometimes received a little more than half the crop, or again, on the contrary, under favourable conditions, less than half.

The proprietor, most frequently, undertook the breaking up of the gound and the plantation, leaving the simple working of the soil and the pruning to the vinedresser, and engaged to share the produce with him at the vintage: or else, he gave him wages up to that time. Besides this, the conditions varied infinitely.

In the South East and Centre especially, the old leases were for long periods; of ten, fifteen or more years, which was logical enough, in

view of the good and bad years.

The vinedressers considered the lots entrusted to them as their own

property.

We still find this equal division of the produce in the case of small vinevards in Loire, Puy-de-Dôme, Doubs, Dordogne, Var, Tarn, Hautes and Basses-Pyrénées, Tarn-et-Garonne and Vendée, where the proprietor provides the props or stakes, the wire and often the anticryptogamic substances, and half the cost of the manure. Elsewhere, as in Loire Inférieure, one party receives a third or a fourth of the produce.

In Charente-Inférieure the métayers are no longer willing to work for a share in the produce, above all when the harvest does not promise to be good. And the proprietors pay a fixed price per hectare for all the work in connection with the vine, not including the gathering of the grapes which is paid for by the day or at from 75 centimes to I fr. per kg. loaded on the cart. In Haute-Savoie the vinedressers also now prefer a fixed rate of pay guaranteed for a minimum number of years.

In Indre-et-Loire, metayage seems to have disappeared from the vineyards.

In some departments the landlords have attached to their metairies small vineyard, the whole expense of the cultivation of which up to the third or fourth year they bear themselves, the metayer then cultivating it as a metairie and sharing the produce equally with the owner.

A small vineyard formed of direct bearers or grafted hybrids, resisting cryptogamic diseases and hardy, and not demanding too much attention from the metayers, is a great advantage to a farm, both on account of the wine and of the marc, from which a drink is made. The metayer has no expenses in regard to the working of this vineyard or the vintage, the produce of which is all profit.

### § 14. VALUE AND FUTURE OF METAYAGE.

"On account of its adaptability,, says R. Marcel Vacher, in his eply to the Commission, "metayage has transformed and made the ortune of the landholders of Bourbonnais; well understood and well litected, it may lead to the most tangible improvement in agriculture ud livestock breeding and permits of the soil giving a yield above that obtained by other methods of cultivation, with less risk, less expenditure and less supervision on the part of the proprietor." This very authoritative opinion is endorsed by the Society of

Allier, which replied as follows: "In very fertile districts, where however, the absence or scarcity of natural grass does not allow of livestock improvement being carried on, where the only form of farm, ing possible is that of agriculture properly so called, on an intelligent intensive system, that may give good returns, but also requires large expenditure, the metayer would not have the capital nor the DIO fessional capacity necessary and would succumb under his burdens Direct cultivation by the farmer or the renting of the farm is in this case preferable. In districts where meadow land and pastures preval to a greater degree than cultivated crops, where livestock improvement and fattening may be carried on on a large scale and with profit, there is no need for metayers. Cultivation costs little; it is not to the landlord's advantage to divide a profit, the half of which would be an excessive remuneration to the metayer for work which, besides, he has not had to do. It must be added that metayage cannot be improvised in 18gions where it does not exist or never has existed. Nor can it be imported. Trials made in this direction have had only an ephemeral success.

But where metayage has always existed and still exists, if it is well organized on the two fundamental bases: of division of all the produce, and the chief management in the hands of the proprietor, on land of average fertility where livestock and cereal crops have almost equal importance, it is indisputably the mode of farming which assures the best revenue from the land and it must be maintained as carefully a

possible, as long as it can be."

In other districts, in Limousin and Berry, formerly accounted st poor, metayage has led to a happy change under the influence of men who have confidence in their work and to a large extent second the efforts of the metayers who aid them. The plough has rescued immense areas from the moor and heath; drainage has rendered fertile land previously inaccessible; fine local breeds of livestock have increased in weight and quality, with the improvement of the soil and the increase of its production; the yield has considerably increased thanks to a good system of cultivation and the employment of chemica manure. The value of the stock has been doubled, and increased three fold and even fourfold. Special buildings with many apartments, buil on sound hygienic principles, where the sun and air enter at will, hav here and there replaced the old, damp, unhealthy, thatch roofed huts, it a single apartment of which a whole family found shelter.

In Anjou and in the South of Mayenne in particular, metayage ha been the chief instrument of all the progress accomplished in a century

so also in Bretagne and Poitou.

In other departments many examples show the happy results to which good metayage has led, above all in respect to livestock im provement and fattening.

Is there no further progress for metayage to accomplish? The nswers sent to the commission of enquiry give interesting suggestions a this head, which may be summarised as follows:

Improvement of stock, better arrangement of buildings, longer leases; evision of leases so as to eliminate antiquated formalities; improved uplements, purchase of good breeding stock, development of meadows and of livestock improvement, special prizes for encouragement for pig reeding and fattening, publication of information on metayage.

With this, desires of a general character have been expressed with gard to agricultural instruction in the schools, reduction of taxes, development of livestock improvement syndicates, the formation of a special letayers' pension fund, the reduction of the charge for transport of lanure.

The improvements that may be introduced into the contracts in the terest of the parties concerned may be summarised as follows:

Longer leases, at least equal to the period of rotation of crops, the renewed by tacit agreement;

Preparation of the contracts with all useless formalities excluded, ery clearly specifying the obligations and mutual rights and duties of oth parties;

Generalisation of the equal division of expenditure and profits, ting into account old ricks, cattle food, standing grass in artificial gadows;

I and tax to be paid in equal proportions by both parties: instead the metayer paying rent in money, or the metayers' tax;

Prizes for cultivation of beetroot and carrots for fodder;

Appointment of three appraisers, at the termination of the natural, instead of two;

Careful establishment of the condition of the farms;

Grant of savings bank books by the landlord to all the metayers' aldren, with annual payments:

Registration ex officio, at the landlord's expense, of metayers in eagricultural societies, comices and syndicates to which the landlord longs:

Special agreement for all work not included in the habitual duties the metayer in respect to the farm he works.

As a result of all these provisions in conformity with the principle d spirit of metayage, we may have a renovated and improved form the contract with favourable conditions allowing the metayer to gain oney by increased and better production.

### JAPAN.

## I. PROGRESS REALISED IN THE READJUSTMENT OF FARM LANDS.

#### SOURCES:

DAI NIFFON NORWAIHO (Journal of the Japanese Society of Agriculture). No. 38. Tolk.

March, 1913.

NOSHOMU HOREI SHURAN (Collection of Laws and Decrees of the Department of Agricultus and Commerce). 2nd. vol. 1st. part. Tokio, 1912.

## § 1. STATISTICS RELATING TO THE APPLICATION OF THE LAWS.

In the February number of this Bulletin for the current year, we spok of the laws on the readjustment of farm lands and reproduced figures show ing the state of the work on June 30th., 1910. The Japanese Departmento Agriculture and Commerce has just published a new report on the application of these laws. It appears from it very evident that the Japanese Government is following this very important work with great interest and occupying itself actively with it.

In the article referred to we said that, up to the end of June, 1970, the total number of landed estates for which the schemes were approved wa 3,211. Since then, up to August 31st., 1911, 1,532 other schemes had bee approved. That is to say, in the space of only a year and a half, wor was performed far exceeding in amount what had been done previously since the laws began to be applied, that is between 1900 and the end of June, 1910.

The total number of landed estates to which the law had been applie at the end of August, 1911, was therefore 4,743, with a total area of 283,00 cho 53 (1) and was subdivided as under:

Period .	Number of Landed Estates	Area (cho)
Between 1900 and June 30th, 1908, 1909	2,129 1,140 749 725	125,961.48 80,557.63 40,933.63 35,548.79
Total	4,743	283,001.03

(1) cho = 0. ha. 99.

Of all this area about 82 % or 233,273 cho could really be cultivated. The rest served for roads, water ways, canals, engineering works etc. The and was divided as follows: ricefields, 185,060 cho; cultivated with other rups 48,213 cho; respectively 65 and 17 % of the total. It is estimated that after the readjustment has been completed the figures will be as follows:

	Arca (che)	%
For Ricefields	236,396	83
For Land under other Crops .	27,103	9.6
Total	263,499	92.6

From the above it appears that by the readjustment of the 4,753 estates question, the area of the rice fields will be increased 27 % or by 51,336 cho, at the area of the land under other crops diminished 44% or by 21,110 cho. Itegether the total area cultivated will have increased from 233,273 to 63,499 cho, or by 30,226 cho that is to say about 10.6% of the total area adjusted. If we now compare the new figures with those we gave in the February number of this Bulletin in relation to the situation on June oth, 1910, we see that there has been no appreciable difference in the proortion of area gained for cultivation. It was 10.8% on June 30th., 1910 at 10.6% on August 31st., 1911. But we can scarcely say the same with

and to the expenditure that has been necessary for this readjustment. The total expenditure for readjustment of the first 3,211 landed states, was estimated at 28,885,384 yen, whilst the estimate in the case of 1,532 estates for which schemes of readjustment were approved between 🔤 30th., 1910 and August 31st., 1911, was 16,167,466 yen, which brought he total expenditure contemplated at that date for the projected readjustent of all these 4,753 estates up to 45,052,850 yen. This enables us to are some very important observations on the matter. As we observed lour former article, the cost of readjustment of the first 490 estates was an average 131 yen, or 338 fr. per hectare. In the case of the later 1,532 tates the cost averaged 188 yen or 485 fr. per hectare, with an increase 143 % on the first average. This has not failed to arouse objections from from competent and above all from those interested, who found the at of the work of readjustment enormously increased and considered that his threatened to destroy the balance there should he between the profits blained by these changes and the capital invested in the work. But the apanese Government had foreseen this. It at once took measures to solitate, for the co-operative societies and syndicates constituted in micronity with the law on the readjustment of farms, the means for blaining the funds they required. It exempted them from the payment the land tax and permitted them to contract loans, not on mortgage, ith the industrial mortgage banks etc. Besides it has intervened directly their favour, with grants of large subsidies.

## § 2. THE REGULATIONS WITH REGARD TO SUBVENTIONS.

These regulations were first published in 1907 and republished almost in full in 1908 and 1909. On October 7th., 1912 the official journal of the Japanese Government, (Kwampo) published some important amendments made in the 1909 regulations. They were due above all to the results produced recently by the application of the law. In order to give the reader, an idea of the work of the Central Government in the execution of this important matter of agricultural readjustment, we shall here mention the principal details of the regulations.

In order to promote and facilitate the readjustment and improvened of the farms, the Department of Agriculture and Commerce reserves to its the right of granting yearly subventions, in conformity with the provision of the regulations in question, the amount not to exceed that shown on the

Departmental Estimates.

The funds thus granted are assigned to the prefectures concerned. The are entered under the head of expenditure or of subventions. In the firecase, they are amounts assigned for various researches, etc. for the suprivision of the work done in the year for increasing the fertility of the sillow or readjustment of farms. In the second case, under the head  $\mathfrak v$  subventions are included the funds granted for preparation and executing of the work done in the year in order to attain the above ends.

When it grants subventions the Department exercises careful super vision over the object they are to serve and the use made of them. In prefectures desirous of obtaining subventions must in fact make application to the Department. With their application they must send all doments that may in any way serve to explain the need for the money application and the utility of the work on which it is employed (projects, research estimates, etc). Any modifications introduced into the projects presented by the district authorities must be authorized by the Department. When the expenditure exceeds the estimate a new subvention may be applied to cover the difference.

The district authorities that have obtained subventions must, not late than May 30th., each year, report in detail on the state of the researches of the establishment of the projects, on the supervision of the work etc., well as on the results obtained by the investment of the money grants. The Department desires further to be informed in detail with regard to the antecedents of all the officers eventually employed for the accomplishment one or other of the objects above mentioned. It reserves the right of a fusing to accept them as employees. The Minister, further, reserves to his self the right to modify the proposals presented, to increase or decreating proportion the amount of the subvention and to call at any moment reports on the state of the work, etc. The provincial authorities of a direct receiving a subvention are, further, obliged to continue during the mention of a projected work when it has not be

sible to terminate it in the course of the first working year. Finy, it is laid down in the rules that, as soon as the work is finished, the ovincial authorities receiving subventions must, within the shortest find possible, send the Department a complete report on the work reuted and detailed accounts showing how the money obtained has been listed.

# 2. PUBLICATIONS OF RECENT DATE RELATING TO AGRICULTURAL ECONOMY IN JAPAN.

#### OFFICIAL PUBLICATIONS:

- (IN CHOSA HOKOKU (Report on Emigration), Department of Foreign Affairs, Division of Commercial Affairs, Tokio, 1913.
- n nieton Gwalkoku Boeki Geppyo (Monkly Statistical Tables of Japanese Foreign Trade).

  Department of Finance. Customs Division. Tokio, 1913.
- 1880 GENNEN SEIKU (Manufacture of Tea in 1912). Secretariat of the Department of Agriculture and Commerce: Statistical Office. Tokio 1913.
- u RORU SHI ZENKOKU SEISHI KODA CHOSAHYO (Tables Relating to the 6th., Enquiry into Silk Fadories in the Empire). Department of Agriculture and Commerce. Agricultural Division. Tokio, 1913.
- NEN KOKOKU (Report on Forests and Mountains), Department of Agriculture and Commerce.

  Mountains and Forest Division. Tokio, 1913.
- MEDIUSHO SHOHIN CHINREKKAN HOKOKU (Report on Commercial Exhibitions). Department of Agriculture and Commerce. Tokio, 1913.

## OTHER PUBLICATIONS:

- DA BANSHI SEMMOGAKKO YORAN (Report on the Special Spinning School at Ueda). Tokio, 1913. SAGGWAKEN NOKWAIHO (Report of the Agricultural Society of the Province of Kanagawa). Yokohama, 1913.
- MESEN NORWAIHO (Report of the Agricultural Society of the Province of Miye). Tsu, 1913. THE KEN NORWAIHO: (Report of the Agricultural Society of the Province of Ehime). Matsuyama, 1913.
- TAGIKEN NOKWAIHO (Report of the Agricultural Society of the Province of Miyagi), Schdai, 1913.
  KRISEIRI KENKYU KWAI HO (Report of the Society of Farm Readjustment). Tokio, 1913.
  WWAI NI OKERU NIPPONJIN NO NOGYO (Japanese Agriculture Abroad), Supplement to the
  Nippon Nogyo Zashi, Tokio, 1913.
- West (Horticulture). Horticultural Society of the Province of Hokkaido, Sapporo, 1913.
- RESITO NOKA JISSKI (Farmers' Pochet Manual). Imperial Society of Agricultural Science, Tokio, 1913.